

Calibration Log

The following is a list of calibration items issued by Textile Exchange. This list is provided publicly on the <u>Textile Exchange website</u>.

Calibration items shown apply to current normative documents, or to documents which are currently being phased out (Status: "Retirement Pending"). These represent supplemental guidance which Textile Exchange will integrate into guidance documents such as User Manuals were possible. Calibration only applies to the applicable version of a document, where it is specified.

Each calibration item has been assigned a unique number for easy reference. This can be found at the top left corner, before the calibration title (e.g. "Calibration 150"). Please note that not all numbers will be listed nor listed sequentially due to some calibration items having been rejected, retired, or are still pending review/approval.

All calibration items will be retired with the release of the next major revision of the applicable Textile Exchange standard and/or policy. Any calibration items that still apply will then be reissued as applicable.

An automatic 14-day consultation period applies for each calibration item after the first time it is published for certification bodies, during which Textile Exchange will accept feedback to Assurance@TextileExchange.org. Certification bodies shall apply the calibration as applicable during this time.

Calibration 249	Use of TE-ID for	Assured Claims	TE-301-V1.3 B3.10			
Situation:	The implementation of the Textile Exchange ID (TE-ID) will be used to identify a facility in Textile Exchange's database, thus replacing the license number and responsible certification body name requirement for all assured claims made by organizations who have received confirmation of their TE-ID and submitted a formal claim approval application.					
Interpretation:	TE-301-V1.3 Standards Claims Policy B3.10, and all other criteria under Section B: Assured Claims that refer to a certified organization's license number and responsible certification body name, may be replaced or accompanied by a certified organization's TE-ID.					
Date Issued:	1/30/2024	Conformity Date:	1/30/2024	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 247	Implementation of Certified Organization Registration and TE-ID	ASR-103-V3.1 C4					
Situation:	The implementation of the Textile Exchange-ID (TE-ID) in early 2024 requires s clarification.						
	Textile Exchange is providing certification bodies with in three batches, as follows:	lists of pre-assigned TE-IDs					
	1. Facilities listed in dTrackit on an SC by September 13 certification bodies December 1, 2023);	3, 2023 (provided to					
	2. Facilities listed in dTrackit on an SC by November 15, 2023 (provided in late December 2023); and						
	3. Facilities listed in dTrackit on an SC by December 3 January 2023).	1, 2023 (to be provided in					
	When an organization registers to create or claim their TE-ID with Textile Exchange there may be a delay of up to 14 calendar days before the TE-ID is provided. Textile Exchange may ask for certification body assistance in the deduplication of data, which may occasionally result in longer delays.						
	<u>Update</u> (2024.01.26): "up to seven 14 calendar days	"					
Interpretation:	The following items apply for the implementation of th 2024:	e TE-ID in the first quarter of					
	1. If a certified organization or facility does not have a TE-ID which was pre-as by Textile Exchange (i.e. is newly becoming certified in 2024 or data was not provided to dTrackit in 2023), the registration process with Textile Exchange mandatory before that certified organization or facility may be listed on a sco certificate issued in 2024.						
	2. If a certified organization or facility does have a pre-assigned TE-ID, the certification body may issue a scope certificate during the months of January and February 2024 for that organization or facility without the registration process be completed. In this case, the registration process shall be completed before April 2024.						
	3. The TE-ID is required for the certified organization a certificates issued in 2024. All data submissions to dT the following, effective January 1, 2024:	•					



Calibration 247	Implementation of Certified Organization Registration and TE-ID	ASR-103-V3.1 C4					
	a. The certified organization and all facilities listed on each scope certificate (certifiedOrganizationTeld and facilityTeld); and						
	b. The seller on each transaction certificate (se	ellerTeld).					
	4. dTrackit will accept data submissions which do not March 31, 2024, and will identify them as not meeting not reject the data. Effective April 1, 2024, any data su item 3. above will be rejected by dTrackit restriction lo	the applicable policy but will bmissions which do not meet					
	5. For new certifications, the certification body should provide it to the organization prior to asking the organ but should encourage or require the organization to re advance of the certification decision being made.	ization to register for a TE-ID					
	6. The certification body may specify contact email ad emailing assurance@textileexchange.org. If no separathe certification body's primary contact with Textile Ex	te email address is provided,					
	a. Receiving a periodic report by email of comp with the certification body. The certification bo this upon request. And						
	b. Requests for support with deduplication of obody's data submissions and specific registrat						
	7. Scope certificates do not need to be updated to include of these events occurs:	ude the TE-ID until the earliest					
	a. Recertification on or after January 1, 2024;						
	b. The scope certificate is updated for other re on or after April 1, 2024; or	asons (e.g. to add products)					
	c. The end of 2024, by which point the scope of include the TE-ID (for RAF farm scope certificate 2024 only).						
	8. Transaction certificates may be issued without the s January and February 2024 only, if the seller was certi certification body has not received a pre-assigned TE-	fied during 2023 and the					



Calibration 247	Implementation Registration and	of Certified Organiz TE-ID	ASR-103	-V3.1C4		
	 9. An outgoing transaction certificate may be issued if the incoming transaction certificate is missing TE-ID data, under CCS-102-V3.1 E2.1.11. 10. In the case of a scope certificate transfer between certification bodies before the TE-ID has been added to the preceding certification body's scope certificate, the organization may obtain the TE-IDs for all of their facilities (including associated subcontractors) by completing the registration process. UPDATED: 2024.01.26 Originally Issued: 2023.12.28 					
Date Issued:	1/26/2024	Conformity Date:	1/26/2024	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 242	License and Facility Number Data Submissions				ASR-103-V3.1, ASR-104- V3.1 SC B3.1.1, B3.4, TC B2.2.6, B2.3.6		
Situation:	There are some data fields which should be specified in dTrackit submissions for the calendar year 2024 but which are not reflected in the updated SC Policy 3.1 and TC Policy 3.1.						
Interpretation:	transaction certifold ID is being implementation a. On scoot b. On trans	The following fields should be specified in dTrackit submissions for scope and transaction certificates in 2024 to support the reconciliation of identifiers as the TE-ID is being implemented: a. On scope certificates: facilityNo, subcontractorLicenseNo b. On transaction certificates: sellerLicenseNo, buyerLicenseNo (if the buyer is certified)					
Date Issued:	12/18/2023	Conformity Date:	12/18/2023	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 246	New Codes for A	SR-213		ASR-213 Appendiz	-V1.2 Section 3, x B
Situation:	There are various new codes required that are currently absent in the existing version or cannot be integrated with the existing codes. This calibration will be updated periodically as the need for new codes is identified.				
Interpretation:	PC0045 - Unproduct category 31, 2024. All tran	de may be used in ad cessed non-reclaime roduct category has asy animal hair), the as PC0045 the next saction certificates is	ed fibers/materia been used in plac scope certificate t time it is update ssued after the s	ils ce of PC00 e shall be u ed and no la	45 (e.g. for greasy pdated to show the ater than December
Date Issued:	12/18/2023	Conformity Date:	12/18/2023	Status:	Issued

Calibration 245	Amendment of T Typographical E	ransaction Certifica	ite	CCS-102	-V3.1 E2.2.1.b	
Situation:	Many cases of typographical amendments to transaction certificates are requested or identified after fourteen days of issuance, but there is documented evidence received by the certification body by the date of issuance proving it was a typographical error during the creation process. Flexibility can be introduced for typographical corrections when they are supported by documents.					
Interpretation:	E2.2.1.b may be read as follows: To correct typographical errors either within fourteen calendar days of issuance (including increasing the quantity of claimed materials) or beyond fourteen calendar days of issuance if the correction is supported by documentation that the certification body had on file on the date the transaction certificate was issued (excluding increasing the quantity of claimed materials).					
Date Issued:	12/15/2023	Conformity Date:	12/15/2023	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 244	Risk designation distribution facil	n for brand headqua ities	CCS-102 B1.h	-V3.1 Appendix		
Situation:	The current version of CCS-102 assigns a high-risk designation to a brand when it gets major non-conformities during an audit. This creates the need to audit many distribution facilities which causes unnecessary auditing and a higher cost for the certified organization because low-risk distribution facilities do not require regular auditing. The consideration given to headquarters and distribution facilities after establishing the risk designation needs to be different.					
Interpretation:	Appendix B1.h may be read as follows: Has the site had one or more major non-conformities issued for the scope certificate in the past 12 months, including during the previous audit? A major non-conformity at any of the sites which do not take physical possession of product shall not automatically assign a high-risk level to distribution facilities where only major non-conformities related to material handling need to be considered.					
Date Issued:	12/14/2023	Conformity Date:	12/14/2023	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 235	Guideline regarding the second-party and third- party testing	CCS-102-V3.1 E2.1.3				
Situation:	There is confusion regarding product quality testing criteria, including who may conduct testing and which test reports are required for transaction certificates. Update: Upon further review of the original Calibration 235, Textile Exchange has identified a need for more consultation and more detail in any future testing criteria.					
Interpretation:	CCS-102-V3.1 E2.1.3.b may be read as follows: "Third- or second-party product quality test reports for inputs and outputs (recommended for non-recycled fibers, all yarns, and all fabrics)."					



Calibration 235	Guideline regard party testing	ling the second-par	ty and third-	CCS-102	-V3.1 E2.1.3	
	Quality tests refe For fibers (PC003 unit; For yarns (PC002 For fabrics (PC003 in g/m2) and fabrics (PC013)	r to the following tes 33, PC0034), the fib 29, PC0030, PC003 025, PC0026, PC002 ric construction (e.g. e will consider reintro evision processes. T	er length in mm a l), the yarn count 27, PC0028, PC0 ends/picks per oducing mandato	; 0039), the finch, yarn or	fabric gsm (weight count). cesting criteria via	
	UPDATED: 2023.12.01 Originally Issued: 2023.08.09					
Date Issued:	12/1/2023	Conformity Date:	12/1/2023	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 240	"PR0034 Other"	' no approval neede	CCS-102 NOTE 1	-V3.1 Appendix B1		
Situation:	The CCS certification procedure require approval from Textile Exchange for the 'other' process category (PR0034) to be used. Textile Exchange has initiated a new internal process for managing 'Other' codes.					
Interpretation:	Certification bodies may use all 'other' codes from ASR-213-V1.2 (RM0262-7, PR0034, PC0038, PD0100) without separate approval from Textile Exchange. A user specific term is required for use of RM0262-7 (see ASR-213-V1.2 3.1.9). A user specific term should be included with all uses of PR0034, PC0038, and PD0100.					
Date Issued:	11/30/2023	Conformity Date:	11/30/2023	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 239	Omitting Materia Recycled or Orga	al Types for 100% Ce anic Claims	ertified	TE-301-\	/1.3 B3.13.5	
Situation:	When a product contains 100% certified recycled or organic content, could a more generic claim about the materials be made and not list each material name out?					
Interpretation:	also contains nor percentage contains and 32% OCS cer	nd OCS, where multip n-certified material, e ent (e.g. "Made with rtified organically gro component made of may be omitted (e.g.	each material sha 48% OCS certifie own wool"). 100% RCS, GRS,	all be separed organicator or OCS cer	ately listed with the lly grown cotton tified materials, the	
Date Issued:	11/30/2023	Conformity Date:	11/30/2023	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 184	Inputs from Tana Abbatoirs	neries, Slaughterho	uses, and	GRS-101- V2.0 A1	-V4.0/RCS-101-		
Situation:	May animal hides/fibers and leather sourced from a tannery, slaughterhouse, or abattoir be accepted as reclaimed inputs? Update: Upon review of additional information, this calibration has been updated to provide additional flexibility.						
Interpretation:	not be accepted a leather tanning, s reclaimed inputs NOTE: Calibratio ambiguity about	Animal fibers (including wool), and animal hides, sourced following slaughter shall not be accepted as reclaimed inputs for RCS or GRS. Shavings/trimmings from leather tanning, splitting, post-tanning and finishing operations may be accepted as reclaimed inputs for GRS/RCS. NOTE: Calibration 148 addresses steps for certification bodies when there is ambiguity about whether or not a material may be accepted as reclaimed. UPDATED: 2023.11.14					
Date Issued:	11/14/2023	Conformity Date:	11/14/2023	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 238	Adding RCS for 0	GRS certified organi	zations	ASR-101-	-V2.1 B3.1.1	
Situation:	Sometimes an organization is GRS certified but not RCS certified and needs to sell products as RCS certified. This includes cases where the organization is selling to an RCS certified brand and wants the organization to apply labels, as well as cases where products are identified as ineligible for GRS but eligible for RCS. Since the criteria of the RCS are all evaluated during a GRS audit, this is low risk.					
Interpretation:	issue RCS transa Products which c	RCS certification is separate from GRS certification. The certification body shall only issue RCS transaction certificates to organizations (sellers) who are RCS certified. Products which carry RCS on-product claims shall not be included on a GRS transaction certificate.				
	When an organization is GRS certified and wishes to become RCS certified, the certification body may issue an RCS scope certificate for the same scope (facilities, process categories, etc) without conducting any additional auditing. In this case, the RCS scope certificate shall be issued with the same expiry date (scValidUntil) as the corresponding GRS scope certificate. RCS may be added as a standard to a multistandard scope certificate which includes GRS (see ASR-103-V3.1 A5.6.3).					
	The certification body may issue RCS transaction certificates for shipments prior to the issue date of the RCS scope certificate, provided that:					
	a. The RCS scope certificate is issued before the RCS transaction certificate is issued; andb. The organization was GRS certified at the time of the shipment.					
Date Issued:	10/31/2023	Conformity Date:	10/31/2023	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 208	Certification Body Moving their Accredited Office ASR-101-V2.1 D1.1.8				
Situation:	may or may not be in a different country or party of a di subsidiary or sister company) to the office which held t	tion body wishes to transfer their accreditation to a different office, which ay not be in a different country or party of a different legal entity (e.g. or sister company) to the office which held the original accreditation. e procedure for this? Is this considered a new accreditation?			
Interpretation:	If a certification body wishes to move their accreditation accreditation body shall determine if this may be accept				



Calibration 208	Certification Bod	ly Moving their Accr	edited Office	ASR-101-	-V2.1 D1.1.8
	contact information or if a new accreditation is required, and shall communicate this decision to Textile Exchange.				
	If the accreditation body determines that this may be accepted as a simple update of contact information, the certification body shall provide an updated application form to assurance@textileexchange.org. Textile Exchange shall update applicable systems accordingly.				
	If a new accreditation is needed, the certification body shall submit a new application form to Textile Exchange with applicable supporting documentation. Textile Exchange will fully evaluate the application but may rely on past experience and/or evidence from the accreditation body to fast-track the application. Provided that this is a transfer of accreditation (i.e. the original office is not maintaining accreditation), Textile Exchange will not charge an additional application fee and will review an application even if certification body applications are otherwise closed.				
Date Issued:	8/31/2023	Conformity Date:	8/31/2023	Status:	Issued

Calibration 140	What is the earliest a recertification audit may be conducted?	ASR-101-V2.1 D4.4.20, D4.6.8				
Situation:	e ACP D4.4.20 recommends ('should' language) that recertification audits be inducted 60 days before the expiry of the previous scope certificate, and D4.6.8 quires that the certification decision be made within 60 days of the audit.					
	How far in advance may a recertification audit be conc finalize a certification decision within 60 days of the au certificate upon expiry of the previous scope certificat	udit and issue a scope				
	<u>Update</u> : The current calibration uses the word "may" winterpret that they are allowed to exceed the 90-day limisinterpretation for this.					
	Adjusting the wording can aid in clarifying the intent o CBs not to conduct audits further than 90 days before certificate.					



Calibration 140	What is the earlic	est a recertification	audit may be	ASR-101- D4.6.8	-V2.1 D4.4.20,	
Interpretation:	Recertification audits may be conducted, as a maximum, up to 90 days before the expiry of the previous scope certificate, i.e. recertification audits are not to be conducted prior to 90 days before the expiration date of the scope certificate. If a certification decision is made prior to the expiry of the previous scope certificate, issuing the new scope certificate may then be delayed up to 90 days after the audit date (typically to the anniversary date). UPDATED: 2023.08.31 Originally Issued: 2021.01.28					
Date Issued:	8/31/2023	Conformity Date:	8/31/2023	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 237	Audit Methods Document Not Published CCS-102-V3.1 D4				
Situation:	CCS-102-V3.1 CCS Certification Procedures D4 references a new document, ASR-114 Audit and Assessment Methods Policy, which is not yet published.				
Interpretation:	Until ASR-114 Audit and Assessment Methods Policy is published, certification bodies shall continue to apply CCS-102-V3.0 D4 in its place. CCS-102-V3.0 can be accessed at https://textileexchange.org/app/uploads/2022/03/CCS-102-V3.0-CCS-Certification-Procedures.pdf				
Date Issued:	8/25/2023	Conformity Date:	8/25/2023	Status:	Issued

Calibration 130	Certification of Non-Textile Reclaimed Materials	GRS v4.2/RCS v2.2 A3.1b
Situation:	What action should certification bodies take before ac or recycled inputs for RCS or GRS?	cepting non-textile reclaimed



Calibration 130	Certification of N	lon-Textile Reclaim	ed Materials	GRS v4.2	2/RCS v2.2 A3.1b	
	<u>Update</u> (2022.07.19): Textile Exchange has received significant feedback regarding the wording of the original Calibration 130, including lack of clarity and the additional burden of approvals.					
Interpretation:	to differing definicertification body application from a	Due to the wide range of potential reclaimed or recycled materials available, and due to differing definitions of these terms in different countries or sectors, the certification body shall contact Textile Exchange for approval prior to accepting an application from an organization who wishes to certify non-textile pre-consumer reclaimed or recycled materials which are not already RCS or GRS certified.				
	· ·	3.25): The above text als. Advance approv	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		
	Advance approval is required prior to recertification of an organization who accepts non-certified, non-textile pre-consumer reclaimed or recycled materials as input unless the certification body has documentation of past approval from Textile Exchange for that organization.					
	The calibration applies to all certification bodies that hold RCS and GRS accreditation. Each certification body is required to reach out to Assurance@TextileExchange.org in order to evaluate the application. The application consists of a set of questions that need to be answered with the appropriate details. If any ineligible material is identified during the renewal application, the certification body is obligated to withdraw the certification.					
	Textile Exchange will be building additional guidance to reduce the scenarios in which advance approval is needed, which may include exempting individual submitters or certification bodies from the approval process based on demonstrated performance.					
	In all cases where advance approval is not needed, Textile Exchange may reach out to the certification body to request an application as described above for a certified organization who is acting as a recycler. In this case, the certification body shall provide the application to Textile Exchange for evaluation.					
	UPDATED (2): 2023.08.25 UPDATED (1): 2022.07.19 Originally Issued: 2020.12.14					
Date Issued:	8/25/2023	Conformity Date:	8/25/2023	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 233		tag, or label manufa ds for making claim		CCS-201	-V3.1 E
Situation:	When packaging, hangtags, or labels are certified to a Textile Exchange Standard, who is considered to be the brand?				
Interpretation:	brands. The followalist of examples in This company we products which a another product (channels. The labels. In the case	packaging, hangtage wing example should a the guidance note, buld be considered a re packaging, hangt (e.g. garment) and so beling and branding one printing and physically handled by mer.	the considered a the below should brand: A compar ags, or labels use ells them through of the product are cal attaching, in	alongside to designs and designs and for holding multiple controlling generally the case of y attached.	he examples in the : and develops ng or attaching to distribution done by the hangtags and , the final packaging
Date Issued:	7/31/2023	Conformity Date:	7/31/2023	Status:	Issued

Calibration 236	Activities Performed by Certification Body Subcontractors	ASR-101-V2.1 Appendix A				
Situation:	Which activities may only be performed by a certification subcontractor?	vities may only be performed by a certification body directly, or by a ctor?				
	Relevant definitions from ASR-101-V2.1:	definitions from ASR-101-V2.1:				
	·	ctor: [] An independent legal entity hired by a certification body to vices related to certification activities, excluding freelancers.				
	Freelancer: An individual who is hired by an accreditate body to act as an assessor or an auditor on a contract/subject to the accreditation/certification body's process also conduct client recruitment or management activition individual may be considered to be a freelancer if payn (e.g. an incorporated consulting business), provided to done by a named individual and that the business does recruitment or management activities.	non-employee basis, but edures. A freelancer may not ties (see: subcontractor). An ment is made to a company hat the work is stipulated to be				



Calibration 236	Activities Performant Subcontractors	med by Certificatior	Body	ASR-101-	-V2.1 Appendix A
Interpretation:	operations and sisubcontractors, resubcontractors, resubcontractor	ivities are considered all only be conducted not freelancers or other than of auditors, included audit activities; audit reports (separal of transaction certification of transaction certification agement activities in a trecruitment; and grand grand grand activities in a trecruitment; and activities in ac	ed by certification er parties: ling hiring and set the from final cert approvals; icate application gistrations on below to operations in Concluding client concluding client concept approvals; and certification approval approvals in Concluding client concept approvals in Concluding client concept approvals in Concept a	electing au ification de hina); mmunicat greements e and trans directly ar	ditors for specific ecisions); certification body ions; saction certificates
Date Issued:	7/12/2023	Conformity Date:	7/12/2023	Status:	Issued



Calibration 221	Ginning Facilities	s in Purchasing See	d Cotton	OCS-105 v2.3	-V0.1, ASR-106-		
Situation:	It has been noted that in some cases in Turkey a ginning facility purchases seed cotton but is not named as the buyer on the input (farm) transaction certificate or seller on the output (lint cotton) transaction certificate, and is instead listed as a subcontractor. GOTS has created an exception for transaction certificates issued before October 1, 2022.						
Interpretation:	definition and the certificates, then GOTS has permit Turkey issued be required to review Public Exemption the seller on the f Exchange alongs 1. The name and a 2. The name, job 3. The number of certification body 4. A declaration t a. The seed cott transaction b. The after Octor	organization unders on and therefore was on certificate; organization will ens ober 1, 2022 will mee	ified as the buye ofton on the output these criteria for . In such cases, with the case of	transaction whenever T e OCS-105 (Standards) II be provid a states the ne declarat signatory; e along with ne; n took lega he seller or action cert nt; and ransaction or after Oct	farm) transaction tion certificate. In certificates in Textile Exchange is OCS In Conversion, a declaration from ed to Textile following: ion; In the issuing I ownership of the in the first ificates issued on or certificate is true		
Date Issued:	7/7/2023	Conformity Date:	7/7/2023	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 223	Requirements fo Treatment Syste	r Wastewater/Efflue ems	ent/Sludge	GRS-101-	-V4.0 C2.3e, C2.3f		
Situation:	The GRS allows treating wastewater either on-site or off-site. Many operators treat wastewater off-site in a common effluent treatment plant (CETP) which may be private or government owned.						
	GRS v4.0 Appended CETPs abide by I lenient than ZDF	ne Waste/Effluent section in the GRS establishes that CETPs need to conform with RS v4.0 Appendix D which is based on ZDHC's Wastewater Guidelines. However, ETPs abide by local or national governmental standards which usually are more nient than ZDHC's standards. Additionally, the certification bodies cannot audit e quality of the water leaving the CETP. These facts create a gap in conformity with e GRS.					
	Moreover, the GRS is vague on proper treatment and disposal of sludge because it doesn't refer to any guideline parameters to assess its attributes, which is needed for on-site treatment systems that certification bodies will audit.						
Interpretation:	Each GRS site shall have a system to ensure that wastewater receives proper treatment, whether the site has an on-site process or uses an off-site service provider. Depending on the wastewater treatment location, the following applies:						
	a. On-site treatm	ent systems shall co	nform to the crite	eria of GRS	-101-V4.0 C2.3.		
		ludge management, by of the valid contra or.					
	b. If using an off-site treatment plant, known as a common effluent treatment plant (CETP), the site shall provide the certification body with evidence that the treated wastewater/effluent leaving the CETP facility meets local or national legal parameters. i. The certification body should confirm that the CETP is legally operating						
	by reviewing the existence of a permit, agreement, or contract with the certified site or with any other system participants such as the local pollution control board. ii. The site should provide evidence that sludge generated at the CETP facility meets local or national legal parameters.						
Date Issued:	5/31/2023	Conformity Date:	5/31/2023	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 227	Darkness Period	for Ducks		RDS-101-	-V3.0 AW2.7	
Situation:	Ducks are primarily raised for meat with down a secondary consideration. Companies worldwide are working with different criteria when providing a mandatory darkness period to ducks, depending on the country of operation and the standard(s) prevailing within the farmed duck industry of each country. The RDS criteria differ from some of these standards. Textile Exchange identified the benefit of updating the RDS with the most common practice.					
	This calibration aligns with the proposed language for Textile Exchange's upcoming unified standard.					
Interpretation:	RDS criterion AW2.7 may be implemented as follows where the criterion as written in the standard is not feasible: Except for brooding under a heat lamp up to four weeks of age, ducks shall be provided a minimum period of six hours of continuous darkness - or near darkness -					
	at night and this shall be preceded by thirty minutes of dusk and followed by thirty minutes of dawn. In addition, a minimum of eight hours of light during the day shall be provided.					
Date Issued:	5/31/2023	Conformity Date:	5/31/2023	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 228	e of Poison Baiting for Predator Control RWS-101a-V2.2 LM2.6.3					
Situation:	pests such as foxes, wild dogs, and feral pigs. Their at financial loss and the local economy is affected. The in state authorities have implemented plans to support the such as lambs, and native wildlife. They also pose a threat to humans transmission of diseases such as Distemper, Parvo, M disease that can affect humans), Sheep Measles, Neos	maim or kill livestock such as lambs, adult sheep, poultry, goats, e. They also pose a threat to humans and pets through the diseases such as Distemper, Parvo, Mange, Hydatids (Zoonotic affect humans), Sheep Measles, Neospora Caninum, and he animals can even desiccate feed supplies of grazing animals,				
	The government has implemented programs such as Local Land Seguidance, training, and risk assessments on the use of different pestechniques such as shooting, trapping, and baiting. Usually, poisor restricted materials that cannot be purchased or used without licentand signage installation on the property. The use of poison as a lethal control method is not currently allowed.					



Calibration 228	Use of Poison Baiting for Predator Control	RWS-101a-V2.2 LM2.6.3					
	This calibration aligns with the proposed language for unified standard.	Textile Exchange's upcoming					
Interpretation:	A certified farm or farm group in Australia may use pois control method provided all of the following conditions	- ·					
	1. There shall be a verifiable predator threat to goats/s	heep.					
		ators shall be classified as invasive species by the relevant authority. ic predator species shall not be eligible for the application of this calibration.					
		cision to use poison shall be taken on a landscape or regional level and pert input from an external body such as Landcare Australia.					
	4. Anti-coagulant poisons or cholecalciferol shall not b	oe used.					
	5. Poison baiting shall take place over set, targeted per continuously.	aiting shall take place over set, targeted periods only -it shall not occur y.					
	6. The farm shall have a written predator management components at a minimum:	plan with the following					
	a. Detailed explanation of the predator issue	,					
	b. Predator control proposal establishing the action, when it shall be executed, and where it						
	c. Alternative methods of control that confor individual predators, or use of CO2 traps, including the conformation inadequate for the farm's situation.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	d. Integrated approach analysis considering could increase in number if the target predator						
	7. The farm shall have attempted at least two non-lethal control methods (predator-proof fencing, light or sound deterrents, livestock guardian dogs considering the poison bait option.						
	8. Monitoring shall take place before and after poison keep where invasive predators are active and secondly to debaiting program. Records shall be kept.						



Calibration 228	Use of Poison Ba	iting for Predator C	ontrol	RWS-101	a-V2.2 LM2.6.3	
	· ·	9. The position of baits shall be marked and any undated baits removed at the end of the baiting period.				
		10. Poison bait shall be distributed so as to avoid non-target wildlife being harmed by primary or secondary poisoning.				
	11. Signs shall be placed all around the property, especially on their boundary to ensure all neighbors and visitors are aware poisoning is conducted on the property.					
	12. All bait (including that which is unused or uneaten) shall be used and disposed of according to product label requirements.					
	13. Fumigating dens with carbon monoxide is not an acceptable activity under this exemption.					
Date Issued:	5/31/2023	Conformity Date:	5/31/2023	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 232	Transfer Audits	Transfer Audits in the case of CB Suspension ASR-112-V2.0				
Situation:	Transfer audits are abbreviated audits intended to simplify the transition between certification bodies when there may be an urgent need outside of the recertification cycle. ASR-112-V2.0 permits transfer audits in the case of CB withdrawal, but does not permit them for voluntary transfers between CBs. In the case of a CB being suspended, their clients may feel that a transfer of certification is necessary to control risk and ensure continuity of service.					
Interpretation:	for the organizati	A transfer audit may be conducted when the certification body has been suspended for the organization's scope and is still suspended 7 calendar days before the date the transfer audit is conducted. See ASR-112-V2.0 C3.5 for more information about transfer audits.				
	In this case, the succeeding certification body shall specify the preceding certification body's scope certificate number in the scLegacyNo data field on the dTrackit data submission for the succeeding certification body's scope certificate, and shall follow instructions from Textile Exchange for reporting on transfer audits to allow for fees to be calculated correctly.					
Date Issued:	4/19/2023	Conformity Date:	4/19/2023	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 167	Mulesed Restocking	RWS-101a-V2.2/RAF-105a- V2.0 AW4.15				
Situation:	In Australia, the majority of wool still comes from mulesed sheep, which is prohibited under RWS.					
	A plan that includes the RWS in the recovery phase of needs to be developed to have animal welfare regulation principles embeded in the operational procedures of the progressive shift of the flock while ramping up operation	ions such non-mulesing the farms, facilitating the				
Interpretation:	The majority of the sheep flock in Australia is mulesed producing non-mulesed wool in Australia when relate reasons of maintaining flock genetics or recovering from droughts and fires. Other reasons for restocking may flock, changing the breed or strain of sheep, and recovering high mortality or culling.	d to flock restocking for om extreme climate events like be: significant expansion of the				
	Textile Exchange is addressing this situation using a below will facilitate a certification body's assessment exemption is eligible. This process will be carried out on ASR-101-V2.1 Accreditation and Certification Procestandards, section D4.15.3. Exemptions for mulesed replacements and extreme climate events like drough on a case-by-case basis - as well as reviewed annually exemption request and approval process with Textile	that determines if an individual by the certification body, based edures for Textile Exchange stock other than for ram ats and fires must be dealt with y for renewal - per the usual				
	1. The certification body may process a single exempt group on behalf of several farms for the purchase of m					
	1.1. The farms need to be members of that gro	up and				
	1.2. The request needs to include a list of the forumber of rams needed for the period of one y					
	2. Wool from mulesed sheep included in an exemption RWS certified.	n request shall never be sold as				
	2.1. The farmer will implement handling and tr guarantee this wool is kept separate from non	•				
	3. The certification body shall keep a record of the qua approved to be purchased in each granted exemption	-				
	3.1. The certification body will report these nu using ASR-502 Quarterly NC Report Template	_				



Calibration 167	Mulesed Restock	king		RWS-101 V2.0 AW	a-V2.2/RAF-105a- 4.15			
		column H "Notes" to report the number of animals being purchased under that exemption.						
		of expanding the flock, the farmer must provide their plan in terms of and timelines and why this cannot be met by retention of their own homembs.						
	detailing genetics that are better su	ging the breed or sto s change and reason ited to non-mulesing a high wrinkle score,	ing. For example g and the farmer	e, the farm i	is moving to sheep e not retaining			
	extreme climate	ase, the exemption r event if it has arisen nce of mismanagem	from circumstan		•			
		on body may grant a ne following criteria a		farmer for	restocking mulesed			
		7.1. The farmer demonstrates they have attempted to source non-mulesed stock before requesting the exemption.						
	7.2. The s	stock requested is sp	ecifically rams fo	or breeding	J.			
	the farm)	7.3. An extreme climate event occurs (and is demonstrated to have affected the farm) or the farmer has a specific breeding goal (the exemption request mentions genetic traits/breed type that is being selected).						
		number of mulesed b ne total flock size.	oreeding males b	rought in e	ach year is less than			
	guarante	7.5. The farmer has implemented handling and transportation systems to guarantee that wool from these mulesed animals will be kept separate from non-mulesed wool and will not be sold or marketed as RWS certified. And						
	7.6. The farmer shall demonstrate that they are not artificially creating a need for additional stock – i.e., by selling their own non-mulesed ewes and/or ewe lambs and then requesting an allowance to purchase mulesed animals.							
	UPDATED: 2022.03.03 Originally Issued: 2021.11.09							
Date Issued:	3/3/2023	Conformity Date:	3/3/2023	Status:	Issued			



Calibration 154	Maps vs GIS Dat	a			a-V2.1/RAF-101b- 101c-V1.0 F2.6.2	
Situation:	showing where a	RAF farm group criteria require the ICS to maintain maps or sketches of each farm showing where animals are located. Textile Exchange is moving to require that GIS data be submitted by each farm. How does this affect the criterion relating to maps?				
Interpretation:	or sketches of earmaintains the foll 1. A list of farms w 2. A copy of the F system; and 3. Polygon data (of farmland. Note: Textile Exc data for each mer	mber farm collected are ICS to access the itess.	ere animals are locally linked per ack to the scope ach farm, as requ or each farm show not automatically by Textile Excha	cated prover farm: certificate ired by Tex wing the lo	rided that the ICS; xtile Exchange's cation and amount CS access to the GIS ill provide a	
Date Issued:	2/28/2023	Conformity Date:	2/28/2023	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 220	Mixed Fibers and Proportion of Fibers	CCS-101-V3.1 D4
Situation:	Pre- and post-consumer waste such as yarn or non-working various textile materials. CCS D4.2 calls for a material output claimed material, but this requirement has proved. The high cost and duration of testing, The impossibility of finding the exact proportion material, and	composition test report of the ven to be unpractical due to:



Calibration 220	Mixed Fibers and	d Proportion of Fibe	rs	CCS-101-	-V3.1 D4		
	along with	- The error factor introduced by the big amount of different input materials, along with their size and weight.					
	• •	O garments will have veight will be slightly		or biends of	r materials, and		
Interpretation:	composition test codes for mixed fithe material recyclallowed to change they are used in they are used in the detailed result the detailed result codes for mixed from the detailed result codes for mixed from the detailed recycles for the detailed result codes for mixed from the detailed recycles for the detailed result codes for mixed from the detailed recycles for the detailed result codes for mixed from the detailed recycles for the detailed result codes for the detailed recycles for the detailed result codes for the detailed recycles for the detailed recycles for the detailed recycles for the detailed recycles for the detailed result codes for the detailed recycles for the detailed result codes for the detailed result codes for the detailed recycles for the detailed result codes for the detailed recycles for the d	When mixed fibers are used in raw materials, there is no need to do a material composition test to know the percentage of each fiber present. The raw material codes for mixed fibers (RM0258, RM0259, RM0260, RM0261) shall only be used by the material recycler. No other operator in the supply chain, after the recycler, is allowed to change the mixed fiber codes in the output transaction certificate or when they are used in the material composition on the input transaction certificate. A blend of virgin material shall not be defined as "mixed fibers" in any case. The mixed-fiber codes are only allowed to be used by recyclers for pre-consumer and post-consumer waste. When an input transaction certificate specifies that a product contains mixed fibers, this same designation shall be used in the output transaction certificate. Even when the detailed results of a material composition test are available, the transaction certificate shall list "mixed fibers" with the corresponding raw material code rather					
Date Issued:	2/28/2023	Conformity Date:	2/28/2023	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 178	Criteria for the product category PC0029 Dyed Yarn for reclaimed dyed inputs	ASR-213-V1.1 Table 3.3		
Situation:	Under GRS/RCS, certain products are made from dyed reclaimed inputs (preconsumer or post-consumer), and additional dyeing is not done on such products. Can we call such yarn 'undyed' or 'greige' yarn instead of 'dyed yarn'?			
Interpretation:	When product inputs used by a certified site have been previously dyed but only identified as dyed products as a result of a previous recycling process, they shall be identified with the 'Dyed yarn' (PC0029) category to avoid confusion with 'Undyed Yarn' (PC0031), which shall not be used on any dyed material.			



Calibration 178	Criteria for the p	roduct category PC ed dyed inputs	0029 Dyed	ASR-213	-V1.1 Table 3.3
	A supplier may request the certification body to include a statement such as "Product's color was maintained from its life cycle previous to entering the certified supply chain." or "Product is made from inputs which were previously dyed and the resulting product color is not a result of an additional dyeing process.". This information can be included in box 12 of the Transaction Certificate template (ASR-205-V3.0).				
	Note: Post-consumer or pre-consumer reclaimed products could have already been dyed in a previous life cycle and recycled (a process based on color sorting). In such a process, dyeing might not be performed by a certified site, but washing or finishing could be done on reclaimed product, yarn, or fabric. In such a case, the output will also be 'Dyed yarn' (PC0029) since the input is dyed reclaimed product (preconsumer / post-consumer). When reclaimed products that have been previously dyed are certified, the 'Dyed yarn' (PC0029) category shall be used to avoid confusion with 'Undyed Yarn' (PC0031), which shall not be used on any dyed material.				
	Originally Issued: 2022.06.08				
Date Issued:	1/31/2023	Conformity Date:	1/31/2023	Status:	Issued

Calibration 216	GRS Modules for Possession	r Sites without Phys	ical	GRS-101-	-V4.0 A3.2c
Situation:	Do the GRS social, environmental, and chemical criteria apply to sites without physical possession of GRS materials?				
Interpretation:	The GRS social, environmental, and chemical criteria do not apply to sites without physical possession of GRS materials such as traders and buying houses. These criteria still apply to facilities with physical possession of claimed materials, including subcontractors. Chain of custody criteria from the CCS do apply to these sites when they are certified.				
Date Issued:	1/31/2023	Conformity Date:	1/31/2023	Status:	Issued



Calibration 222	Water deprivation	on for ewes in late pr	egnancy or	RWS-101	a-V2.2 AW1.7.3
Situation:	The requirement in the RWS, for ewes in late pregnancy or lactating period, to not be deprived of water for more than 8 hours, doesn't conform to industry best practice recommendations for preparation time for shearing. These guidelines propose a longer withdrawal from water to allow animals to empty out to protect their health and comfort when they are sheared. These industry guidelines are also taking account of worker health and safety, as empty sheep are lighter and so cause less strain on the shearer. Australian and New Zealand guidelines suggest a minimum of 8 hours and maximum of 20 hours off water for ewes that are in late pregnancy or lactating.				
Interpretation:	Ewes in late pregnancy or lactating period shall not be deprived of water for more than 20 hours. This requirement supersedes the criterion AW1.7.3 in the standard since this directly contradicts it and is based on further information which is now available to Textile Exchange.				
Date Issued:	1/31/2023	Conformity Date:	1/31/2023	Status:	Issued

Calibration 224	Change of Accreditation Bodies	ASR-101-V2.1 D1.1.8.a
Situation:	What needs to be considered for a certification body to	change accreditation bodies?
Interpretation:	An accredited certification body may change accreditation involuntary reasons. An involuntary change in accreditation body occurs who longer able and willing to offer accreditation for the (e.g. is no longer a Textile Exchange accreditation body certification bodies in a specific country). A change for voluntary change in accreditation body. The following steps shall apply for a change in accreditation.	hen the accreditation body is certification body's scope dy, or is no longer accepting rany other reason is a
	i ne following steps shall apply for a change in accredi	tation body:



Calibration 224	Change of Accre	ditation Bodies		ASR-101-	-V2.1 D1.1.8.a	
	The certification body shall notify Textile Exchange of their intention to change accreditation body and submit an updated copy of ASR-206 Certification Body Application Form naming the new accreditation body.					
	2. If the succeeding accreditation body is not an authorized Textile Exchange accreditation body, the certification body shall pay the new accreditation body fee (see ASR-107 Certification Fee Structure) and the accreditation body shall complete the authorization process before the change is approved.					
		3. In the case of a voluntary change in accreditation body, the certification body shall meet the following criteria :				
	a. Any assessment which has been started by the preceding accreditation body has been completed and the accreditation decision has been made.					
	b. The certification body shall have a positive accreditation decision from the last assessment with the preceding accreditation body (i.e. there is no suspension or withdrawal of part or all of the scope of accreditation).					
	c. The certification body shall be up to date with all required submissions to Textile Exchange, including site fees and data submissions, and shall not be under sanction from Textile Exchange.					
	4. Once the above criteria have been satisfied, Textile Exchange will approve the change in accreditation body.					
	5. The succeeding accreditation body shall consider all assessment reports from the preceding accreditation body for at least the previous two years in conducting their initial assessment.					
Date Issued:	1/31/2023	Conformity Date:	1/31/2023	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 148	Ineligible Reclaimed Inputs	GRS-101-V4.0/RCS-101-V2.0 A1		
Situation:	Textile Exchange has become aware of several situations where certification bodies have issued scope certificates to material recyclers for material which has been			



Calibration 148	Ineligible Re	claimed Inputs		GRS-101-V4.0/	RCS-101-V2.0 A1
	Textile Exch	cepted by the certification body as pre-consumer, but which does not match xtile Exchange's definition of pre-consumer material. Textile Exchange knowledges that this may have related to a lack of clarity in past guidance.			
Interpretation:	Any scope certificates with ineligible inputs (i.e. which do not qualify as reclaimed) shall have the scope reduced to exclude ineligible inputs or shall be withdrawn immediately. No recertification (with the same certification body or a new certification body) is possible in these cases.				
	If the certification body is not sure if a reclaimed input is eligible or has not certified that reclaimed input before, they should reach out to Textile Exchange for clarification prior to including it in the scope of GRS or RCS certification. If Textile Exchange or the AB finds errors in this area where the certification body did not request guidance from Textile Exchange, the scope certificate shall have the scope reduced to exclude ineligible inputs or shall be withdrawn immediately.				
	Note: A previous exception was included in this calibration for scope certificates issued on or before April 15, 2021. All such scope certificates have now expired so that exception has been removed.				
	UPDATED: 2023.01.31 Originally Issued: 2021.04.15				
Date Issued:	1/31/2023	Conformity Date:	1/31/2023	Status:	Issued

Calibration 15	Pre-Consume	er Glass (Moil)			O/RCS-101-V2.0 of pre-consumer
Situation:	May glass moi	l be considered to be	e reclaimed ma	terial?	
Interpretation:	Moil (unwanted top that occurs with every glass-blown object) shall not be considered recycled under GRS. Waste from breakage and rejection may be considered pre-consumer recycled if it undergoes an additional reprocessing stage and is within industry norms for the percentage of breakage and rejection.				
	For cases where the reclaimed status of a material is in question, refer to Calibration 148.				
	UPDATED: 2023.01.19 Originally issued: 2019.03.01				
Date Issued:	1/19/2023	Conformity Date:	1/19/2023	Status:	Issued



Calibration 215	Approval for VR2 Certifications			CCS-105	-V3.0 B1.2-3
Situation:	It is unclear when approval from Textile Exchange is required to use VR2 material.				
Interpretation:	Approval from Textile Exchange is required before the certification body schedules an audit for any site which is implementing alternative volume reconciliation directly to produce VR2 materials. No special approval is needed for sites that purchase and sell VR2 materials based on the criteria of the CCS.				
Date Issued:	12/31/2022	Conformity Date:	12/31/2022	Status:	Issued

Calibration 192	Classification of certificate?	a rented facility in t	ne scope	CCS-101-	-V3.1 C5.2
Situation:	A certified organization rents a facility for the washing process and pays all costs involved such as labor, utilities and materials. Is this facility a site or a subcontractor?				
Interpretation:	When the certificate holder rents a facility to conduct a process and pays for labor, overhead, and materials used, the facility will be considered a part of the organization and shall be listed in the Site Appendix on the scope certificate as a site, not a subcontractor. UPDATED: 2022.12.12 Originally issued: 2022.09.22				
Date Issued:	12/12/2022	Conformity Date:	12/12/2022	Status:	Issued

Calibration 129	Reclaimed Inputs for Buttons	GRS-301-V4.0/RCS-301- V2.0 A1
Situation:	A button maker punches buttons out of a sheet of resing the sheet is reground to be used as an input for button considered reclaimed/recycled? Is the answer different occurs at a different site?	s. May this material be



Calibration 129	Reclaimed Inputs for Buttons O				-V4.0/RCS-301-
Interpretation:	material) shall no material input for outsourced or is The previous ver		e reclaimed or re s includes situati te. allowed any affe	cycled if it ons where	is used as a raw the regrinding is
Date Issued:	12/12/2022	Conformity Date:	2/1/2020	Status:	Issued

Calibration 80	Accepting Organ	nic Inputs for OCS		OCS-101-	-V3.0 C1.1	
Situation:		Are all national organic standards accepted for OCS inputs? May organic inputs which have been processed after the farm be accepted for OCS?				
Interpretation:	farms which are of Regulation (EC) & national organics provide organica Sites further alon inputs which are		the three categors, 8/848, or IFOAM to included in this puts for OCS. The end the first particular to a standar.	ries listed Family of S list shall no	(USDA NOP, Standards). A ot be used to may only accept	
Date Issued:	12/12/2022	Conformity Date:	12/12/2022	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 197	Certified Sites as Associated Subcontractors CCS-101-V3.1 C5.2				·V3.1C5.2
Situation:	May the scope certificate holder use a subcontractor who is independently certified to the same standard as an associated subcontractor?				
Interpretation:	A subcontractor facility that is independently certified to the same Textile Exchange standard shall not be listed as an associated subcontractor since they hold an independent scope certificate.				
Date Issued:	12/1/2022	Conformity Date:	12/1/2022	Status:	Issued

Calibration 190	Blending RDS/R of the same type	AF material with rec		-V3.0/RAF-101a- F-101b-V1.2/RAF-) B2.1.1.b		
Situation:	RDS and RAF fibers may be blended with recycled RDS or recycled RAF fibers for a labeled claimed product.					
Interpretation:	if the product cor certified to either may be applied fo	An RWS product that contains recycled wool may only qualify for labeling to the RWS if the product contains at least 5% RWS wool and 100% of the wool in the product is certified to either RWS or mixed with RCS or GRS certified wool. The same guidance may be applied for other RAF standards (e.g., blend of RMS and recycled mohair) and for RDS (blend of RDS and recycled down).				
Date Issued:	9/23/2022	Conformity Date:	9/23/2022	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 168	Ineligible Reclaimed inputs - Silk Spinning Waste	GRS-201-V4.2/RCS-201- V2.2		
Situation:	Are "silk wastes" eligible for GRS/RCS certification as "pre-consumer material"?			
Interpretation:	Waste produced from a silk filament spinning process is regularly reused in the silk spun spinning process. Therefore, such waste does not qualify as reclaimed nor preconsumer material, and the process does not qualify as recycling.			
	Therefore, only yarn hard waste as silk filament or silk considered as pre-consumer and only if the next procemechanical shredding). Follow the calibration log 148.	essing step is recycling (e.g.		



Calibration 168	Ineligible Reclair	ned inputs - Silk Sp	inning Waste	GRS-201 V2.2	-V4.2/RCS-201-			
	If a certification b waste:	If a certification body has previously issued a scope certificate for ineligible silk waste:						
		a) the scope certificate may be maintained by the certification body until its expiry, and						
		b) the certification body shall notify the client that their scope certificate cannot be renewed for this product.						
	and any scope ce	is possible with mat rtificates with ineligi d to exclude ineligib	ble inputs issued	l after Apri	l 15, 2021 shall have			
	If the certification body is not sure if a reclaimed input is eligible or has not certified that reclaimed input before, they shall reach out to Textile Exchange for clarification prior to including it in the scope of GRS or RCS certification. If Textile Exchange or the AB finds errors in this area where the certification body did not request guidance from Textile Exchange, the scope certificate shall have the scope reduced to exclude ineligible inputs or shall be withdrawn immediately.							
Date Issued:	6/9/2022	Conformity Date:	6/9/2022	Status:	Issued			

Calibration 187	Mulesing using the ring method RWS-101a-V2.2 AW3.11				
Situation:	Wool producers in Victoria, Australia, are using rubber rings (the kind used for castration) to remove excess skin from the breech area of sheep and give the same effect as standard mulesing. The skin will be pulled tight and the ring applied to stop the flow of blood and the skin dies and drops off. It will give chronic rather than acute pain to the sheep. This technique falls into the definition of mulesing in the RWS, so it is prohibited.				
Interpretation:	AW3.11.1 Freeze mulesing (steining) and any other form of breech modification is prohibited.				
Date Issued:	6/8/2022	Conformity Date:	6/8/2022	Status:	Issued



Calibration 182	Certified organiz	ation becomes ineli	gible	CCS-101-	-V3.1B4	
Situation:	government sand	A company becomes either banned by Textile Exchange or the United States government sanctions a company, product, or input which results in the company becoming ineligible for certification.				
Interpretation:	identified as restr body:	In the event that a previously certified component, product, shipment, or site is identified as restricted, and therefore ineligible for certification, the certification body:				
		nmediately notify Te e(s) of such ineligible	=	ind Withdra	aw the related scope	
		ease all related certil by Textile Exchange		within the	prescribed timeline	
	and any r	c) Shall notify the certification body of any buyer of the identified ineligibility and any resulting withdrawal if any related transaction certificate(s) has been issued during the validity period of the scope certificate;				
	that have ineligible certificati	d) Shall not issue transaction certificates for products at the first processor that have become ineligible for certification immediately upon becoming ineligible. For products already certified prior to becoming ineligible, the certification body may consider them to be certified unless specifically identified by Textile Exchange to be considered ineligible immediately; And				
		e) Shall not issue transaction certificates for ineligible products after six months of becoming ineligible.				
	Note: This applie item D).	Note: This applies only to outputs of any process that is not the first processor (see item D).				
Date Issued:	6/8/2022	Conformity Date:	6/8/2022	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 180	Assessment of T	extile Exchange log	o use by CBs	ASR-101-	-V2.1 C4.6	
Situation:		It is not clear in the ACP what ABs shall check in their assessment of CBs regarding CB use of the Textile Exchange logo and CB adherence to the Claims Policy.				
Interpretation:	A certification body becomes authorized to use of the Textile Exchange standard logo(s) when the certification body licensing contract for the applicable standard has been signed with Textile Exchange (see TE-301-V1.2 Standards Claims Policy, section C2.5). The certification body may use a placeholder for the Textile Exchange standard logo (e.g. "logo goes here") to demonstrate conformity of logo use for assessment by their accreditation body prior to the certification body licensing contract being signed. The accreditation body shall evaluate certification body conformance with C2.3, C2.4, and C2.5 of TE-301-V1.2 Standards Claims Policy during their assessments of the certification body.					
Date Issued:	6/8/2022	Conformity Date:	6/8/2022	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 177	Minimum month	s of records for Initia	CCS-201-V3.0 & V2.0 C4 CCS V3.0 & B1.2 of CCS V		
Situation:	How many months of records (such as production, attendance, payroll, etc.) should the facility have before a GRS audit can be conducted if a facility was just established?				
Interpretation:	If a brand new facility is being certified, at least three months of operation records are needed to ensure a proper CCS and/or GRS audit.				
Date Issued:	4/28/2022	Conformity Date:	4/28/2022	Status:	Issued



Calibration 23	Transition Betwe	een Standard Versio	ons	ASR-101-	-V2.1 D4.4.2
Situation:	Transition from o	ld standard version	to new version.		
Interpretation:	requirements as of publication in the to the updated st. Update (1): Certifing implementation of the update (2): Organishall operate in control operate in	20.06.30	olementation dat The certification ents as of the nex nform their clien ew standards or n or after the man	te (typically n body shal t regularly ts of the ma requiremen	y one year after Il check conformity scheduled audit. andatory nts.
Date Issued:	3/14/2022	Conformity Date:	3/14/2022	Status:	Issued

Calibration 149	Restricted Chemicals in Fiber Production	GRS-101-V4.0 D2.2			
Situation:	have been certified to the GRS while using restricted of has further identified that in many cases there might be available, and that the requirements of GRS Section D	le Exchange has identified that a number of chip, fiber, and filament producers been certified to the GRS while using restricted chemicals. Textile Exchange urther identified that in many cases there might be no alternative chemical able, and that the requirements of GRS Section D might be so strict as to cively exclude particular fibers from the GRS system unintentionally.			
Interpretation:	If the site uses any of the listed restricted chemicals in a chip, fiber, or filament production process, the scope certificate shall be withdrawn or downgraded to RCS immediately. This includes but is not limited to the following chemicals and fibers:				
	 DMAC (Dimethylacetamide/ Dimethylacetamide) in Antimony trioxide and Cobalt (II) Acetate Tetrahydr The details of how these chemicals may be used in cer 	ate in polyester.			
	will be considered in the unified standard developmen	t process.			



Calibration 149	Restricted Chemicals in Fiber Production			GRS-101-V4.0 D2.2		
	Note: A previous exception was included in this calibration for scope certificates issued on or before April 15, 2021. All such scope certificates have now expired so that exception has been removed. UPDATED: 2022.01.31 Originally Issued: 2021.04.15					
Date Issued:	1/31/2022	Conformity Date:	1/31/2022	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 161	Collectors and C Geographic Scop	oncentrators Outsic pe	le of CB's		-V4.2/RCS-201- Guidance
Situation:	If a certification body has a limited geographic scope of operations, may the certification body's material recycler clients source from collectors or concentrators which are located outside of the geographic scope?				
Interpretation:	All collectors and concentrators shall be located within the geographic scope of the material recycler's certification body unless one of the following options applies:				
	 The collector or concentrator is independently certified to the RCS or GRS; or The certification body outsources all required evaluation of the collector or concentrator to a certification body who is accredited for the RCS and/or GRS with a geographic scope which includes the collector or concentrator's location. 				
Date Issued:	1/31/2022	Conformity Date:	1/31/2022	Status:	Issued

Calibration 173	RAF GIS Farm Questions: Elimination of Excel submission option.	RAF-102-V2.1 D1.2.1.b		
Situation:	RAF-102-v2.01, D1.2.1b requires data submission of Farm Questions using an online survey form or an Excel file. This requirement is mandatory as of January 1st, 2022. Textile Exchange made both options available as it was unknown whether the ArcGIS system would be ready by then.			
	As the system was made ready by January 1st, 2022, t longer be an alternative because it cannot be linked to dTrackit, thus requiring manual processing and delays accommodate manual submission of this information v 2022, so the focus will be on implementing only autom	the automated features of s. The system will no longer via Excel after March 31st,		



Calibration 173	RAF GIS Farm Qu submission option	uestions: Eliminatio on.	n of Excel	RAF-102	-V2.1 D1.2.1.b	
Interpretation:	(including each n Farmer Group Ce complete and acc The options avail later than April 1s 1. The online surv 2. A mobile app w	The certification body shall report a set of Farm Questions for each certified farm (including each member in the case of Farm Group Certification and Communal Farmer Group Certification). The certification body shall ensure all questions are complete and accurate prior to submission. The options available for submission and with mandatory implementation date no later than April 1st, 2022 are: 1. The online survey form, using the custom link provided to the certification body. 2. A mobile app with a convenient offline feature which allows entering data and submitting it later if there are internet connectivity issues.				
Date Issued:	1/26/2022	Conformity Date:	1/26/2022	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 170	Removal of sheep from natural pasture	RWS-101a-V2.2 AW5.7, AW2.14, AW2.11, AW3.2, LM1.1	
Situation:	Australian dry weather conditions affect the pasture ground cover in farms, forcing the farmer to practice continuous confinement feeding as a regular farming practice. Each year, sheep are held off pasture in a confinement yard for a period of time, usually summer or autumn seasons. The animals cannot demonstrate natural behaviors and have welfare conditions (5 freedoms), and the farmer feeds them with supplementary hay, anipro, and barley. Challenges can arise when the farm uses confinement yards as a management tool rather than as a contingency plan, as the RWS standard specifies. The auditors are signaling confinement feeding as a major non-conformity, but the farmers find it very difficult to stop this practice under the current weather conditions in Australia.		
Interpretation:	The RWS-101a -v2.2 Responsible Wool Standard recognizes in Section C Animal Welfare the need to provide the flock with access to natural graze as an integral part of their living environment (AW2.11) and establishes the requirement to have a pasture-based system for sheep. The only exception is when an emergency or		



Calibration 170	Removal of shee	p from natural pastı	ıre		a-V2.2 AW5.7, AW2.11, AW3.2,		
	would otherwise	conditions such as droughts, floods, fires, heavy snowfall, etc., e negatively impact sheep welfare and affect the amount of pasture eep or keeping a healthy soil base for it.					
	principles when r	e CB shall use the following criteria to assess if the farmer complies with the R nciples when removing sheep from natural pasture. The farmer needs to mee L clauses to be considered compliant with AW2.11:					
	weather affecting including a detail This clause also of seasons, which	covers situations like	g the removal of son that guarantees weather conditioning	sheep from s sheep we ons resultin us, it requi	natural pasture, elfare, as per AW5.7. ng from the change ires the written plan		
	2. The farm establishes a correct and effective stocking rate and follows it, as per AW2.14. The proper management of this rate can provide, for the most part, enough in-farm produced hay/forage to feed the flock during periods when removed from natural pasture.						
	3. The farm keeps records of the duration and justification of each emergency or severe weather occurrence that led to removing sheep from natural pasture. Justification may involve information such as weather data, soil moisture deficits, pasture vegetation cover measurement, predator monitoring etc.						
Date Issued:	1/11/2022	Conformity Date:	1/11/2022	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 164	Collectors and Coverification & physical	oncentrators - Add ysical inspection	itional	GRS-201 V2.2 A4	-V4.2/RCS-201- Guidance		
Situation:	keep a list of all co this total shall be inspection."	The GRS Implementation Manual V4.2 A4 states that "Certification bodies shall keep a list of all collectors and concentrators that supply to recycling clients. 10% of his total shall be chosen for additional verification, with 2% chosen for physical inspection." It is not clear that if the percentages selected for additional verification should be determined per certification body, per certified organization, or on another basis.					
Interpretation:	physical inspection rounded up. The	The sampling of collectors and concentrators (10% additional verification and 2% physical inspection) shall be determined per certification body and is always rounded up. The 2% sample for physical inspection may be counted towards the 10% sample for additional verification.					
	Example: A certification body has certified 50 GRS material recyclers (mechanic chemical, and/or biological) in total. Each recycler has one collector and one concentrator. As a result, the certification body will have $50x1 + 50x1 = 100$ collectors/concentrators. The certification body therefore needs to select 10% of = 10 collectors/concentrators for additional verification and 2% of 100 = 2 collectors/concentrators for physical inspection.						
Date Issued:	9/22/2021	Conformity Date:	9/22/2021	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 162	Sale of Mixed Down and Feather from a Slaughter Site ASR-213-V1.1 Table						
Situation:	Some RDS slaughter sites sell mixed down and feather to sites which are not included in the same scope certificate. The slaughter sites are not able to determine the exact percentage of down and of feather from the total quantity, since these two raw materials are separated at the down processing stage. How should this material be reflected on scope and transaction certificates?						
Interpretation:	The raw material codes RM0330 and RM0331 (PFC) may be used for mixed down and feather on transaction certificates only if the seller named on the transaction certificate is a slaughter site. These codes may also be used on the corresponding scope certificates. These codes shall not be used on scope or transaction certificates where the percentage of down and of feather in the product can be identified.						
Date Issued:	9/16/2021	Conformity Date:	9/16/2021	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 159	Physical Possession CCS-101-V3.0 B1						
Situation:	be certified. We o	In the CCS we say that traders without physical possession of product do not have to be certified. We occasionally get questions about what 'physical possession' means. Can you draft a calibration for that and let us know what it is so we can add that as a future update to the User Manual as well?					
Interpretation:	Physical possession of goods is the physical custody or control of goods in materia form. It is different from ownership of goods, where an entity acquires the proprietarights over the goods. For example, when a processor sends materials to a subcontractor, they merely transfer the physical possession and not the ownership of materials. Here, the processor is the owner of the materials but does not have physical possession of materials, and the subcontractor has physical possession of materials but is not the owner.						
	ers, distributors, roducts. Others, ermediary function. etup of their over the different ng the integrity of						
Date Issued:	9/3/2021	Conformity Date:	9/3/2021	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 155	CNCA Registrati	on and Freelancers	ASR-101- D3.2.6.f	-V2.1 D1.1.3 &		
Situation:	May a certification body contract with auditors who work for an entity registered with CNCA but which is not accredited to ISO 17065 as a way to meet the Chinese legal requirement for CNCA registration?					
Interpretation:	Any independently owned entity which holds registration with CNCA for the purpose of a certification body operating legally in China is considered to be a subcontractor of the certification body and is therefore required to hold ISO 17065 accreditation. Freelancers in China may be hired by a certification body or certification body subcontractor which holds CNCA registration.					
Date Issued:	7/26/2021	Conformity Date:	7/26/2021	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 151	Organizations Bo	ns Between Farm and First Processor RAF-101a-V2.1/RAF V1.1/RAF-101c-V1.0					
Situation:	In some countries, "brokers" take possession of animal fiber in between the farm and the first processor, often to facilitate a sale at auction. It is unclear if these brokers require certification.						
Interpretation:	Organizations which take legal ownership of animal fiber in between the farm and the first processor are required to be certified to the standard, except where the applicable version of the CCS allows for an exception to certification. If an organization takes physical possession of the animal fiber (i.e. for storage) but not legal ownership, the organization shall be treated as a subcontractor by whoever owns the animal fiber while it is being stored (typically the farm or ICS). If the organization arranges for the sale of wool (e.g. at auction) and charges a service fee to the farm, they are not considered to take legal ownership even if they receive payment for the animal fiber and remit it to the farm. In this case, the organization would be considered to be a broker. If the organization purchases the animal fiber from the farm for a set price, they are considered to take legal ownership.						
Date Issued:	6/4/2021	Conformity Date:	6/4/2021	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 145	CB Translations of Documents ASR-101-V2.1 D1.8.1					
Situation:	May certification bodies prepare translations of Textile Exchange standards or other Textile Exchange documents to share with their non-English speaking clients?					
Interpretation:	Certification bodies may publish their own translations of Textile Exchange Standards and other documents, provided the following conditions are met:					
	 Textile Exchange has not published an offici in the target language. Any certification body t discontinued if Textile Exchange publishes an 	ranslations shall be				
	2. A copy of the translated document shall be provided to Textile Exchable by email to Assurance@TextileExchange.org.					



Calibration 145	CB Translations	-V2.1 D1.8.1				
	3. For documents in Chinese or Spanish, the certification body shall wait for approval from Textile Exchange before publishing the document.					
	4. Documents shall not copy any photos used in the original Textile Exchange document. Explanatory graphics may be copied.					
	5. Documents shall include the text "Unofficial translation prepared by <cb name(s)="">". This text shall appear in English on the first page and in the target language on every page.</cb>					
	6. Certification bodies working in the same region or language are encouraged to collaborate on translations to improve consistency.					
	7. Where Textile Exchange provides a glossary of translated terms in the target language, the provided terms shall be used.					
Date Issued:	3/22/2021	Conformity Date:	3/22/2021	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 134	USDA NOP Eligibility OCS-205-V2.1 Box 15						
Situation:	processor) deteri	How should the certification body of a supply chain organization (not a first processor) determine the answer to the question "Certification of the organic material used for the products listed complies with USDA NOP rules"?					
Interpretation:	comply with USD NOP rules, as ind incoming OCS Ma 'No' is checked for Checking 'Yes' or rules. Products fr	For supply chain companies after the first processor, OCS Material is considered to comply with USDA NOP rules if all of the incoming OCS Material complies with USDA NOP rules, as indicated on the incoming transaction certificate. If any of the incoming OCS Material cannot be confirmed to comply with USDA NOP rules (i.e. 'No' is checked for this box on the incoming TC), 'No' shall be selected. Checking 'Yes' on this box indicates that all listed products comply with USDA NOP rules. Products from the same shipment may be divided into separate TCs if necessary to allow for this.					
Date Issued:	1/18/2021	Conformity Date:	1/18/2021	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 131	Non-NPOP Seed Cotton in India				-V3.0 C1.1		
Situation:	Can non-NPOP seed cotton from India be accepted in the OCS supply chain at the ginning stage, if it is certified to another standard that is approved under IFOAM Family of Standards?						
Interpretation:	organic fibers ori	Since organic fibers are covered under NPOP and to meet national regulations, any organic fibers originating from India must be certified to NPOP as a basic requirement, to be accepted as OCS Material. Other certifications such as NOP may also be in place for the fibers.					
Date Issued:	1/18/2021	Conformity Date:	1/18/2021	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 136	Definition of "Lot" RAF-101a-V2.1/RAF-101b-V1.1 G1						
Situation:	What is referred to by the word "lot" for the purposes of G1.7.3 in the RAF standards? Is it acceptable for a farm group to outsource the storage of bales of wool which may be combined into lots for sale?						
Interpretation:		The word "lot" in G1.7.3 of the RAF standards refers to any discrete, identifiable unit of fiber which cannot be accidentally mixed with other lots.					
Date Issued:	1/15/2021	Conformity Date:	1/15/2021	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 74	Scope of Processes for GRS Chemical Requirements GRS-101-V4.0 D2						
Situation:	Are processes that do not "add" to the product (e.g. spin finishes for yarn) included in the scope of evaluation for chemicals according to the GRS?						
Interpretation:	Spin finishers (and processing aids) are still considered to be part of the scope of the GRS. The GRS does not make a distinction between products that are "added to the product," but uses the phrase: "GRS criteria for the use of chemicals that may be used in the production of GRS products are based on the following main requirements" GRS chemical rules are applicable to all certified materials, as well as any non-certified materials once they are blended into a certified product and to any other inputs used during production.						
Date Issued:	1/14/2021	Conformity Date:	1/14/2021	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 112	Sufficient Person	nnel		ASR-101	-V2.1 D3.1.1
Situation:	What is a sufficie	nt number of person	nel based on the	number of	scope certificates?
Interpretation:	certificates will vapersonnel needed 1. The timplanning, 2. The tinclaims ap 3. The pe Exchange 4. Time s 5. Full-time The number of pe	ersonnel needed for a ary depending on the d, the certification be the required to conduct and reporting), as we have required for admit provals; closing NCs reentage of each perestandards; pent on training and the working hours aftersonnel should not be cates, and this will ty	e circumstances. ody should consider each audit (incovell as the review nistrative functions) per scope certifeson's time which general administer regular time of the less than 1 full-	In determider: cluding auc /certificati ns (e.g. iss ficate; n is dedicat trative tasl fftime equiv	lit time, travel, on decision; suing SCs, TCs, and sed to Textile
Date Issued:	1/14/2021	Conformity Date:	1/14/2021	Status:	Issued

Calibration 128	RAF ICS in a Diffe	erent Country	RAF-101a V1.0 F1.3	a-V2.0/RAF-101b-		
Situation:	-	May an RAF farm group ICS be located in a different country than the farms, provided that necessary management oversight is maintained?				
Interpretation:	certified organiza	The ICS location for a farm group shall be in the same country as the farms. If the certified organization's main operation is in another country, it may be included as a subsequent site in the scope certificate and may be involved with the work of the ICS.				
Date Issued:	12/15/2020	Conformity Date:	12/15/2020	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 116	Reclaimed Fur			GRS-101- V2.0 A3.	-V4.0/RCS-101- 1b	
Situation:	May reclaimed fu	May reclaimed fur be accepted as an input for GRS or RCS?				
Interpretation:		Post-consumer reclaimed fur may be accepted as an input for GRS or RCS. Preconsumer reclaimed fur is outside the scope of the standards.				
Date Issued:	11/9/2020	Conformity Date:	11/9/2020	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 103	Withdrawing SCs Electronic certifi	V2.1 D1.1.14a				
Situation:	A certification body uses only electronic certificates, which causes the certification body to lack provisions to comply with requirement D1.1.14a to have clients return all copies of certificates (as no physical certificates are issued).					
Interpretation:	obligations under	In the case of suspension or withdrawal of a scope certificate, the organization's obligations under D1.1.14a are considered to be met if the original scope certificate (if issued as a physical document) is returned to the certification body and all electronic or printed copies of the scope certificate are destroyed.				
Date Issued:	10/29/2020	Conformity Date:	10/29/2020	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 110	Timing of Recertification Audits and SC Issuance ASR-101-V2.1 D4.7.3						
Situation:	Recertification audits are sometimes conducted 2-3 months prior to the expiry of the existing scope certificate, meaning the deadline for the certification decision (60 days after the audit) may fall before the expiry of the previous scope certificate. How should scope certificate issuance and validity dates be handled in this case?						
Interpretation:	scope certificate. days prior to the made within 60 d	Textile Exchange's intention is that the anniversary date remain consistent for each scope certificate. In the case that the recertification audit is conducted more than 60 days prior to the expiry of a scope certificate, the certification decision shall still be made within 60 days, but the certification body may wait until the expiry of the previous scope certificate to issue the new scope certificate.					
Date Issued:	10/29/2020	Conformity Date:	10/29/2020	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 65	Recycled Down a	and Feathers		GRS-101- V2.0 A1	-V4.0/RCS-101-	
Situation:	A down recycler purchases reclaimed down which has been through a light "prewash" process. The recycler's washing process is considered to be the recycling process. Does the supplier require RCS/GRS certification?					
Interpretation:	needs to be RCS/ certification body	If the reclaimed down supplier is purchasing down from a collector, the supplier needs to be RCS/GRS certified. If the supplier is acting as a collector, the certification body may agree for the down recycler to accept a Reclaimed Material Declaration Form from the supplier.				
Date Issued:	8/27/2020	Conformity Date:	8/27/2020	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 89	Use of Expired O	xpired Organic Food OCS-101-V3.0 C1.1					
Situation:		May organic expired/non-saleable food products (e.g. collected from grocery stores) be accepted as inputs for OCS?					
Interpretation:	may be accepted 1. The product was supply chain or the 2. The organic standards: a. A supply chain b. A packaged prestandard, and whe packaging) is core In this case, the packaged pressure of the packaging	atus of the product s transaction certifica oduct which carries a tere the scope certific	ne following apply s food/feed and i hall verified throu te from an accep an organic label f cate for the final	y: ugh one of oted organi from an acc processor hall be cons	the following c standard; or cepted organic (as identified on the		
Date Issued:	8/27/2020	Conformity Date:	8/27/2020	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 67	Freedom of association and collective bargaining GRS-101-V4.0 B2.3					
Situation:	Is the client required to have some form of elected worker representation? E.g. union, collective bargaining agreement, or worker committee.					
Interpretation:	The intent of this requirement is to ensure that unions and other forms of worker organization are not blocked/actively avoided. There is no requirement that one be in place if the workers have not chosen to do so.					
Date Issued:	7/16/2020	Conformity Date:	7/16/2020	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 70	Clarification on S	Shadow Audit Requi	ASR-101- D3.1.6b,	-V2.1 D3.1.5e, f; c			
Situation:	May certification bodies perform one shadow audit to the more complex standard (e.g. GRS) and consider this to cover as a shadow audit for all other standards (e.g. CCS, RCS, OCS)?						
Interpretation:	which includes the for CCS auditor questions shadow audit requestions.	Auditor qualification scopes are intended to mirror accreditation scopes. Any audit which includes the CCS (all except farms) may meet the shadow audit requirement for CCS auditor qualification. A GRS audit at a material recycler may meet the shadow audit requirement for RCS auditor qualification. GRS/RCS audits may not be used to complete OCS qualification, or vice versa.					
Date Issued:	7/16/2020	Conformity Date:	7/16/2020	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 73	Auditors conducting audits of the same organization in consecutive years			ASR-101-	-V2.1 D1.2.13c	
Situation:	If there are multiple auditors on an audit team, does the limit of three consecutive years apply to all auditors or only to the lead auditor?					
Interpretation:	·	The requirement for an auditor to not audit the same organization in more than three consecutive years applies to all auditors.				
Date Issued:	7/16/2020	Conformity Date:	7/16/2020	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 94	Combined Audit	Checklists		ASR-101-	-V2.1 D4.4.19		
Situation:	May a certification body produce a combined checklist or report intended to address both a Textile Exchange standard and another standard? What approval for this is needed?						
Interpretation:	to address both a required element approval is neede	A certification body may produce a combined checklist or report template intended to address both a Textile Exchange standard and another standard, provided that all required elements for the Textile Exchange standard are included. No special approval is needed, though the checklist or template shall be evaluated by the accreditation body during the next office assessment.					
Date Issued:	7/16/2020	Conformity Date:	7/16/2020	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 78	Certification of Ocean Waste	GRS-101-V4.0/RCS-101-V2.0 A1					
Situation:	near oceans) specifically on GRS and RCS transa	Some organizations wish to claim reclaimed ocean waste (waste collected from in or near oceans) specifically on GRS and RCS transaction certificates. Standard requirements do not validate that the plastic is in fact ocean plastic.					
Interpretation:	Reclaimed ocean waste is defined as material which has been reclaimed from oceans and/or from shorelines (within 0.2 km of the water level at low tide or the water level at high tide, whichever is higher). Materials from municipal recycling systems may not be included as reclaimed ocean waste.						
	No claims relating to reclaimed ocean waste may be included on transaction certificates unless the following conditions are met:						
	The collector, concentrator, and all har certified.	ndlers are either RCS or GRS					
	2. In this case, the material recycler may certificate to produce a GRS product.	accept an incoming RCS transaction					
	3. All material is confirmed to be reclaime collector and concentrator.	ed ocean waste during audits of the					
	4. "Reclaimed ocean waste" may be mentioned on Box 15 of the transaction certificate. It may not be mentioned on the scope certificate.						
	5. For sites after the material recycler, re the incoming transaction certificate. And						



Calibration 78	Certification of Ocean Waste			GRS-101-V4.0/RCS-101-V2.0 A1		
	6. Public-facing claims relating to reclaimed ocean waste are not associated with the RCS or GRS standard names or logos.					
	<u>Note</u> : This issue has been identified for reconsideration by the International Working Group during the next RCS and GRS revision process.					
	UPDATED: 2020.08.07 Originally Issued: 2020.07.02					
Date Issued:	7/8/2020	Conformity Date:	7/8/2020	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 88	Use of Expired Food as Recycled			GRS-101-V4.0/RCS-101- V2.0 A1		
Situation:	May expired/non-saleable food products (e.g. collected from grocery stores) be accepted as recycled inputs?					
Interpretation:	Food waste which is used as feedstock for a (non-food) recycling process may be accepted as an input for GRS or RCS. If the food waste is collected in the supply chain (e.g. from grocery stores) it shall be considered to be pre-consumer.					
Date Issued:	7/7/2020	Conformity Date:	7/7/2020	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 62	Oligomer Recycling			GRS-101-V4.0/RCS-101- V2.0 A1		
Situation:	There is a lack of clarity regarding oligomer recycling. Previous guidance from Textile Exchange did not allow oligomers to be considered reclaimed/recycled.					
Interpretation:	Oligomers produced during processing may be accepted as a reclaimed input under GRS and RCS. If the oligomers are purchased by a recycler they may be considered to be pre-consumer.					
Date Issued:	7/2/2020	Conformity Date:	7/2/2020	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 45	Re-Recycling of	Post-Consumer Ma	terials		-V4.0/RCS-101- definition of post- r	
Situation:	Can waste from post-consumer recycling activities still be considered post-consumer waste?					
Interpretation:	Post-consumer recycled material which is put through an additional (pre-consumer) recycling process may continue to be considered post-consumer, provided that the percentage of post-consumer content can be accurately determined. If the percentage of material which is post-consumer prior to the final recycling process cannot be accurately determined, the material shall be considered to be pre-consumer. UPDATED: 2020.06.30 Originally Issue: 2017.03.01					
Date Issued:	5/30/2020	Conformity Date:	5/30/2020	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 64	Shadow Assessment Definition	ASR-101-V2.0 C4.6.3b, C4.6.4b, C4.6.8		
Situation:	Clarity needed regarding definition of a Shadow Assessment - do these mean strictly witness audits or also include review audits?			
Interpretation:	References to accreditation body shadow assessment defined in the situation. Review audits are a valuable t believes that it is important to observe how the certific the audit. The minimum frequency for shadow assessments is o and we know that in many circumstances accreditatio shadows than this. After the minimum number of shad review audits as described may be used.	ool, but Textile Exchange also cation body auditor conducts ne per two years per standard, n bodies are conducting more		



Calibration 64	Shadow Assessr	nent Definition		ASR-101	-V2.0 C4.6.3b,	
				C4.6.4b,	,	
				C4.6.8		
	IOAS definitions used in this calibration:					
	Witness Audits - accreditation body assessor observes certification body's auditor(s) at work; assessor and auditor(s) have an introductory meeting prior to the audit, and an exit meeting for feedback and clarification of any questions after the audit, neither meeting involving the audited organization; assessor will not speak during the audit but may take notes. Witness audits should be full audits. Review Audits - accreditation body assessor visits the organization and verifies information from the certification body's latest audit report and certification decision; it includes interviewing the operator or the person who was present for the last inspection, reviewing records, and a physical visit to the premises. Duration may vary according to operation size and complexity but is not expected to be a full repeat audit.					
	The auditor that conducted the reviewed audit is not required to be present; certification body is strongly encouraged to have a certification body representative accompanying the assessor during the Review Audit to witness it but shall not interfere with the assessor's work in any sense.)					
Date Issued:	2/20/2020	Conformity Date:	2/20/2020	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 10	Generating Pre-Consumer Waste				-V4.0/RCS-101-	
Situation:	Pre-consumer waste that is collected from a production stage and then used again in the same production stage may undergo processing steps that meet the definition of "recycled material".					
Interpretation:	Production wastes may only be considered to be pre-consumer reclaimed material if they are reprocessed through a recycling process at a site with 'recycling' included as a process in its scope. UPDATE: 2020.06.30 Originally Issued: 2019.05.01					
Date Issued:	5/1/2019	Conformity Date:	5/1/2019	Status:	Issued	