

Calibration Log

The following is a list of calibration items issued by Textile Exchange. This list is provided publicly on the <u>Textile Exchange website</u>.

Calibration items shown apply to current normative documents, or to documents which are currently being phased out (Status: "Retirement Pending"). These represent supplemental guidance which Textile Exchange will integrate into guidance documents such as User Manuals were possible. Calibration only applies to the applicable version of a document, where it is specified.

Each calibration item has been assigned a unique number for easy reference. This can be found at the top left corner, before the calibration title (e.g. "Calibration 150"). Please note that not all numbers will be listed nor listed sequentially due to some calibration items having been rejected, retired, or are still pending review/approval.

All calibration items will be retired with the release of the next major revision of the applicable Textile Exchange standard and/or policy. Any calibration items that still apply will then be reissued as applicable.

An automatic 14-day consultation period applies for each calibration item after the first time it is published for certification bodies, during which Textile Exchange will accept feedback to Assurance@TextileExchange.org. Certification bodies shall apply the calibration as applicable during this time.

Calibration 219	Multiple Geographic Origins on Transaction Certificates	ASR-104-V3.0 B2.12.1d,e	
Situation:	Some transaction certificates include more than one geographic origin (country/area and state/province) of raw material in one single line item in Box 11 of the transaction certificate when the same material comes from different locations. Is it correct to do this? How to proceed if have the same material from 3 different locations, but the distinction of how much came from each region is not specified?		
Interpretation:	If there is more than one geographic origin (country/area and state/province) for the same raw material on a transaction certificate, this may be depicted in one of two ways: Option 1 - Preferred		
	ertified weight is separated out per raw material and per geographic origin tate/province). Where the exact weight is not available per geographic origin sed on the input transaction certificate(s), this may be estimated based on e overall proportion of the raw material on the input transaction certificate(s).		



Calibration 219	Multiple Geograp Certificates	hic Origins on Trans	action	ASR-104-V B2.12.1d,e	3.0
	EXAMPLE: A transaction certificate includes 20,000 kg of RM0189 from provinces AA and BB in Country1 and state CC in Country2.				
	The transaction co	The transaction certificate shows raw materials as follows:			
	RM0189 - certified	d weight 10,000 kg -	Country1 (AA)		
	RM0189 - certified	d weight 3,000 kg - C	Country1 (BB)		
	RM0189 - certified	d weight 7,000 kg - C	ountry2 (CC)		
	Option 2				
	Certified weight is listed per raw material but is not separated out per geographic origin.				
	EXAMPLE: As above. The transaction certificate shows raw materials as follows:				as
	RM0189 - certified weight 20,000 kg - Country1 (AA); Country1 (BB); Country2 (CC)				Country2
	OR				
	RM0189 - certified	d weight 13,000 kg - (Country 1 (AA); Co	untry1 (BB)	
	RM0189 - certified weight 7,000 kg - Country 2 (CC)				
	NOTE: Textile Exchange intends to link raw material origins directly to products in a future version of the transaction certificate policy.				
Date Issued:	2023.07.07	Conformity Date:	2023.07.07	Status:	Issued



Calibration 221	Ginning Facilities in Purchasing Seed Cotton	OCS-105-V0.1, ASR- 106-v2.3			
Situation:	It has been noted that in some cases in Turkey a ginning facility purchases seed cotton but is not named as the buyer on the input (farm) transaction certificate or seller on the output (lint cotton) transaction certificate, and is instead listed as a subcontractor. GOTS has created an exception for transaction certificates issued before October 1, 2022.				
Interpretation:	by definition and therefore shall be identified as the buy	If a ginning facility is purchasing seed cotton, that facility is not a subcontractor by definition and therefore shall be identified as the buyer on input (farm) transaction certificates, then as the seller of lint cotton on the output transaction certificate.			
	in Turkey issued before October 1, 2022. In such cases, Exchange is required to review the transaction certificat OCS In Conversion Public Exemption and ASR-106 Accestandards), a declaration from the seller on the first GO	s permitted an exception to these criteria for transaction certificates issued before October 1, 2022. In such cases, whenever Textile is required to review the transaction certificate data (see OCS-105 nversion Public Exemption and ASR-106 Accepted Equivalent s), a declaration from the seller on the first GOTS transaction shall be provided to Textile Exchange alongside the transaction so which states the following:			
	1. The name and address of the organization making the	1. The name and address of the organization making the declaration;			
	2. The name, job title, and signature of the authorized si	gnatory;			
	3. The number of the first GOTS transaction certificate a certification body, date, volume (kg), and buyer's name	-			
	4. A declaration that:				
		e organization will ensure that all transaction certificates issued on or stober 1, 2022 will meet this requirement; and at the information provided about the transaction certificate is true and			
	b. The organization will ensure that all transaction cer after October 1, 2022 will meet this requirement; and				
	c. That the information provided about the transactio correct.				



Calibration 221	Ginning Facilities in Purchasing Seed Cotton		OCS-105-V0 106-v2.3	D.1, ASR-	
	If the first GOTS transaction certificate was issued on or after October 1, 2022, no exception to the definition of subcontractor is permitted.			1, 2022,	
Date Issued:	2023.07.07	Conformity Date:	2023.07.07	Status:	Issued

Calibration 233		tag, or label manufac ds for making claims		CCS-201-V	3.1 E	
Situation:		When packaging, hangtags, or labels are certified to a Textile Exchange Standard, who is considered to be the brand?				
Interpretation:	be brands. The for examples in the line added: This company wo products which a attaching to anot distribution chandone by the company the final packagin	packaging, hangtags allowing example show st of examples in the sould be considered a bare packaging, hangtagher product (e.g. garranels. The labeling and pany, as is the printing els. In the case of packaging product may be physice being sold to the fire	uld be considered a guidance note, the grand: A company o gs, or labels used f nent) and sells the l branding of the pr g and physical atta kaging, which is no ysically handled by	designs and or holding or m through m roduct are gothing, in the ot physically	develops r nultiple enerally case of attached,	
Date Issued:	2023.07.07	Conformity Date:	2023.07.07	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 223	Requirements for Wastewater/Effluent/Sludge Treatment Systems	GRS-101-V4.0 C2.3e, C2.3f			
Situation:	The GRS allows treating wastewater either on-site or off treat wastewater off-site in a common effluent treatment may be private or government owned.	- · ·			
	conform with GRS v4.0 Appendix D which is based on ZI Guidelines. However, CETPs abide by local or national g standards which usually are more lenient than ZDHC's standards. Additionally, the certification bodies cannot	/Effluent section in the GRS establishes that CETPs need to th GRS v4.0 Appendix D which is based on ZDHC's Wastewater. However, CETPs abide by local or national governmental which usually are more lenient than ZDHC's Additionally, the certification bodies cannot audit the quality of the ng the CETP. These facts create a gap in conformity with the GRS.			
	because it doesn't refer to any guideline parameters to a	the GRS is vague on proper treatment and disposal of sludge doesn't refer to any guideline parameters to assess its attributes, eded for on-site treatment systems that certification bodies will			
Interpretation:	Each GRS site shall have a system to ensure that wastewater receives proper treatment, whether the site has an on-site process or uses an off-site service provider. Depending on the wastewater treatment location, the following applies:				
	a. On-site treatment systems shall conform to the criteri C2.3.	a of GRS-101-V4.0			
	i. For sludge management, the site should provide the with a copy of the valid contract between the site and the contractor.				
	b. If using an off-site treatment plant, known as a common plant (CETP), the site shall provide the certification body the treated wastewater/effluent leaving the CETP facility national legal parameters.	y with evidence that			
	i. The certification body should confirm that the CETF reviewing the existence of a permit, agreement, or contr site or with any other system participants such as the location board.	act with the certified			
	ii. The site should provide evidence that sludge generated facility meets local or national legal parameters.	ated at the CETP			



Calibration	Requirements for Wastewater/Effluent/Sludge			GRS-101-V4.0	
223	Treatment Systems			C2.3e, C2.3f	
Date Issued:	2023.05.31	Conformity Date:	2023.05.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 227	Darkness Period	for Ducks		RDS-101-V3 AW2.7	3.0
Situation:	Ducks are primarily raised for meat with down a secondary consideration. Companies worldwide are working with different criteria when providing a mandatory darkness period to ducks, depending on the country of operation and the standard(s) prevailing within the farmed duck industry of each country. The RDS criteria differ from some of these standards. Textile Exchange identified the benefit of updating the RDS with the most common practice. This calibration aligns with the proposed language for Textile Exchange's upcoming unified standard.				
Interpretation:	RDS criterion AW2.7 may be implemented as follows where the criterion as written in the standard is not feasible: Except for brooding under a heat lamp up to four weeks of age, ducks shall be provided a minimum period of six hours of continuous darkness - or near darkness - at night and this shall be preceded by thirty minutes of dusk and followed by thirty minutes of dawn. In addition, a minimum of eight hours of light during the day shall be provided.				
Date Issued:	2023.05.31	Conformity Date:	2023.05.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 228	Use of Poison Baiting for Predator Control	RWS-101a-V2.2 LM2.6.3
Situation:	Farmers in different parts of Australia are currently having feral pests such as foxes, wild dogs, and feral pigs. Their production and financial loss and the local economy is as such that national and state authorities have implement farmers.	rattacks cause ffected. The impact is



Calibration 228	Use of Poison Baiting for Predator Control	RWS-101a-V2.2 LM2.6.3				
	goats, and native wildlife. They also pose a threat to hun the transmission of diseases such as Distemper, Parvo, (Zoonotic disease that can affect humans), Sheep Meas Caninum, and Ehrlichiosis. Some animals can even design	predators maim or kill livestock such as lambs, adult sheep, poultry, and native wildlife. They also pose a threat to humans and pets through insmission of diseases such as Distemper, Parvo, Mange, Hydatids offic disease that can affect humans), Sheep Measles, Neospora am, and Ehrlichiosis. Some animals can even desiccate feed supplies of granimals, destroy pasture and habitat, and contaminate water. Evernment has implemented programs such as Local Land Services to be guidance, training, and risk assessments on the use of different pest grament techniques such as shooting, trapping, and baiting. Usually, a baits are restricted materials that cannot be purchased or used without ang, training, and signage installation on the property. See of poison as a lethal control method is not currently allowed by the				
	provide guidance, training, and risk assessments on the management techniques such as shooting, trapping, an					
	The use of poison as a lethal control method is not curre RWS.					
	This calibration aligns with the proposed language for Tupcoming unified standard.	calibration aligns with the proposed language for Textile Exchange's oming unified standard.				
Interpretation:	A certified farm or farm group in Australia may use poiso control method provided all of the following conditions a	- .				
	1. There shall be a verifiable predator threat to goats/sheep.					
	2. Predators shall be classified as invasive species by the Endemic predator species shall not be eligible for the ap calibration.	=				
	3. The decision to use poison shall be taken on a landsca and involve expert input from an external body such as L	• • •				
	4. Anti-coagulant poisons or cholecalciferol shall not be	used.				
	5. Poison baiting shall take place over set, targeted periodccur continuously.	ods only -it shall not				
	6. The farm shall have a written predator management p components at a minimum:	olan with the following				
	a. Detailed explanation of the predator issue,					



Calibration 228	Use of Poison Ba	iting for Predator Cor		RWS-101a-\ LM2.6.3	/2.2	
	b. Predator control proposal establishing the responsible person for every action, when it shall be executed, and where it will be implemented.					
	individual predato	c. Alternative methods of control that conform to the RWS, e.g. shooting individual predators, or use of CO2 traps, including reasons why they are inadequate for the farm's situation.				
		oproach analysis cons number if the target p	-			
	predator-proof fe	7. The farm shall have attempted at least two non-lethal control methods (e.g. predator-proof fencing, light or sound deterrents, livestock guardian dogs) before considering the poison bait option.				
	8. Monitoring shall take place before and after poison bait is used to first determine where invasive predators are active and secondly to determine the success of the baiting program. Records shall be kept.					
	9. The position of baits shall be marked and any undated baits removed at the end of the baiting period.					
	10. Poison bait shall be distributed so as to avoid non-target wildlife being harmed by primary or secondary poisoning.					
	11. Signs shall be placed all around the property, especially on their boundary to ensure all neighbors and visitors are aware poisoning is conducted on the property.					
	12. All bait (including that which is unused or uneaten) shall be used and disposed of according to product label requirements.					
	13. Fumigating dens with carbon monoxide is not an acceptable activity under this exemption.					
Date Issued:	2023.05.31	Conformity Date:	2023.05.31	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 229	Transaction Certificate Issuance after Transferring between Certification Bodies	ASR-104-V3.0 A8.4			
Situation:	presents challenges to obtain transaction certificates from certification body. A certification body could also have the suspended or withdrawn, affecting their ability to issue the contraction of the certification body.	anization may choose to change certification bodies. In this case it its challenges to obtain transaction certificates from the previous ration body. A certification body could also have their accreditation nded or withdrawn, affecting their ability to issue transaction certificates. istence of transaction certificates available in dTrackit now mitigates of volume reconciliation errors or volume fraud.			
Interpretation:	"preceding certification body" to the "succeeding certification when the transfer occurs at recertification), the certification body may issue transaction certificates for stime when the organization was certified with the precede provided that all of the following conditions are met. This succeeding certification body's scope certificate is issued.	organization transfers between certification bodies (from the ing certification body", to the "succeeding certification body", g when the transfer occurs at recertification), the succeeding tion body may issue transaction certificates for shipments from the en the organization was certified with the preceding certification body, I that all of the following conditions are met. This applies after the ing certification body's scope certificate is issued.			
	-	organization held a valid scope certificate at the time of the shipment, e product is eligible to be included on a transaction certificate;			
	2. The inputs are not seed cotton (PC0088) or lint cotto	The inputs are not seed cotton (PC0088) or lint cotton (PD0074);			
	_				
	a. All applicable input transaction certificates issued t standards for the transaction certificate to be issued; an				
		utput transaction certificates issued to the organization (as seller) for standard since the date of the earliest input transaction certificate;			
	_	ertification bodies shall agree that the succeeding certification responsible for issuing transaction certificates for all shipments ied date;			
	5. The succeeding certification body has conducted a vo ensure that claimed material has not been over-sold by including receiving volume reconciliation data from the body;	the organization,			



Calibration 229	Transaction Cert between Certifica	ificate Issuance after ation Bodies	Transferring	ASR-104-V	3.0 A8.4		
	a. Specify the p scLegacyNo data certification body b. Specify the creferencing the processified by CB-All c. Fully implem (see Calibration 17 d. If any inputs certificates back to 7. All applicable p body's scope cert preceding certific except that differencess categories	a. Specify the preceding certification body's scope certificate number in the scLegacyNo data field on the dTrackit data submission for the succeeding certification body's scope certification body's scope certificate; b. Specify the certification body at the time of the shipment in Box 12 by referencing the preceding certification body's licensing code (e.g. "Seller was certified by CB-ABC on the applicable shipment date(s)."); c. Fully implement Textile Exchange's criteria for the scNo and scVersionNo (see Calibration 172); and d. If any inputs are GOTS certified, submit full data for transaction certificates back to the first processor into dTrackit. 7. All applicable products shall be included on the succeeding certification body's scope certificate. All applicable products shall match a product on the preceding certification body's scope certificate for all required information except that different blend percentages may be specified. All applicable process categories shall appear on the scope certificates from both certification bodies. NOTE: Textile Exchange will review these criteria for future updates to ASR-104 Policy for Transaction Certificates. All other criteria for transaction certificates, including those in ASR-106 Accepted Equivalent Standards, continue to apply. Updated: 2023.04.18 Originally issued: 2023.03.27					
	104 Policy for Tra certificates, include continue to apply Updated: 20						
Date Issued:	2023.04.19	Conformity Date:	2023.04.19	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 232	Transfer Audits i	n the case of CB Susp	pension	ASR-112-V2	2.0 C3.4		
Situation:	Transfer audits are abbreviated audits intended to simplify the transition between certification bodies when there may be an urgent need outside of the recertification cycle. ASR-112-V2.0 permits transfer audits in the case of CB withdrawal, but does not permit them for voluntary transfers between CBs. In the case of a CB being suspended, their clients may feel that a transfer of certification is necessary to control risk and ensure continuity of service.						
Interpretation:	suspended for the before the date th	A transfer audit may be conducted when the certification body has been suspended for the organization's scope and is still suspended 7 calendar days before the date the transfer audit is conducted. See ASR-112-V2.0 C3.5 for more information about transfer audits.					
	In this case, the succeeding certification body shall specify the preceding certification body's scope certificate number in the scLegacyNo data field on the dTrackit data submission for the succeeding certification body's scope certificate, and shall follow instructions from Textile Exchange for reporting on transfer audits to allow for fees to be calculated correctly.						
Date Issued:	2023.04.19	Conformity Date:	2023.04.19	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 154	Maps vs GIS Data	RAF-101a-V2.1/RAF- 101b-V1.1/RAF-101c- V1.0 F2.6.2		
Situation:	RAF farm group criteria require the ICS to maintain maps or sketches of each farm showing where animals are located. Textile Exchange is moving to require that GIS data be submitted by each farm. How does this affect the criterion relating to maps?			
Interpretation:	An RAF farm group or communal farmer group ICS is not required to maintain maps or sketches of each farm showing where animals are located provided that the ICS maintains the following, which can be clearly linked per farm: 1. A list of farms which can be linked back to the scope certificate;			



Calibration 154	Maps vs GIS Data	à		RAF-101a-V 101b-V1.1/R V1.0 F2.6.2	-
	Exchange's syste 3. Polygon data (i amount of farmlar Note: Textile Exclude the GIS data for eapprovide a mechan	e. GIS shapefiles) for nd. nange's system will no ach member farm coll ism for the ICS to acc ay apply for this acces	each farm showin ot automatically g ected by Textile E ess the informatio	g the location ive the ICS ac exchange, but	ccess to t will
Date Issued:	2023.02.28	Conformity Date:	2023.02.28	Status:	Issued

Calibration 189	Certificate Authentication	ASR-103-V3.0, ASR-104-V3.0 A4	
Situation:	Scope certificates and Transaction certificates are fundation integrity system of Textile Exchange standards. Certificates to consistently authenticate input transaction certificates to continuous flow of goods in the supply chain (see CCS-16 Some CBs are not fulfilling in a timely manner their obligation evidence of scope and transaction certificate authentication portallows for the authentication of some transaction certificate.	ation bodies must o safeguard the 02-V3.0 E2.1.4). ation to provide y. Textile Exchange al which presently	
Interpretation:	In order to authenticate a transaction certificate, the certification body shall use one of the following options:		



Calibration 189	Certificate Authe	ntication		ASR-103-V3	•		
	transaction/. This	1. Authentication via the portal at https://textileexchange.org/authenticate-transaction/. This should be used as the preferred option where possible. This method will become the only accepted method in the future. 2. Authentication via the certification body's own database system, if the transaction certificate was issued by the same certification body. OR					
		3. Authentication via the method specified by the issuing certification body at https://textileexchange.org/certificates.					
		If an input transaction certificate cannot be authenticated, the certification body shall not accept it. See CCS-102-V3.0 E2.1.4.					
		body is required to upe authenticated (see A		_	ow their		
	4. If a different method of authentication is used for some of a certification body's scope certificates (e.g. for specific standards or countries), this information shall be included.						
	Textile Exchange	5. If all of the certification body's transaction certificates are available via Textile Exchange's transaction certificate portal, reference to this portal is sufficient to meet ASR-103-V3.0 A4.2.					
	6. If transaction certificate authentication is conducted manually, a maximum of two business days is allowed for the verification to be completed when the certification body receives a request (see ASR-103-V3.0 A4.2.1). 7. In the case of automated methods of transaction certificate authentication such as a single webpage with verification capabilities, accessed by using a URL or QR code, the certification body shall provide instructions to users in case the system is not returning valid evidence of verification.						
	UPDATED: 2023.02.28						
	ORIGINALLYISSU	ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2022.08.01					
Date Issued:	2023.02.28	Conformity Date:	2023.02.28	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 209	Clarifications for Processing Categories	ASR-213-V1.1 Table 3.2				
Situation:	It is unclear how specific processing categories shall be	used.				
Interpretation:	The following clarifications are provided for processing of	The following clarifications are provided for processing categories:				
	Processing - A facility shall be considered to be a processor when any process category other than PR0000 (no processing), PR0035 (brand), PR0025 (retail sales), PR0030 (trading), PR0031 (warehousing, distribution), and PR0041 (Buying house) applies to the site. A processor site may also qualify for any of these process codes except for PR0000 (see below).					
	No processing (PR0000) - This processing category shat processing category is suitable. It is typically used for sit possession within the same scope certificate as process	es without physical				
	Brand (PR0035) - This process category is only to be us controls the design and development of final products. Tany certified final product sold to a consumer includes exthat the correct use of this processing category is essent brands are given correct access to dTrackit. This process to subcontractors.	The supply chain for kactly one brand. Note tial to ensure that				
	Example: A site produces a branded fabric (an intermedi which is sold to garment factories to be made into jacket as a final product. The site shall not have PC0035 listed.	s. The fabric is not sold				
	Example: A spinner produces and sells yarn primarily to the spinner also sells some yarns direct to consumers in online for craft purposes. The spinner is the brand for the directly to consumers.	a retail shop and				
	Packing (PR0020) - This process category is considered shall only be used when the packing carries a risk of processing in labels relating to the Standard. It is not intended are distributing discrete products and not applying label	duct contamination or a ed for facilities which				
	Examples of packing: Bagging of bulk down; Applying halogo to garments; Packing individual socks into 10-pack					



Calibration 209	Clarifications for	Processing Categori		ASR-213-V1 3.2	.1 Table
	size, color) and be Adding or removi Standard's logo; If Trading (PROO3C) that are purchasing inputs and output same scope certiff which they process as a process cate materials that are purchasing, districtly facilities that are purchasing inputs and output products which the Buying House (Proclaimed materials more subcontract scope certificate in the standard scope certificate in the scope certificate in the standard scope certificate in the	ROO41) - A buying hou , but takes legal own cors. This process coo ncludes PROO41, a m	cing: Picking finished or shipment to an idents, unless the poportion of the products into GRS gory shall only apped materials without the products across on or site may also for a manufacture sization purchases of their sites. This process categoroducts without products without products without products without products. The site site also does not take pership and has their de does not apply the inimum of one products or one products without products without products.	ed garments individual retolybags carry -certified parally to organize processing sall sites with have other parshall not list and sells classing the may also har physical possing processed o subcontractessing	tail store; the ckaging ations them, i.e. nin the croducts trading imed y apply to m, i.e. ve other ession of by one or ctors. If a
	subcontractor and corresponding processing category shall be included on the scope certificate. NOTE: The process code PR0041 is new and will be included in the next update of ASR-213.				
Date Issued:	2023.02.28	Conformity Date:	2023.02.28	Status:	Issued



Calibration 220	Mixed Fibers and	Proportion of Fibers		CCS-101-V3	3.1 D4	
Situation:	Pre- and post-consumer waste such as yarn or non-woven textiles are recycled into various textile materials. CCS D4.2 calls for a material composition test report of the output claimed material, but this requirement has proven to be unpractical due to: - The high cost and duration of testing, - The impossibility of finding the exact proportion of each fiber in the output material, and - The error factor introduced by the big amount of different input materials, along with their size and weight					
	along with their size and weight. For example, 1000 garments will have 1000 varieties of blends or materials, and each garment's weight will be slightly different.					
Interpretation:	When mixed fibers are used in raw materials, there is no need to do a material composition test to know the percentage of each fiber present. The raw material codes for mixed fibers (RM0258, RM0259, RM0260, RM0261) shall only be used by the material recycler. No other operator in the supply chain, after the recycler, is allowed to change the mixed fiber codes in the output transaction certificate or when they are used in the material composition on the input transaction certificate.					
	A blend of virgin material shall not be defined as "mixed fibers" in any case. The mixed-fiber codes are only allowed to be used by recyclers for preconsumer and post-consumer waste.					
	When an input transaction certificate specifies that a product contains mixed fibers, this same designation shall be used in the output transaction certificate. Even when the detailed results of a material composition test are available, the transaction certificate shall list "mixed fibers" with the corresponding raw material code rather than separating out individual fibers.					
Date Issued:	2023.02.28	Conformity Date:	2023.02.28	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 148	Ineligible Reclain	ned Inputs		GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1	I.O/RCS-	
Situation:	Textile Exchange has become aware of several situations where certification bodies have issued scope certificates to material recyclers for material which has been accepted by the certification body as pre-consumer, but which does not match Textile Exchange's definition of pre-consumer material. Textile Exchange acknowledges that this may have related to a lack of clarity in past guidance.					
Interpretation:	reclaimed) shall h withdrawn immed a new certification If the certification certified that recla for clarification pr Textile Exchange did not request gu have the scope re immediately. NOTE: A previous certificates issued		ed to exclude ineligation (with the same these cases. eclaimed input is eney should reach or some scope of GRS or sin this area where exchange, the scopigible inputs or should reach or should be a some singular to the scoping the sco	e certification eligible or has ut to Textile l RCS certifica the certifica oe certificate all be withdra	s not Exchange ation. If tion body shall	
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 178	Criteria for the pr	oduct category PC00 d inputs	029 Dyed Yarn	ASR-213-V1 3.3	.1 Table		
Situation:	Under GRS/RCS, certain products are made from dyed reclaimed inputs (preconsumer or post-consumer), and additional dyeing is not done on such products. Can we call such yarn 'undyed' or 'greige' yarn instead of 'dyed yarn'?						
Interpretation:	only identified as they shall be identified	product inputs used by a certified site have been previously dyed but dentified as dyed products as a result of a previous recycling process, hall be identified with the 'Dyed yarn' (PC0029) category to avoid sion with 'Undyed Yarn' (PC0031), which shall not be used on any dyed ial.					
	"Product's color w certified supply ch dyed and the resu process.". This in	A supplier may request the certification body to include a statement such as "Product's color was maintained from its life cycle previous to entering the certified supply chain." or "Product is made from inputs which were previously dyed and the resulting product color is not a result of an additional dyeing process.". This information can be included in box 12 of the Transaction Certificate template (ASR-205-V3.0).					
	already been dyed color sorting). In s site, but washing fabric. In such a ca input is dyed recla reclaimed product yarn' (PCOO29) ca	IOTE: Post-consumer or pre-consumer reclaimed products could have lready been dyed in a previous life cycle and recycled (a process based on olor sorting). In such a process, dyeing might not be performed by a certified ite, but washing or finishing could be done on reclaimed product, yarn, or abric. In such a case, the output will also be 'Dyed yarn' (PC0029) since the nput is dyed reclaimed product (pre-consumer / post-consumer). When eclaimed products that have been previously dyed are certified, the 'Dyed arn' (PC0029) category shall be used to avoid confusion with 'Undyed Yarn' PC0031), which shall not be used on any dyed material.					
	UPDATED: 2022.01.31						
	ORIGINALLYISSU	JED: 2022.06.08					
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 213	Invalidating or ar	mending a transaction	on certificate to	CCS-102-V3	3.0
Situation:	_	certificate be invalid ertificate for another			be used
Interpretation:	a. The order was seller having GRS and b. The issuing of not been used as confirmation from 2. CCS-102-V3.0 downgrade the classical days and the classical days are confirmed to the standard not own owned recycling selections.	tification body may are to GRS, provided that is eligible to be sold a certification in place certification body conthe input to any other the buyer's certificate E2.2.1.c allows for a traim from GRS to RCS was previously included by Textile Exchanges tandard) shall not be	at the following criss GRS (including to and all processing firms that the transtransaction certificans body if needed ansaction certification to a transaction ge (e.g. GOTS or a ge (e	teria have be but not limited geriteria bein asaction certificates (with d). ate to be ame essary in that a certification	en met: d to the g met); ficate has ended to case. or a body-
	Textile Exchange standard.				
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 216	GRS Modules for Sites without Physical Possession	GRS-101-V4.0 A3.2c			
Situation:	Do the GRS social, environmental, and chemical criteria apply to sites without physical possession of GRS materials?				
Interpretation:	The GRS social, environmental, and chemical criteria do not apply to sites without physical possession of GRS materials such as traders and buying houses. These criteria still apply to facilities with physical possession of claimed materials, including subcontractors.				



Calibration 216	GRS Modules for Sites without Physical Possession			GRS-101-V4.0 A3.:			
	Chain of custody criteria from the CCS do apply to these sites when they are certified.						
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 217	Listing Brand Na	mes on Scope Certifi	cate	ASR-104-V	3.0 B3	
Situation:	When a certified brand sells certified products under a brand name which is not listed as a site on the scope certificate, should that brand name be somehow listed on the scope certificate?					
Interpretation:	listed as a site on of all applicable be above the site app certificate shall be just as a brand na	g this will be provided	the certification be cilities Page of the buyer on the incon e brand's scope ce	ody should a scope certifi ning transact rtificate (rath	dd a list cate, tion ner than	
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 222	Water deprivatio lactating period.	n for ewes in late pre	gnancy or	RWS-101a-\ AW1.7.3	V2.2	
Situation:	The requirement in the RWS, for ewes in late pregnancy or lactating period, to not be deprived of water for more than 8 hours, doesn't conform to industry best practice recommendations for preparation time for shearing. These guidelines propose a longer withdrawal from water to allow animals to empty out to protect their health and comfort when they are sheared. These industry guidelines are also taking account of worker health and safety, as empty sheep are lighter and so cause less strain on the shearer. Australian and New Zealand guidelines suggest a minimum of 8 hours and maximum of 20 hours off water for ewes that are in late pregnancy or lactating.					
Interpretation:	Ewes in late pregnancy or lactating period shall not be deprived of water for more than 20 hours. This requirement supersedes the criterion AW1.7.3 in the standard since this directly contradicts it and is based on further information which is now available to Textile Exchange.					
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 224	Change of Accreditation Bodies	ASR-101-V2.1 D1.1.8.a	
Situation:	What needs to be considered for a certification body to change accreditation bodies?		
Interpretation:	An accredited certification body may change accreditation voluntary or involuntary reasons. An involuntary change in accreditation body occurs when body is no longer able and willing to offer accreditation for body's scope (e.g. is no longer a Textile Exchange accreditation body's accepting certification bodies in a specific country other reason is a voluntary change in accreditation body	en the accreditation or the certification editation body, or is no ey). A change for any	



Calibration 224	Change of Accred	ditation Bodies		ASR-101-V2 D1.1.8.a	2.1		
	The following steps shall apply for a change in accreditation body:						
	change accredita Certification Body	The certification body shall notify Textile Exchange of their intention to change accreditation body and submit an updated copy of ASR-206 Certification Body Application Form naming the new accreditation body.					
	2. If the succeeding accreditation body is not an authorized Textile Exchange accreditation body, the certification body shall pay the new accreditation body fee (see ASR-107 Certification Fee Structure) and the accreditation body shall complete the authorization process before the change is approved.						
	3. In the case of a shall meet the foll	voluntary change in a owing criteria :	accreditation body,	, the certifica	ition body		
	-	nt which has been star ed and the accreditat	= = =	_	tion body		
	last assessment v	n body shall have a po vith the preceding acc hdrawal of part or all o	creditation body (i.	e. there is no			
	c. The certification body shall be up to date with all required submissions to Textile Exchange, including site fees and data submissions, and shall not be under sanction from Textile Exchange.						
	4. Once the above the change in acc	e criteria have been sa reditation body.	atisfied, Textile Exc	change will a	pprove		
	5. The succeeding accreditation body shall consider all assessment reports from the preceding accreditation body for at least the previous two years in conducting their initial assessment.						
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 15	Pre-Consumer G	lass (Moil)		GRS-101-V-101-V2.0 A1 definition of consumer	,
Situation:	May glass moil be	considered to be rec	laimed material?		
Interpretation:	considered recycl considered pre-co stage and is within rejection.		from breakage ar undergoes an add he percentage of I	nd rejection r ditional repro oreakage and	may be ocessing d
Date Issued:	2023.01.19	Conformity Date:	2023.01.19	Status:	Issued

Calibration 184	Inputs from Tann Abbatoirs	eries, Slaughterhous	ses, and	GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1	0/RCS-		
Situation:	May animal hides/fibers and leather sourced from a tannery, slaughterhouse, or abattoir be accepted as reclaimed inputs?						
Interpretation:	tannery, slaughte reclaimed inputs f NOTE: Calibration	Animal fibers (including wool), animal hides, and leather sourced from a tannery, slaughterhouse, abattoir, or similar operation shall not be accepted as reclaimed inputs for RCS or GRS. NOTE: Calibration 148 addresses steps for certification bodies when there is ambiguity about whether or not a material may be accepted as reclaimed.					
Date Issued:	2022.12.31	Conformity Date:	2022.12.31	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 188		ertified Subcontracto hange Standards	or SC use for	CCS-102-V3	3.0 D3.4
Situation:		ly certified GRS subco an RCS scope certific		d to produce f	RCS
Interpretation:	certified RCS subcertification. Inde GRS subcontracte associated subco Other than with R does not allow for this certification respectively.	CS/GRS, certification a subcontractor to quay be considered in a .0 Appendix B2 o.). Cong GOTS) does not fa	ey do not hold sep CS subcontractors so GRS certified or to another Textilualify as independ the subcontractor ertification to a no	e Exchange sently certified 's risk assesson-Textile Exchange Sen-Textile Exception of the sently certified 's risk assesson-Textile Exception of the sently certified in the	used as as tandard d, though ment
Date Issued:	2022.12.31	Conformity Date:	2022.12.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 215	Approval for VR2	Certifications		CCS-105-V3	3.0 B1.2-	
Situation:	It is unclear when approval from Textile Exchange is required to use VR2 material.					
Interpretation:	Approval from Textile Exchange is required before the certification body schedules an audit for any site which is implementing alternative volume reconciliation directly to produce VR2 materials. No special approval is needed for sites that purchase and sell VR2 materials based on the criteria of the CCS.					
Date Issued:	2022.12.31	Conformity Date:	2022.12.31	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 207	Sale of Claimed N Location	Naterial at a Shared S	Subcontractor	ASR-104-V	3.0 A8.3	
Situation:	What should the shipping date be for transaction certificate purposes if claimed material changes ownership from one certified organization to another while being stored at a third-party facility which is a subcontractor for both the seller and the buyer?					
Interpretation:	If claimed material is sold and there is no physical shipment of the material associated with the sale (e.g. the same facility is acting as a storage subcontractor for both the buyer and the seller), the date of the change in legal ownership - typically the invoice date - shall be used as the "shipping date" for transaction certificate purposes.					
Date Issued:	2022.12.13	Conformity Date:	2022.12.13	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 80	Accepting Organ	ic Inputs for OCS		OCS-101-V3	3.0 C1.1	
Situation:	Are all national organic standards accepted for OCS inputs? May organic inputs which have been processed after the farm be accepted for OCS?					
Interpretation:	from farms which NOP, Regulation (Standards). A nat not be used to pro-Sites further along accept inputs whi		te of the three cate 2018/848, or IFOA d which is not inclo n material inputs a not the first proc or certified to a star	egories listed AM Family of uded in this li for OCS. essor) may o ndard listed a	(USDA ist shall	
Date Issued:	2022.12.12	Conformity Date:	2022.12.12	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 192	Classification of a certificate?	a rented facility in the	scope	CCS-101-V3	3.1 C5.2		
Situation:	A certified organization rents a facility for the washing process and pays all costs involved such as labor, utilities and materials. Is this facility a site or a subcontractor?						
Interpretation:	labor, overhead, a organization and a site, not a subco	When the certificate holder rents a facility to conduct a process and pays for labor, overhead, and materials used, the facility will be considered a part of the organization and shall be listed in the Site Appendix on the scope certificate as a site, not a subcontractor. Updated: 2022.12.12					
	Originally issued.	Originally issued: 2022.09.22					
Date Issued:	2022.12.12	Conformity Date:	2022.12.12	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 197	Certified Sites as	Associated Subcont	ractors	CCS-101-V3	3.1 C5.2		
Situation:	,	May the scope certificate holder use a subcontractor who is independently certified to the same standard as an associated subcontractor?					
Interpretation:	Exchange standa	A subcontractor facility that is independently certified to the same Textile Exchange standard shall not be listed as an associated subcontractor since they hold an independent scope certificate.					
Date Issued:	2022.12.01	Conformity Date:	2022.12.01	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 193	Animal Fiber Sales Setups	ASR-104-V3.0 A8.3			
Situation:	Various transportation and storage arrangements exist fibers prior to the spinning stage. These agreements inc	•			
	1. "Ex-Works" arrangements where animal fiber is sold (change in legal ownership) but stored for some time at the seller's storage facility. The buyer subsequently arranges transportation for the fiber.				
	2. Arrangements where the farm or farm group stores unprocessed fibers at a storage or processing facility (e.g., a scour) before selling the fibers. The fibers may be sold to the processor or to another party in processed or unprocessed form.				
	In both of these scenarios, the animal fiber is being sold but is not physically shipped at the time of sale.				
Interpretation:	When animal fibers (before the spinning stage) are stored at a facility that does not belong to the owner of the fibers, that facility shall be considered to be a subcontractor for storage, even if that facility is also the seller on the incoming transaction certificate or buyer on the outgoing transaction certificate of the organization which owns the fibers. When animal fibers change ownership without physically moving between facilities, the date of the ownership change may be accepted as the "shipping date" for transaction certificate purposes. The change in legal ownership is based on the invoice date when there is no physical shipment of material.				
	Examples:				
	1. After selling unprocessed wool to a buyer, the seller stores the wool for 87 days. The buyer then arranges for the wool to be picked up and transported to the buyer's facility. In this case, the "shipment date" for the transaction certificate would be the same as the sale date, and the seller would then act as the buyer's subcontractor for the wool storage (87 days).				
	2. A farm group ships unprocessed mohair to a scouring facility, and the scouring facility stores the mohair for 56 days. At the end of the 56 days, the farm group sells the mohair to the scouring facility. The scouring facility acts as a subcontractor for the 56 days before the sale. The "shipping date" occurs at the end of the 56 days for transaction certificate purposes.				



Calibration 193	Animal Fiber Sales Setups			ASR-104-V3	3.0 A8.3	
	3. A broker ships unprocessed alpaca fiber to a processing facility and stores the fiber for 43 days. The broker then sells the fiber to a separate buyer (not the processing facility), pays for the processing facility to process the fiber then has the fiber shipped to the buyer. The processing facility acts as a storage and processing subcontractor, and the "shipping date" for transaction certificate purposes is the date when the fiber is shipped from the processing facility to the buyer.					
Date Issued:	2022.11.11	Conformity Date:	2022.11.11	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 191	Transaction certificates without geographic origin information	ASR-104-V3.0 C3.5			
Situation:	The full implementation of ASR-104-v3.0 Policy for Transaction Certificates after July 1, 2022, mandates disclosure of the geographic origin of each raw material. The routine issuance of output transaction certificates has been interrupted because many input transaction certificates issued before July 1 are missing the origin information. Certification bodies request a guidance procedure to manage such transaction certificates whilst conforming to the transaction certificate policy.				
Interpretation:	1. For transaction certificates issued after May 1, 2021, and the certification body shall: a. Request the missing geographical origin information i. The certification body who issued the input transaction ii. The seller as listed on the input transaction certificate. b. Maintain records of collection efforts related to the information in the output transaction certificate, whether successful or not.	from either: on certificate, or te. clusion of origin			



Calibration 191	Transaction certi	ficates without geog	raphic origin	ASR-104-V	3.0 C3.5		
	2. If the certification body cannot gather the geographical origin information as outlined in item 1:						
	transaction certifi	a. The output transaction certificate may be issued only if the input transaction certificate is correct and in conformity to the Policy for Transaction Certificates that was valid at the time of issuance.					
		b. The certification body shall enter "Not available" in Box 11 of the output transaction certificate.					
	3. The Textile Exchange Assurance team may perform its own sampling of transaction certificates to ensure conformity with this calibration. In case of identifying a non-conforming transaction certificate, they may notify the applicable accreditation body for further investigation.						
	4. Transaction certificates missing geographic origin information and issued before May 1, 2021, are not subject to the due diligence in item 1, but the certification body shall comply with item 2.						
	2022, shall includ considered invalid include the geogr March 31, 2023. A	ransaction certificates issued by first processors after November 15, shall include the geographic origin information or otherwise, shall be ered invalid. All other transaction certificates in the supply chain shall a the geographic origin information in every transaction certificate after 31, 2023. Any transaction certificate missing the geographic origin ation after March 31, 2023, shall be considered invalid.					
Date Issued:	2022.10.13	Conformity Date:	2022.10.13	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 190	Blending RDS/RA of the same type	AF material with recy	cled material	RDS-101-V3 101a-V2.2/F 101b-V1.2/F V1.0 B2.1.1.	RAF- RAF-101c-		
Situation:		RDS and RAF fibers may be blended with recycled RDS or recycled RAF fibers for a labeled claimed product.					
Interpretation:	An RWS product that contains recycled wool may only qualify for labeling to the RWS if the product contains at least 5% RWS wool and 100% of the wool in the product is certified to either RWS or mixed with RCS or GRS certified wool. The same guidance may be applied for other RAF standards (e.g., blend of RMS and recycled mohair) and for RDS (blend of RDS and recycled down).						
Date Issued:	2022.09.23	Conformity Date:	2022.09.23	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 172	Scope Certificate Number and Scope Certificate Version Number	ASR-103-V3.0 B1.3		
Situation:	If a scope certificate number changes (e.g. as a result of the addition or removal of a product, site, and/or process, or due to a correction made on the scope certificate), how should the certification body report the change to the scope certificate number?			
Interpretation:	As per ASR-103-V3.0 Policy for Scope Certificates, sect certificate shall have a unique alphanumeric scope certificated by the certification body." Although it is not shappens to a scope certificate number if there is an update each issuance of a scope certificate will have a unique number of a scope certificate will have a unique number of a scope certificate is amended issuance, an association between the original scope certificate number should be maintained certificate number is 12345, and then later revised to 123 addition).	ficate number specifically stated what ate, the intention is that umber. d after its original tificate number and (e.g. original scope		



Calibration 172	Scope Certificate Version Number	Number and Scope	Certificate	ASR-103-V	3.0 B1.3	
	For a certification body reporting data via dTrackit, the original (first issuance) scope certificate number shall be included in the "SC No" field. If a scope certificate is later amended (e.g. due to site inclusion), in addition to the original scope number in the "SC No" field, the revised/new scope certificate number shall be included in the "SC Version No" field. For a certification body reporting data manually via an excel data template (i.e. the certification body is not yet onboarded to dTrackit), only the original scope certificate number shall be listed. For a certification body reporting data manually via an excel data template for					
	website listings, only the current (valid) scope certificate number shall be listed. NOTE: Textile Exchange will be phasing out all excel data templates once all certification bodies are onboarded to dTrackit and therefore, the excel data templates will not be amended to include an additional field for "scope certificate version number" ("SC Version No") that exists within dTrackit.					
	Updated: 2022.09.22 Originally issued: 2022.01.31					
Date Issued:	2022.09.22	Conformity Date:	2022.09.22	Status:	Issued	

31



Calibration 185	Independently Conumber	ertified Subcontracto	or facility	ASR-103-V	3.0 B3.4	
Situation:	There is confusion on the use of the Facility_number and Subcontractor_number in the Facilities page of the scope certificate template because it doesn't match the text in B3.4 of the Policy for Scope Certificates.					
Interpretation:	Each independent Independently Ce number and address subcontractor (IC certification body independently certificate original facility nutracility's audit and scope certificate. NOTE: For scope the Site Appendix	ix tly Certified Subcontrol tly certified subcontrol rtified Subcontractor ess. The facility numble S_Facility_Number) s , but from the certifice rtified subcontractor. mber provided by the d certification, as listed certificates of independent in the Facilities page 4.1 through B3.4.4 r	actor shall be spec Appendix along we ber of an independe should not be obtain ation body that inite. The ICS facility nut e certification body and in the site apperent	ified in the rith its ICS face ently certified ned from the cially certified imber refers that carried adix of its prinubcontractor. Facility_Nun	d current d the to the out the mary	
Date Issued:	2022.07.26	Conformity Date:	2022.07.26	Status:	Issued	

32



Calibration 168	Ineligible Reclain	ned inputs - Silk Spir	nning Waste	GRS-201-V4 201-V2.2	4.2/RCS-		
Situation:	Are "silk wastes" eligible for GRS/RCS certification as "pre-consumer material"?						
Interpretation:	silk spun spinning	Waste produced from a silk filament spinning process is regularly reused in the silk spun spinning process. Therefore, such waste does not qualify as reclaimed nor pre-consumer material, and the process does not qualify as recycling.					
	considered as pre	Therefore, only yarn hard waste as silk filament or silk spun yarns shall be considered as pre-consumer and only if the next processing step is recycling (e.g. mechanical shredding). Follow the calibration log 148.					
	If a certification bowste:	ody has previously iss	sued a scope certif	icate for ineli	gible silk		
	a) the scope certife expiry, and	ficate may be maintai	ned by the certific	ation body ur	ntil its		
		n body shall notify the d for this product.	client that their s	cope certifica	ate		
	No recertification is possible with material inputs which do not qualify reclaimed, and any scope certificates with ineligible inputs issued aft 2021 shall have the scope reduced to exclude ineligible inputs or shall withdrawn immediately.						
	certified that recla for clarification pr Textile Exchange did not request gu	the certification body is not sure if a reclaimed input is eligible or has not ertified that reclaimed input before, they shall reach out to Textile Exchange or clarification prior to including it in the scope of GRS or RCS certification. If fextile Exchange or the AB finds errors in this area where the certification bod id not request guidance from Textile Exchange, the scope certificate shall ave the scope reduced to exclude ineligible inputs or shall be withdrawn mmediately.					
Date Issued:	2022.06.09	Conformity Date:	2022.06.09	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 176	Clarity on CB rep	orting and invoicing	for RAF farms	ASR-107-V2 B2.7	2022.0	
Situation:	Audit times vary with RAF so some CBs are not reporting the farm names within an SC until the audit occurs. Per the SC policy, farms that are part of an active SC are to be reported as if they were already audited and are continuing certification to the RAF standard on the anniversary date.					
Interpretation:	The certification body shall report all active sites on a RAF scope certificate on the anniversary date, regardless of whether the audit has occurred. Textile Exchange will assume the farm will continue to be certified and invoice the certification body accordingly. If the certification body knows the site will not continue to be certified, they shall not report that site in the annual report for that scope certificate.					
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 180	Assessment of To	extile Exchange logo	use by CBs	ASR-101-V2	2.1 C4.6	
Situation:	It is not clear in the ACP what ABs shall check in their assessment of CBs regarding CB use of the Textile Exchange logo and CB adherence to the Claims Policy.					
Interpretation:	standard logo(s) of applicable standards Claims placeholder for the demonstrate configure to the certification C2.3, C2.4, and C.	A certification body becomes authorized to use of the Textile Exchange standard logo(s) when the certification body licensing contract for the applicable standard has been signed with Textile Exchange (see TE-301-V1.2 Standards Claims Policy, section C2.5). The certification body may use a placeholder for the Textile Exchange standard logo (e.g. "logo goes here") to demonstrate conformity of logo use for assessment by their accreditation body prior to the certification body licensing contract being signed. The accreditation body shall evaluate certification body conformance with C2.3, C2.4, and C2.5 of TE-301-V1.2 Standards Claims Policy during their assessments of the certification body.				
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 182	Certified organiza	ation becomes inelig	ible	CCS-101-V3	8.1 B4
Situation:	A company becomes either banned by Textile Exchange or the United States government sanctions a company, product, or input which results in the company becoming ineligible for certification.				
Interpretation:	identified as restricertification body a) Shall immediat certificate(s) of sub) Shall cease all uprovided by Textic) Shall notify the and any resulting issued during the d) Shall not issue have become inel For products alread body may consider Exchange to be considered. e) Shall not issue months of become	ely notify Textile Exchuch ineligible entity(ie related certification active le Exchange; certification body of a withdrawal if any relavalidity period of the transaction certificate igible for certification ady certified prior to be them to be certified onsidered ineligible in transaction certificate	nange and withdrans); ctivities within the any buyer of the idented transaction cescope certificate; es for products at the immediately upon ecoming ineligible unless specifically mediately; And es for ineligible products at the immediately where the immediately w	w the related prescribed the entified ineligation in the entificate (s) has the first process becoming in the certificate details and the certificate details and the certificate details and the entified becoming in the certificate details and the entified becomes after second and the entified and the entified becomes after second and the entified and the ent	I scope imeline gibility as been essor that neligible. ation y Textile
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued



Calibration 186	Merging of SC ar	nniversary dates		ASR-103-V	3.0 B1.10	
Situation:	B1.10 of SC policy establishes the anniversary date but isn't clear/explicit on how to address the merging of anniversary dates for different standards.					
Interpretation:	B1.10.7 If an organization wants to merge the anniversary dates of different scope certificates, the certification body shall first identify (as reference) the scope certificate that is closer to expiration. The other scope certificates to be merged shall use this reference (earliest) anniversary date. The certification body shall only merge dates by shortening the validity period in the calendar and shall not extend validity periods. The certification body shall withdraw the scope certificates with the previous anniversary date and issue all new scope certificates with the same anniversary date using the earliest anniversary date. All applicable fees as per ASR-107 remain unchanged.					
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 187	Mulesing using th	he ring method		RWS-101a-\ AW3.11	/2.2
Situation:	Wool producers in Victoria, Australia, are using rubber rings (the kind used for castration) to remove excess skin from the breech area of sheep and give the same effect as standard mulesing. The skin will be pulled tight and the ring applied to stop the flow of blood and the skin dies and drops off. It will give chronic rather than acute pain to the sheep. This technique falls into the definition of mulesing in the RWS, so it is prohibited.				
Interpretation:	AW3.11.1 Freeze mulesing (steining) and any other form of breech modification is prohibited.				
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued



Calibration 177	\			CCS-201-V3 V2.0 C4 of C & B1.2 of CC	CS V3.0
Situation:	How many months of records (such as production, attendance, payroll, etc.) should the facility have before a GRS audit can be conducted if a facility was just established?				
Interpretation:	If a brand new facility is being certified, at least three months of operation records are needed to ensure a proper CCS and/or GRS audit.				
Date Issued:	2022.04.28	Conformity Date:	2022.04.28	Status:	Issued

Calibration 149	Restricted Chemicals in Fiber Production	GRS-101-V4.0 D2.2	
Situation:	Textile Exchange has identified that a number of chip, fiber, and filament producers have been certified to the GRS while using restricted chemicals. Textile Exchange has further identified that in many cases there might be no alternative chemical available, and that the requirements of GRS Section D might be so strict as to effectively exclude particular fibers from the GRS system unintentionally.		
Interpretation:	If the site uses any of the listed restricted chemicals in a chip, fiber, or filament production process, the scope certificate shall be withdrawn or downgraded RCS immediately. This includes but is not limited to the following chemicals and fibers: 1. DMAC (N N Dimethylacetamide/ Dimethylacetamide) in elastane/spandex and		
	2. Antimony trioxide and Cobalt (II) Acetate Tetrahydrate in polyester. The details of how these chemicals may be used in certified products in the future will be considered in the unified standard development process. NOTE: A previous exception was included in this calibration for scope certificates issued on or before April 15, 2021. All such scope certificates have now expired so that exception has been removed.		



Calibration 149	Restricted Chemicals in Fiber Production		GRS-101-V4	0 D2.2	
	UPDATED: 2022.01.31 ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2021.04.15				
Date Issued:	2022.01.31	Conformity Date:	2022.01.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 161	Collectors and Co Geographic Scop	oncentrators Outside pe	of CB's	GRS-201-V- 201-V2.2 A- Guidance	-
Situation:	If a certification body has a limited geographic scope of operations, may the certification body's material recycler clients source from collectors or concentrators which are located outside of the geographic scope?				
Interpretation:	the material recyclapplies: 1. The collector or 2. The certification concentrator to a	concentrators shall be cler's certification body concentrator is independent of the concentrator is independent of the certification body when scope which includes	y, unless one of the pendently certified required evaluation is accredited for	ne following on the RCS of the RCS and the RCS and	ptions or GRS; or ector or /or GRS
Date Issued:	2022.01.31	Conformity Date:	2022.01.31	Status:	Issued



Calibration 165	_	ning guidance for Sc Fransaction Certifica	•	ASR-103-V3 ASR-104-V3 of SC, B2.2	3.0 B1.4
Situation:	Some of the Chinese customers have the same English names but different Chinese names. It would be a good practice to have both the Chinese and English names listed under the Name_of_Certified_Organization. It was noticed that some companies would have their English name the same as another organization, but their Chinese name is the unique identifier and cannot be mixed up with another organization. It will create an issue in deduplication.				
Interpretation:	the Textile Excha name and native I separate field line (e.g. Chinese). Or Example: Name_of_Certified	Due to the complexity and in order to have an effective deduplication of sites in the Textile Exchange database, the certification body should mention English name and native language name in scope and transaction certificates as two separate field line items when the native language has non-English characters (e.g. Chinese). Only English charaters are permitted in the English name field. Example: Name_of_Certified_Organisation Native_Name_of_Certified_Organisation			
Date Issued:	2022.01.31	Conformity Date:	2022.01.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 169	Raw Material Composition - Decimal Points	ASR-104-V3.0 B2.10	
Situation:	Can we accept or issue a transaction certificate where the raw material composition is mentioned with decimal points? e.g. 50.40% Recycled Pre-Consumer Cotton + 49.60% Polyester		
Interpretation:	For all Textile Exchange standards, raw material composition in each scope and transaction certificate shall be expressed using only whole number(s) and shall not include decimal points (i.e. shall be rounded to the closest whole number).		



Calibration 169	Raw Material Con	nposition - Decimal F	Points	ASR-104-V3	3.0 B2.10
	e.g. 50% Recycled Pre-Consumer Cotton + 49% Polyester NOTE: Common testing methods can usually verify material composition within a tolerance limit of +/- 3% variation only. Therefore, it may be technically impossible to verify a material composition in decimal points (e.g 50.40%).				
Date Issued:	2022.01.31	Conformity Date:	2022.01.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 173	RAF GIS Farm Questions: Elimination of Excel submission option.	RAF-102-V2.1 D1.2.1.b	
Situation:	RAF-102-v2.01, D1.2.1b requires data submission of Farm Questions using an online survey form or an Excel file. This requirement is mandatory as of January 1st, 2022. Textile Exchange made both options available as it was unknown whether the ArcGIS system would be ready by then. As the system was made ready by January 1st, 2022, the Excel file option will no longer be an alternative because it cannot be linked to the automated features of dTrackit, thus requiring manual processing and delays. The system will no longer accommodate manual submission of this information via Excel after March 31st, 2022, so the focus will be on implementing only automated data collection methods.		
Interpretation:	The certification body shall report a set of Farm Questions for each certified farm (including each member in the case of Farm Group Certification and Communal Farmer Group Certification). The certification body shall ensure all questions are complete and accurate prior to submission. The options available for submission and with mandatory implementation date no later than April 1st, 2022 are: 1. The online survey form, using the custom link provided to the certification body. 2. A mobile app with a convenient offline feature which allows entering data and submitting it later if there are internet connectivity issues.		



Calibration 173	RAF GIS Farm Questions: Elimination of Excel submission option.			RAF-102-V2 D1.2.1.b	2.1
Date Issued:	2022.01.26	Conformity Date:	2022.01.26	Status:	Issued

Calibration 170	Removal of sheep from natural pasture	RWS-101a-V2.2 AW5.7, AW2.14, AW2.11, AW3.2, LM1.1	
Situation:	Australian dry weather conditions affect the pasture ground cover in farms, forcing the farmer to practice continuous confinement feeding as a regular farming practice.		
	Each year, sheep are held off pasture in a confinement yard for a period of time, usually summer or autumn seasons. The animals cannot demonstrate natural behaviors and have welfare conditions (5 freedoms), and the farmer feeds them with supplementary hay, anipro, and barley.		
	Challenges can arise when the farm uses confinement ya tool rather than as a contingency plan, as the RWS stand	-	
	The auditors are signaling confinement feeding as a major non-conformity, but the farmers find it very difficult to stop this practice under the current weather conditions in Australia.		
Interpretation:	The RWS-101a -v2.2 Responsible Wool Standard recognizes in Section C Animal Welfare the need to provide the flock with access to natural graze as an integral part of their living environment (AW2.11) and establishes the requirement to have a pasture-based system for sheep. The only exception is when an emergency or severe weather conditions such as droughts, floods, fires, heavy snowfall, etc., would otherwise negatively impact sheep welfare and affect the amount of pasture available for sheep or keeping a healthy soil base for it.		
	The CB shall use the following criteria to assess if the farmer complies of RWS principles when removing sheep from natural pasture. The farmer to meet ALL clauses to be considered compliant with AW2.11:		



Calibration 170	Removal of shee	o from natural pastur	е	RWS-101a- AW5.7, AW AW2.11, AW LM1.1	2.14,
	severe weather an natural pasture, in welfare, as per AV conditions resulti animal health. The management (LM 2. The farm estable per AW2.14. The part, enough in-farm when removed from the severe weather Justification may	a written document the fecting the farm and forcluding a detailed model. This clause also any from the change of the write and animal health and animal health are proper management of the matural pasture. The cocurrence that led to involve information strength and cover means a wegetation cover means and the cocurrence that led to the cocurren	forcing the removal anagement plan the covers situations of seasons, which cotten plan to have a force (AW3.2). If this rate can protage to feed the flower on and justification to removing sheep such as weather dates.	al of sheep from at guarante like weather an affect soil actions on lar ate and followide, for the ack during perform naturata, soil moist	om es sheep l and ws it, as most eriods ergency Il pasture. ture
Date Issued:	2022.01.11	Conformity Date:	2022.01.11	Status:	Issued

Calibration 164	Collectors and Concentrators - Additional verification & physical inspection	GRS-201-V4.2/RCS- 201-V2.2 A4 Guidance
Situation:	The GRS Implementation Manual V4.2 A4 states that "Certification bodies shall keep a list of all collectors and concentrators that supply to recycling clients. 10% of this total shall be chosen for additional verification, with 2% chosen for physical inspection."	
	It is not clear that if the percentages selected for additional verification should be determined per certification body, per certified organization, or on another basis.	
Interpretation:	The sampling of collectors and concentrators (10% addit 2% physical inspection) shall be determined per certification.	



Calibration 164	Collectors and Co verification & phy	oncentrators - Additi ysical inspection	onal	GRS-201-V- 201-V2.2 A- Guidance		
	_	always rounded up. The 2% sample for physical inspection may be counted towards the 10% sample for additional verification.				
	Example: A certification body has certified 50 GRS material recyclers (mechanical, chemical, and/or biological) in total. Each recycler has one collector and one concentrator. As a result, the certification body will have $50x1 + 50x1 = 100$ collectors/concentrators. The certification body therefore needs to select 10% of $100 = 10$ collectors/concentrators for additional verification and 2% of $100 = 2$ collectors/concentrators for physical inspection.					
Date Issued:	2021.09.22	Conformity Date:	2021.09.22	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 162	Sale of Mixed Do	wn and Feather from	a Slaughter	ASR-213-V1 3.1	.1 Table
Situation:	Some RDS slaughter sites sell mixed down and feather to sites which are not included in the same scope certificate. The slaughter sites are not able to determine the exact percentage of down and of feather from the total quantity, since these two raw materials are separated at the down processing stage. How should this material be reflected on scope and transaction certificates?				
Interpretation:	The raw material codes RM0330 and RM0331 (PFC) may be used for mixed down and feather on transaction certificates only if the seller named on the transaction certificate is a slaughter site. These codes may also be used on the corresponding scope certificates. These codes shall not be used on scope or transaction certificates where the percentage of down and of feather in the product can be identified.				
Date Issued:	2021.09.16	Conformity Date:	2021.09.16	Status:	Issued



Calibration 159	Physical Possess	sion		CCS-101-V	3.0 B1	
Situation:	In the CCS we say that traders without physical possession of product do not have to be certified. We occasionally get questions about what 'physical possession' means. Can you draft a calibration for that and let us know what it is so we can add that as a future update to the User Manual as well?					
Interpretation:	material form. It is the proprietary rig For example, whe merely transfer th Here, the process possession of material	Physical possession of goods is the physical custody or control of goods in material form. It is different from ownership of goods, where an entity acquires the proprietary rights over the goods. For example, when a processor sends materials to a subcontractor, they merely transfer the physical possession and not the ownership of materials. Here, the processor is the owner of the materials but does not have physical possession of materials, and the subcontractor has physical possession of materials but is not the owner.				
	Different actors in the supply chain such as processors, wholesalers, distributors, and retailers usually take physical possession of materials and products. Others, such as traders, do not take physical possession due to the intermediary function. Brands sometimes take physical possession depending on their commercial operations setup. Textile Exchange standards intend to cover the different arrangements among supply chain participants while guaranteeing the integrity of the verified materials and products.					
Date Issued:	2021.09.03	Conformity Date:	2021.09.03	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 155	CNCA Registration and Freelancers	ASR-101-V2.1 D1.1.3 & D3.2.6.f		
Situation:	May a certification body contract with auditors who work for an entity registered with CNCA but which is not accredited to ISO 17065 as a way to meet the Chinese legal requirement for CNCA registration?			
Interpretation:	Any independently owned entity which holds registration with CNCA for the purpose of a certification body operating legally in China is considered to be a subcontractor of the certification body and is therefore required to hold ISO			



Calibration 155	CNCA Registration and Freelancers		ASR-101-V2.1 D1.1.3 & D3.2.6.f			
	17065 accreditation. Freelancers in China may be hired by a certification body or certification body subcontractor which holds CNCA registration.					
Date Issued:	2021.07.26	Conformity Date:	2021.07.26	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 151	Organizations Be	tween Farm and Firs	t Processor for	RAF-101a-V 101b-V1.1/R V1.0 B1.3	-	
Situation:	In some countries, "brokers" take possession of animal fiber in between the farm and the first processor, often to facilitate a sale at auction. It is unclear if these brokers require certification.					
Interpretation:	and the first proce where the applica If an organization but not legal owne whoever owns the ICS). If the organization service fee to the they receive payments	Organizations which take legal ownership of animal fiber in between the farm and the first processor are required to be certified to the standard, except where the applicable version of the CCS allows for an exception to certification. If an organization takes physical possession of the animal fiber (i.e. for storage) but not legal ownership, the organization shall be treated as a subcontractor by whoever owns the animal fiber while it is being stored (typically the farm or				
	purchases the animal fiber from the farm for a set price, they are considered to take legal ownership.					
Date Issued:	2021.06.04	Conformity Date:	2021.06.04	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 153	Extended Timeli	ne For Invoicing		ASR-104-V	3.0 A6.3	
Situation:	In some cases, shipping of animal fiber is carried out from the farm to the buyer's warehouse in an extended time prior to invoicing for the fiber (3 months or more, and potentially more than a year). This creates a conflict with the requirement that transaction certificates be issued within 180 calendar days of the shipment date.					
Interpretation:	If a farm ships animal fiber to the buyer's warehouse but does not invoice or transfer legal ownership to the buyer for an extended time, the farm may treat the buyer's warehouse as a subcontracted warehouse, and subsequently apply for a transaction certificate when legal ownership of the animal fiber is transferred to the buyer.					
Date Issued:	2021.06.04	Conformity Date:	2021.06.04	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 145	CB Translations of Documents	ASR-101-V2.1 D1.8.1			
Situation:	May certification bodies prepare translations of Textile Exchange standards or other Textile Exchange documents to share with their non-English speaking clients?				
Interpretation:	Certification bodies may publish their own translations of Standards and other documents, provided the following 1. Textile Exchange has not published an official tradocument in the target language. Any certification body discontinued if Textile Exchange publishes an official trades are compared to the translated document shall be provided by email to Assurance. Textile Exchange org. 3. For documents in Chinese or Spanish, the certification body discontinued if Textile Exchange before publishing the standard provided the following translations of the translated document shall be provided to the following translations of the translated document shall be provided to the following translations of the following transla	conditions are met: anslation of the translations shall be anslation. ded to Textile . cation body shall wait			



Calibration 145	CB Translations of Documents ASR-101-V2.1 D				2.1 D1.8.1
	5. Documen < CB NAME(S) > ". target language of the couraged to co." 7. Where Te.	ts shall not copy any pent. Explanatory grapets shall include the text shall appear on every page. on bodies working in all aborate on translation at the provided terms shall approvided terms shall appear to the provided terms t	hics may be copie ext "Unofficial trans r in English on the the same region o ons to improve cor les a glossary of tr	ed. slation prepa first page an r language ar nsistency.	red by d in the re
Date Issued:	2021.03.22	Conformity Date:	2021.03.22	Status:	Issued

Calibration 140	What is the earlie conducted?	est a recertification a	udit may be	ASR-101-V2 D4.4.20, D4		
Situation:	The ACP D4.4.20 recommends ('should' language) that recertification audits be conducted 60 days before the expiry of the previous scope certificate, and D4.6.8 requires that the certification decision be made within 60 days of the audit. How far in advance may a recertification audit be conducted? Is it allowable to finalize a certification decision within 60 days of the audit and issue a scope certificate upon expiry of the previous scope certificate?					
Interpretation:	Recertification audits may be conducted up to 90 days before the expiry of the previous scope certificate. If a certification decision is made prior to the expiry of the previous scope certificate, issuing the new scope certificate may then be delayed up to 90 days after the audit date (typically to the anniversary date).					
Date Issued:	2021.01.28	Conformity Date:	2021.04.01	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 131	Non-NPOP Seed	Cotton in India		OCS-101-V3	3.0 C1.1	
Situation:	Can non-NPOP seed cotton from India be accepted in the OCS supply chain at the ginning stage, if it is certified to another standard that is approved under IFOAM Family of Standards?					
Interpretation:	Since organic fibres are covered under NPOP and to meet national regulations, any organic fibers originating from India must be certified to NPOP as a basic requirement, to be accepted as OCS Material. Other certifications such as NOP may also be in place for the fibers.					
Date Issued:	2021.01.18	Conformity Date:	2021.01.18	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 134	USDA NOP Eligib	bility		OCS-205-V 15	2.1 Box
Situation:	How should the certification body of a supply chain organization (not a first processor) determine the answer to the question "Certification of the organic material used for the products listed complies with USDA NOP rules"?				
Interpretation:	considered to concomplies with US certificate. If any owith USDA NOP reshall be selected. Checking 'Yes' on	this box indicates that cts from the same shi	rules if all of the incated on the incor Material cannot be ed for this box on	coming OCS ning transact confirmed to the incoming	ion comply TC), 'No' th USDA
Date Issued:	2021.01.18	Conformity Date:	2021.01.18	Status:	Issued



Calibration 136	Definition of "Lot	п		RAF-101a-V 101b-V1.1 G1	-	
Situation:	standards? Is it ac	What is referred to by the word "lot" for the purposes of G1.7.3 in the RAF standards? Is it acceptable for a farm group to outsource the storage of bales of wool which may be combined into lots for sale?				
Interpretation:		The word "lot" in G1.7.3 of the RAF standards refers to any discrete, identifiable unit of fiber which cannot be accidentally mixed with other lots.				
Date Issued:	2021.01.15	Conformity Date:	2021.01.15	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 74	Scope of Process	es for GRS Chemical	Requirements	GRS-101-V	4.0 D2		
Situation:	Are processes that do not "add" to the product (e.g. spin finishes for yarn) included in the scope of evaluation for chemicals according to the GRS?						
Interpretation:	of the GRS. The G "added to the pro chemicals that ma the following main certified material,	Spin finishers (and processing aids) are still considered to be part of the scope of the GRS. The GRS does not make a distinction between products that are "added to the product," but uses the phrase: "GRS criteria for the use of chemicals that may be used in the production of GRS products are based on the following main requirements" GRS chemical rules are applicable to all certified material, as well as any non-certified materials once they are blended into a certified product and to any other inputs used during production.					
Date Issued:	2021.01.14	Conformity Date:	2021.01.14	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 112	Sufficient Person	nel		ASR-101-V2	2.1 D3.1.1		
Situation:	What is a sufficier certificates?	What is a sufficient number of personnel based on the number of scope certificates?					
Interpretation:	scope certificates number of person 1. The time require	The number of personnel needed for a certificiation body with a set number of scope certificates will vary depending on the circumstances. In determining the number of personnel needed, the certification body should consider: 1. The time required to conduct each audit (including audit time, travel, planning, and reporting), as well as the review/certification decision;					
	2. The time required for administrative functions (e.g. issuing SCs, TCs, and claims approvals; closing NCs) per scope certificate;3. The percentage of each person's time which is dedicated to Textile Exchange standards;						
	4. Time spent on	training and general a	administrative task	ks; and			
	5. Full time working	ng hours after regular	time off.				
		The number of personnel should not be less than 1 full time equivalent person per 100 scope certificates, and this will typically not be sufficient.					
Date Issued:	2021.01.14	Conformity Date:	2021.01.14	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 128	RAF ICS in a Different Country	RAF-101a- V2.0/RAF-101b-V1.0 F1.3		
Situation:	May an RAF farm group ICS be located in a different country than the farms, provided that necessary management oversight is maintained?			
Interpretation:	The ICS location for a farm group shall be in the same co the certified organization's main operation is in another included as a subsequent site in the scope certificate an the work of the ICS.	country, it may be		



Calibration 128			RAF-101a- V2.0/RAF-101b-V1.0 F1.3		
Date Issued:	2020.12.15	Conformity Date:	2020.12.15	Status:	Issued

Calibration 129	Reclaimed Inputs	s for Buttons		GRS-301- V4.0/RCS-0 A1	301-V2.0
Situation:	A button maker punches buttons out of a sheet of resin. The remaining material from the sheet is reground to be used as an input for buttons. May this material be considered reclaimed/recycled? Is the answer different if the regrinding process occurs at a different site?				
Interpretation:	similar material) s as a raw material regrinding is outs The previous vers		d to be reclaimed on the second of the secon	or recycled if ituations who 2.14) allowed	it is used ere the any
Date Issued:	2020.12.14	Conformity Date:	2020.02.01	Status:	Issued



Calibration 130	Certification of N	on-Textile Reclaime	d Materials	GRS-301- V4.0/RCS-3 A3.1b	301-V2.0	
Situation:		What action should certification bodies take before accepting non-textile reclaimed or recycled inputs for RCS or GRS?				
Interpretation:	and due to differing the certification be accepting an application or recycles.	ange of potential reclang definitions of these ody shall contact Tex lication from an organ cled materials which a	terms in different tile Exchange for d ization who wishe are not already RC	countries or clarification p s to certify no	sectors, prior to on-textile	
Date Issued:	2020.12.14	Conformity Date:	2020.12.14	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 116	Reclaimed Fur GRS-101-V4.0/RC 101-V2.0 A3.1b				-	
Situation:	May reclaimed fur	May reclaimed fur be accepted as an input for GRS or RCS?				
Interpretation:		Post-consumer reclaimed fur may be accepted as an input for GRS or RCS. Pre-consumer reclaimed fur is outside the scope of the standards.				
Date Issued:	2020.11.09	Conformity Date:	2020.11.09	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 103	Withdrawing SCs certificates	that were issued on	ly as Electronic	ASR-101-V2 D1.1.14a	2.1	
Situation:	A certification body uses only electronic certificates, which causes the certification body to lack provisions to comply with requirement D1.1.14a to have clients return all copies of certificates (as no physical certificates are issued).					
Interpretation:	organization's ob	In the case of suspension or withdrawal of a scope certificate, the organization's obligations under D1.1.14a are considered to be met if the original scope certificate (if issued as a physical document) is returned to the certification body and all electronic or printed copies of the scope certificate are destroyed.				
Date Issued:	2020.10.29	Conformity Date:	2020.10.29	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 110	Timing of Recertification Audits and SC Issuance ASR-101-V2.1				2.1 D4.7.3	
Situation:	Recertification audits are sometimes conducted 2-3 months prior to the expiry of the existing scope certificate, meaning the deadline for the certification decision (60 days after the audit) may fall before the expiry of the previous scope certificate. How should scope certificate issuance and validity dates be handled in this case?					
Interpretation:	each scope certifi more than 60 day decision shall still	Textile Exchange's intention is that the anniversary date remain consistent for each scope certificate. In the case that the recertification audit is conducted more than 60 days prior to the expiry of a scope certificate, the certification decision shall still be made within 60 days, but the certification body may wait until the expiry of the previous scope certificate to issue the new scope certificate.				
Date Issued:	2020.10.29	Conformity Date:	2020.10.29	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 65	Recycled Down a	nd Feathers		GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1	I.0/RCS-		
Situation:	A down recycler purchases reclaimed down which has been through a light "pre-wash" process. The recycler's washing process is considered to be the recycling process. Does the supplier require RCS/GRS certification?						
Interpretation:	needs to be RCS/ certification body	If the reclaimed down supplier is purchasing down from a collector, the supplier needs to be RCS/GRS certified. If the supplier is acting as a collector, the certification body may agree for the down recycler to accept a Reclaimed Material Declaration Form from the supplier.					
Date Issued:	2020.08.27	Conformity Date:	2020.08.27	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 89	Use of Expired O	rganic Food		OCS-101-V3	3.0 C1.1		
Situation:		May organic expired/non-saleable food products (e.g. collected from grocery stores) be accepted as inputs for OCS?					
Interpretation:	process may be a 1. The product wa the supply chain of 2. The organic standards: a. A supply chain b. A packaged prostandard, and whon the packaging on this case, the p	atus of the product shatus of the product shatched carries and the scope certification is confirmed.	put if the following food/feed and is a all verified through e from an accepted norganic label from the final prometers of the final prometers of the food waste shall prometers.	waste production one of the following and accepted accessor (as in the consider.)	ollowing ndard; or od organic lentified		
	the first processo	the first processor. Accepted organic standards are identified in OCS 3.0 C1.1.					
Date Issued:	2020.08.27	Conformity Date:	2020.08.27	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 67	Freedom of assoc	ciation and collective	bargaining	GRS-101-V4	I.O B2.3
Situation:	Is the client required to have some form of elected worker representation? E.g. union, collective bargaining agreement, or worker committee.				
Interpretation:	The intent of this requirement is to ensure that unions and other forms of worker organization are not blocked/actively avoided. There is no requirement that one be in place if the workers have not chosen to do so.				
Date Issued:	2020.07.16	Conformity Date:	2020.07.16	Status:	Issued

Calibration 70	Clarification on S	hadow Audit Require	ements	ASR-101-V2 D3.1.5e, f; D			
Situation:	May certification bodies perform one shadow audit to the more complex standard (e.g. GRS) and consider this to cover as a shadow audit for all other standards (e.g. CCS, RCS, OCS)?						
Interpretation:	audit which included requirement for C may meet the sha	Auditor qualification scopes are intended to mirror accreditation scopes. Any audit which includes the CCS (all except farms) may meet the shadow audit requirement for CCS auditor qualification. A GRS audit at a material recycler may meet the shadow audit requirement for RCS auditor qualification. GRS/RCS audits may not be used to complete OCS qualification, or vice versa.					
Date Issued:	2020.07.16	Conformity Date:	2020.07.16	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 73	Auditors conducting audits of the same organization in consecutive years	ASR-101-V2.1 D1.2.13c	
Situation:	If there are multiple auditors on an audit team, does the limit of three consecutive years apply to all auditors or only to the lead auditor?		
Interpretation:	The requirement for an auditor to not audit the same organization in more than three consecutive years applies to all auditors.		



Calibration 73	Auditors conducting audits of the same organization in consecutive years			ASR-101-V2.1 D1.2.13c	
Date Issued:	2020.07.16	Conformity Date:	2020.07.16	Status:	Issued

Calibration 94	Combined Audit	Checklists		ASR-101-V2 D4.4.19	2.1
Situation:	May a certification body produce a combined checklist or report intended to address both a Textile Exchange standard and another standard? What approval for this is needed?				
Interpretation:	A certification body may produce a combined checklist or report template intended to address both a Textile Exchange standard and another standard, provided that all required elements for the Textile Exchange standard are included. No special approval is needed, though the checklist or template shall be evaluated by the accreditation body during the next office assessment.				
Date Issued:	2020.07.16	Conformity Date:	2020.07.16	Status:	Issued

Calibration 88	Use of Expired Food as Recycled		GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1	1.0/RCS-			
Situation:	May expired/non-saleable food products (e.g. collected from grocery stores) be accepted as recycled inputs?						
Interpretation:	be accepted as an	Food waste which is used as feedstock for a (non-food) recycling process may be accepted as an input for GRS or RCS. If the food waste is collected in the supply chain (e.g. from grocery stores) it shall be considered to be preconsumer.					
Date Issued:	2020.07.07	Conformity Date:	2020.07.07	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 62	Oligomer Recycling		GRS-101-V4.0/RCS 101-V2.0 A1		0/RCS-	
Situation:	There is a lack of clarity regarding oligomer recycling. Previous guidance from Textile Exchange did not allow oligomers to be considered reclaimed/recycled.					
Interpretation:	under GRS and RO	Oligomers produced during processing may be accepted as a reclaimed input under GRS and RCS. If the oligomers are purchased by a recycler they may be considered to be pre-consumer.				
Date Issued:	2020.07.02	Conformity Date:	2020.07.02	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 78	Certification of Ocean Waste	GRS-101-V4.0/RCS- 101-V2.0 A1		
Situation:	Some organizations wish to claim reclaimed ocean waste (waste collected from in or near oceans) specifically on GRS and RCS transaction certificates. Standard requirements do not validate that the plastic is in fact ocean plastic.			
Interpretation:	Reclaimed ocean waste is defined as material which has oceans and/or from shorelines (within 0.2 km of the wat the water level at high tide, whichever is higher). Materia recycling systems may not be included as reclaimed ocean. No claims relating to reclaimed ocean waste may be included certificates unless the following conditions are met: 1. The collector, concentrator, and all handlers are either 2. In this case, the material recycler may accept an inconcertificate to produce a GRS product. 3. All material is confirmed to be reclaimed ocean waste collector and concentrator. 4. "Reclaimed ocean waste" may be mentioned on Box 1 certificate. It may not be mentioned on the scope certificate. For sites after the material recycler, reclaimed ocean waste the incoming transaction certificate. And	er level at low tide or als from municipal can waste. uded on transaction RCS or GRS certified. ning RCS transaction during audits of the 5 of the transaction cate.		



Calibration 78	Certification of O	cean Waste		GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1	I.O/RCS-
	with the RCS or G	aims relating to reclai RS standard names o has been identified for uring the next RCS an 8.07)	r logos. reconsideration b	by the Interna	
Date Issued:	2020.07.02	Conformity Date:	2020.07.02	Status:	Issued

Calibration 64	Shadow Assessment Definition	ASR-101-V2.0 C4.6.3b, C4.6.4b, C4.6.8
Situation:	Clarity needed regarding definition of a Shadow Assessr strictly witness audits or also include review audits?	ment - do these mean
Interpretation:	References to accreditation body shadow assessments as defined in the situation. Review audits are a valuable exchange also believes that it is important to observe he body auditor conducts the audit. The minimum frequency for shadow assessments is one standard, and we know that in many circumstances accordingly.	tool, but Textile ow the certification e per two years per reditation bodies are
	conducting more shadows than this. After the minimum assessments is met, review audits as described may be IOAS definitions used in this calibration:	
	Witness Audits - accreditation body assessor observes auditor(s) at work; assessor and auditor(s) have an intro to the audit, and an exit meeting for feed-back and clarif questions after the audit, neither meeting involving the audit.	oductory meeting prior ication of any



Calibration 64	Shadow Assessn	nent Definition		ASR-101-V2 C4.6.3b,	2.0	
				C4.6.4b,		
				C4.6.8		
	should be full aud	assessor will not speak during the audit but may take notes. Witness audits should be full audits. Review Audits - accreditation body assessor visits the organization and verifies				
	information from the certification body 's latest audit report and certification decision; it includes interviewing the operator or the person who was present for the last inspection, reviewing records and a physical visit to the premises. Duration may vary according to operation size and complexity but is not expected to be a full repeat audit.					
	The auditor that conducted the reviewed audit is not required to be present; certification body is strongly encouraged to have a certification body representative accompanying the assessor during the Review Audit to witness it but shall not interfere with the assessor's work in any sense.)					
Date Issued:	2020.02.20	Conformity Date:	2020.02.20	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 10				GRS-101-V4.0/RCS- 101-V2.0 A1		
Situation:	Pre-consumer waste that is collected from a production stage and then used again in the same production stage may undergo processing steps that meet the definition of "recycled material".					
Interpretation:	Production wastes may only be considered to be pre-consumer reclaimed material if they are reprocessed through a recycling process at a site with 'recycling' included as a process in its scope. (Updated 2020.06.30)					
Date Issued:	2019.05.01	Conformity Date:	2019.05.01	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 23	Transition Betwe	en Standard Version	s	ASR-101-V2	2.1 D4.4.2		
Situation:	Transition from old standard version to new version.						
Interpretation:	Certified organizations shall be in conformity with updated standards or requirements as of the mandatory implementation date (typically one year after publication in the case of a standard). The certification body shall check conformity to the updated standard or requirements as of the next regularly scheduled audit. Certification bodies shall inform their clients of the mandatory implementation date for applicable new standards or requirements. (Updated 2020.06.30) Organizations audited on or after the mandatory implementation date shall operate in conformance with and be subject to the criteria of both documents which will include any unannounced audits. (Updated 2022.03.14)						
Date Issued:	2018.08.01	Conformity Date:	2018.08.01	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 45	Re-Recycling of Post-Consumer Materials			GRS-101-V4.0/RCS- 101-V2.0 A1, definition of post- consumer			
Situation:	Can waste from post-consumer recycling activities still be considered post-consumer waste?						
Interpretation:	Post-consumer recycled material which is put through an additional (preconsumer) recycling process may continue to be considered post-consumer, provided that the percentage of post-consumer content can be accurately determined. If the percentage of material which is post-consumer prior to the final recycling process cannot be accurately determined, the material shall be considered to be pre-consumer. (Updated 2020.06.30)						
Date Issued:	2017.03.01	Conformity Date:	2017.03.01	Status:	Issued		