

## Calibration Log

The following is a list of calibration items issued by Textile Exchange. This list is provided publicly on the <u>Textile Exchange website</u>.

Calibration items shown apply to current normative documents, or to documents which are currently being phased out (Status: "Retirement Pending"). These represent supplemental guidance which Textile Exchange will integrate into guidance documents such as User Manuals were possible. Calibration only applies to the applicable version of a document, where it is specified.

Each calibration item has been assigned a unique number for easy reference. This can be found at the top left corner, before the calibration title (e.g. "Calibration 150"). Please note that not all numbers will be listed nor listed sequentially due to some calibration items having been rejected, retired, or are still pending review/approval.

All calibration items will be retired with the release of the next major revision of the applicable Textile Exchange standard and/or policy. Any calibration items that still apply will then be reissued as applicable.

An automatic 14-day consultation period applies for each calibration item after the first time it is published for certification bodies, during which Textile Exchange will accept feedback to <a href="mailto:Assurance@TextileExchange.org">Assurance@TextileExchange.org</a>. Certification bodies shall apply the calibration as applicable during this time.

Calibration 140	What is the earliest a recertification audit may be conducted?	ASR-101-V2.1 D4.4.20, D4.6.8	
Situation:	The ACP D4.4.20 recommends ('should' language) that recertification audits be conducted 60 days before the expiry of the previous scope certificate, and D4.6.8 requires that the certification decision be made within 60 days of the audit.		
	How far in advance may a recertification audit be conductionalize a certification decision within 60 days of the audit certificate upon expiry of the previous scope certificate?	it and issue a scope	
	Update		
The current calibration uses the word "may" which allows the user to that they are allowed to exceed the 90-day limit. There's being case misinterpretation.			
	Adjusting the wording can aid in clarifying the intent of t for CBs not to conduct audits further than 90 days before scope certificate.		



Calibration 140	What is the earliest a recertification audit may be conducted?  ASR-101-V2.1 D4.4.20, D4.6.8				
Interpretation:	the expiry of the p be conducted prio certificate.  If a certification de certificate, issuing	Recertification audits may be conducted, as a maximum, up to 90 days before the expiry of the previous scope certificate, i.e. recertification audits are not to be conducted prior to 90 days before the expiration date of the scope certificate.  If a certification decision is made prior to the expiry of the previous scope certificate, issuing the new scope certificate may then be delayed up to 90 days after the audit date (typically to the anniversary date).			
	UPDATED: 2023.08.31  ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2021.01.28				
Date Issued:	2023.08.31	Conformity Date:	2023.08.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 208	Certification Body Moving their Accredited Office	ASR-101-V2.1 D1.1.8		
Situation:	A certification body wishes to transfer their accreditation to a different office, which may or may not be in a different country or party of a different legal entity (e.g. subsidiary or sister company) to the office which held the original accreditation. What is the procedure for this? Is this considered a new accreditation?			
Interpretation:	terpretation:  If a certification body wishes to move their accreditation to a different office, the accreditation body shall determine if this may be accepted as a simple update of contact information or if a new accreditation is required, and shall communicate this decision to Textile Exchange.  If the accreditation body determines that this may be accepted as a simple update of contact information, the certification body shall provide an updated application form to assurance@textileexchange.org. Textile Exchange shall update applicable systems accordingly.			



Calibration 208	Certification Bod	y Moving their Accre	dited Office	ASR-101-V2	2.1 D1.1.8
	If a new accreditation is needed, the certification body shall submit a new application form to Textile Exchange with applicable supporting documentation. Textile Exchange will fully evaluate the application but may rely on past experience and/or evidence from the accreditation body to fast-track the application. Provided that this is a transfer of accreditation (i.e. the original office is not maintaining accreditation), Textile Exchange will not charge an additional application fee and will review an application even if certification body applications are otherwise closed.				
Date Issued:	2023.08.31	Conformity Date:	2023.08.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 130	Certification of Non-Textile Reclaimed Materials	GRS v4.2/RCS v2.2 A3.1b		
Situation:	What action should certification bodies take before accepting non-textile reclaimed or recycled inputs for RCS or GRS?			
	Update 2023.08.25:			
	Textile Exchange has received significant feedback regarding the wording of the original Calibration 130, including lack of clarity and the additional burden of approvals.			
Interpretation:	Due to the wide range of potential reclaimed or recycled materials available, and due to differing definitions of these terms in different countries or sectors, the certification body shall contact Textile Exchange for approval prior to accepting an application from an organization who wishes to certify non-textile pre-consumer reclaimed or recycled materials which are not already RCS or GRS certified.  Update 2023.08.25:  The above text has been adapted to only include pre-consumer materials. Advance approval is no longer required for post-consumer materials.			
	Advance approval is required prior to recertification of a accepts non-certified, non-textile pre-consumer reclaim			



Calibration 130	Certification of N	on-Textile Reclaime		GRS v4.2/R A3.1b	CS v2.2		
		materials as input unless the certification body has documentation of past approval from Textile Exchange for that organization.					
	The calibration applies to all certification bodies that hold RCS and GRS accreditation. Each certification body is required to reach out to Assurance@TextileExchange.org in order to evaluate the application. The application consists of a set of questions that need to be answered with the appropriate details. If any ineligible material is identified during the renewal application, the certification body is obligated to withdraw the certification.						
	Textile Exchange will be building additional guidance to reduce the scenarios in which advance approval is needed, which may include exempting individual submitters or certification bodies from the approval process based on demonstrated performance.						
	In all cases where advance approval is not needed, Textile Exchange may reach out to the certification body to request an application as described above for a certified organization who is acting as a recycler. In this case, the certification body shall provide the application to Textile Exchange for evaluation.						
	Updated 2:	Updated 2: 2023.08.25					
		Updated 1: 2022.07.19					
	Orginally Issued:	Orginally Issued: 2020.12.14					
Date Issued:	2023.08.25	Conformity Date:	2023.08.25	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 237	237. Audit Metho	ods Document Not Pu	blished	CCS-102-V3	3.1 D4
Situation:	CCS-102-V3.1 CCS Certification Procedures D4 references a new document, ASR-114 Audit and Assessment Methods Policy, which is not yet published.				
Interpretation:	bodies shall conti CCS-102-V3.0 ca https://textileexc	Until ASR-114 Audit and Assessment Methods Policy is published, certification bodies shall continue to apply CCS-102-V3.0 D4 in its place.  CCS-102-V3.0 can be accessed at https://textileexchange.org/app/uploads/2022/03/CCS-102-V3.0-CCS-Certification-Procedures.pdf			
Date Issued:	2023.08.25	Conformity Date:	2023.08.25	Status:	Issued

Calibration 235	235. Guideline regarding the second-party and third-party testing	CCS-102-V3.1 E2.1.3	
Situation:	ere is confusion regarding product quality testing criteria, including who ay conduct testing and which test reports are required for transaction rtificates.		
Interpretation:	It is mandatory for the seller to provide second- or third-party quality test reports for inputs and outputs when applying for a transaction certificate (see CCS-102-V3.1 E2.1.3.b). Composition testing is not required.  Quality tests refer to the following tests:  For fibers (PC0033, PC0034), the fiber length in mm and fiber fineness in applicable unit;  For yarns (PC0029, PC0030, PC0031), the yarn count;		
	For fabrics (PC0025, PC0026, PC0027, PC0028, PC0039), the fabric gsn (weight in g/m2) and fabric construction (e.g. ends/picks per inch, yarn count);		



Calibration 235	235. Guideline re third-party testir	garding the second- ng	party and	CCS-102-V3	3.1 E2.1.3	
	For garments and claimed material;	For garments and finished textile products, the fabric gsm for all fabrics with claimed material;				
	For down and feat	For down and feather (RM0032, RM0039, RM0328, RM0329), the fill power; and				
	For all other products and materials, any similar testing which is mutually agreed on between the buyer and the seller.					
	The seller should provide a copy of the output test report to the buyer. The buyer may use the seller's output test report as their input test report.					
	The lab conducting the testing should be an ISO/IEC 17025 accredited third-party lab. However, a second party lab or a non-accredited third party lab is also acceptable. Additionally, the seller's own lab may conduct the testing provided that the lab has been directly approved by the buyer or is ISO/IEC 17025 accredited.					
	For definitions of first-, second-, and third-party, please refer to ISO/IEC 17000:2004.					
Date Issued:	2023.08.09	Conformity Date:	2023.08.09	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 219	Multiple Geographic Origins on Transaction Certificates	ASR-104-V3.0 B2.12.1d,e			
Situation:	Some transaction certificates include more than one geographic origin (country/area and state/province) of raw material in one single line item in Box II of the transaction certificate when the same material comes from different ocations. Is it correct to do this? How to proceed if we have the same material from 3 different locations, but the distinction of how much came from each region is not specified?				
Interpretation:	If there is more than one geographic origin (country/are for the same raw material on a transaction certificate, thone of two ways:  Option 1 - Preferred				
	(state/province). Where the exact weight is not available based on the input transaction certificate(s), this may be	eight is separated out per raw material and per geographic origin vince). Where the exact weight is not available per geographic origin he input transaction certificate(s), this may be estimated based on proportion of the raw material on the input transaction certificate(s).			
	EXAMPLE: A transaction certificate includes 20,000 kg provinces AA and BB in Country1 and state CC in Country	AMPLE: A transaction certificate includes 20,000 kg of RM0189 from vinces AA and BB in Country1 and state CC in Country2.			
	The transaction certificate shows raw materials as follow	e transaction certificate shows raw materials as follows:			
	RM0189 - certified weight 10,000 kg - Country1 (AA)				
	RM0189 - certified weight 3,000 kg - Country1 (BB)				
	RM0189 - certified weight 7,000 kg - Country2 (CC)				
	Option 2				
	Certified weight is listed per raw material but is not sepa geographic origin.	rated out per			
	EXAMPLE: As above. The transaction certificate shows follows:	raw materials as			



Calibration 219	Multiple Geograp Certificates	hic Origins on Trans	action	ASR-104-V B2.12.1d,e	3.0
	RM0189 - certified weight 20,000 kg - Country1 (AA); Country1 (BB); Country2 (CC)				
	OR				
	RM0189 - certified weight 13,000 kg - Country 1 (AA); Country1 (BB)				
	RM0189 - certified weight 7,000 kg - Country 2 (CC)				
	NOTE: Textile Exchange intends to link raw material origins directly to products in a future version of the transaction certificate policy.				
Date Issued:	2023.07.31	Conformity Date:	2023.07.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 233		tag, or label manufac ds for making claims		CCS-201-V	3.1 E
Situation:	When packaging, hangtags, or labels are certified to a Textile Exchange Standard, who is considered to be the brand?				
Interpretation:	be brands. The for examples in the list added:  This company wo products which as attaching to anoth distribution changed by the company and labethe final packaging.	packaging, hangtags allowing example shows at of examples in the sould be considered a bare packaging, hangtagher product (e.g. garranels. The labeling and pany, as is the printing els. In the case of packag product may be physice being sold to the fire	uld be considered a guidance note, the grand: A company o gs, or labels used f nent) and sells the l branding of the program grand physical atta kaging, which is no ysically handled by	designs and or holding or m through m roduct are gothing, in the ot physically	develops nultiple enerally case of attached,
Date Issued:	2023.07.31	Conformity Date:	2023.07.31	Status:	Issued



Calibration 236	236. Activities Performed by Certification Body Subcontractors	ASR-101-V2.1 Appendix A					
Situation:	Which activities may only be performed by a certification subcontractor?	n body directly, or by a					
	Relevant definitions from ASR-101-V2.1:						
	Subcontractor: []An independent legal entity hired by a certification body to provide services related to certification activities, excluding freelancers.						
	Freelancer: An individual who is hired by an accreditation body or a certification body to act as an assessor or an auditor on a contract/non-employee basis, but subject to the accreditation/certification body's procedures. A freelancer may not also conduct client recruitment or management activities (see: subcontractor). An individual may be considered to be a freelancer if payment is made to a company (e.g. an incorporated consulting business), provided that the work is stipulated to be done by a named individual and that the business does not engage in client recruitment or management activities.						
Interpretation:	The following activities are considered to be core function operations and shall only be conducted by certification by subcontractors, not freelancers or other parties:	=					
	- Management of auditors, including hiring and select audits;	ing auditors for specific					
	- Planning of audit activities;						
	- Review of audit reports (separate from final certificat	tion decisions);					
	- Review and granting of claims approvals;						
	- Processing of transaction certificate applications;						
	- Maintaining legally required registrations on behalf of (including CNCA registration for operations in China);	of the certification body					
	- Client management activities including client comm	unications;					



Calibration 236	236. Activities Performed by Certification Body Subcontractors  ASR-101-V2.1 Appendix A					
	- Direct client recruitment;  - Client invoicing; and  - Contracting with clients including certification agreements.  Note: Certification decisions and the issuance of scope and transaction certificates are required to be conducted by the certification body directly and					
	not by a subcontractor or freelancer (see ASR-101-V2.1 D3.2.6.a).					
Date Issued:	2023.07.12	Conformity Date:	2023.07.12	Status:	Issued	

Ginning Facilities in Purchasing Seed Cotton	OCS-105-V0.1, ASR- 106-v2.3		
It has been noted that in some cases in Turkey a ginning facility purchases seed cotton but is not named as the buyer on the input (farm) transaction certificate or seller on the output (lint cotton) transaction certificate, and is instead listed as a subcontractor. GOTS has created an exception for transaction certificates issued before October 1, 2022.			
If a ginning facility is purchasing seed cotton, that facility is not a subcontractor by definition and therefore shall be identified as the buyer on input (farm) transaction certificates, then as the seller of lint cotton on the output transaction certificate.			
GOTS has permitted an exception to these criteria for transaction certificates in Turkey issued before October 1, 2022. In such cases, whenever Textile Exchange is required to review the transaction certificate data (see OCS-105 OCS In Conversion Public Exemption and ASR-106 Accepted Equivalent Standards), a declaration from the seller on the first GOTS transaction certificate shall be provided to Textile Exchange alongside the transaction certificates which states the following:  1. The name and address of the organization making the declaration;			



Calibration 221	Ginning Facilities	s in Purchasing Seed	Cotton	OCS-105-V 106-v2.3	0.1, ASR-	
	3. The number of the first GOTS transaction certificate along with the issuing certification body, date, volume (kg), and buyer's name;					
	4. A declaration t	4. A declaration that:				
	a. The organization understands that the gin took legal ownership of the seed cotton and therefore was required to be the seller on the first transaction certificate;					
	b. The organization will ensure that all transaction certificates issued on or after October 1, 2022 will meet this requirement; and					
	c. That the information provided about the transaction certificate is true and correct.					
	If the first GOTS transaction certificate was issued on or after October 1, 2022, no exception to the definition of subcontractor is permitted.					
Date Issued:	2023.07.07	Conformity Date:	2023.07.07	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 234	234. Animal Fiber Transaction Certificate Applications over 180 days	ASR-104-V3.0 A8.3
Situation:	Several certified organizations overlook the requirement transaction certificate before 180 days passed after the ein the application. This omission is often associated with reasons which are neither related to the Textile Exchange conformities to the standards. Given the certified product confirmed, there is the need to introduce some flexibility organizations to continue their commercial operations of the Assurance team has identified a lower risk involved the spinning stage in the RAF supply chain when this type and is issuing this calibration for that limited scope.	earliest shipment date an administrative ge policies nor to nonct integrity is a to allow these of certified goods.



Calibration 234	234. Animal Fibe Applications over	r Transaction Certific r 180 days	cate	ASR-104-V	3.0 A8.3		
Interpretation:	valid application is received between 181 and 270 calendar days from the earliest shipment date, provided that:  a. The certification body agrees to issue the transaction certificate, i.e. t are no concerns about the authenticity of the provided documentation;						
	Raw materials: RN	b. The products on the transaction certificate are limited to the following Raw materials: RM0003 (Organic Alpaca), RM0007 (RAF Alpaca), RM0059 (In-conversion Mohair), RM0064 (RAF Mohair), RM0077 (Wool), and RM00 (RAF Wool);					
	c. Product cate fibers); and	act categories are limited to PC0032 (Tops) and PC0034 (Undyed d					
	d. The certification body provides Textile Exchange with the transaction certificate number and justification for issuing it using the form Certificate Body Reporting Form For Animal Fiber Transaction Certificate over 180						
	2. The certification body may also issue a transaction certificate if an incomplete application or an inquiry about a specific transaction certificate was received within 270 days of the earliest shipment date and before June 1, 2023, provided that the conditions in 1a1d. are met.						
	NOTE: Option 2 is intended to offer flexibility for cases where the cer body rejected applications or inquiries for transaction certificates pri publication of this calibration.						
	NOTE: Textile Exchange is considering how to address this criterion for other fibers and products.						
Date Issued:	2023.06.08	Conformity Date:	2023.06.08	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 223	Requirements for Wastewater/Effluent/Sludge Treatment Systems	GRS-101-V4.0 C2.3e, C2.3f				
Situation:	The GRS allows treating wastewater either on-site or off-site. Many operators treat wastewater off-site in a common effluent treatment plant (CETP) which may be private or government owned.					
	The Waste/Effluent section in the GRS establishes that CETPs need to conform with GRS v4.0 Appendix D which is based on ZDHC's Wastewater Guidelines. However, CETPs abide by local or national governmental standards which usually are more lenient than ZDHC's standards. Additionally, the certification bodies cannot audit the quality of the water leaving the CETP. These facts create a gap in conformity with the GRS.					
	Moreover, the GRS is vague on proper treatment and disposal of sludge because it doesn't refer to any guideline parameters to assess its attributes, which is needed for on-site treatment systems that certification bodies will audit.					
Interpretation:	n: Each GRS site shall have a system to ensure that wastewater receives provider. Depending on the wastewater treatment location, the following applies:					
	a. On-site treatment systems shall conform to the criteria of GRS-101-V4.0 C2.3.					
	i. For sludge management, the site should provide the certification bowith a copy of the valid contract between the site and the sludge disposa contractor.					
	b. If using an off-site treatment plant, known as a common effluent treatment plant (CETP), the site shall provide the certification body with evidence that the treated wastewater/effluent leaving the CETP facility meets local or national legal parameters.					
	i. The certification body should confirm that the CETP is legally opereviewing the existence of a permit, agreement, or contract with the cesite or with any other system participants such as the local pollution ceboard.					
	ii. The site should provide evidence that sludge generated facility meets local or national legal parameters.	ated at the CETP				



Calibration 223	and quantum and an area of the same and a sa			GRS-101-V4.0 C2.3e, C2.3f	
Date Issued:	2023.05.31	Conformity Date:	2023.05.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 227	Darkness Period	for Ducks		RDS-101-V3 AW2.7	3.0	
Situation:	Ducks are primarily raised for meat with down a secondary consideration. Companies worldwide are working with different criteria when providing a mandatory darkness period to ducks, depending on the country of operation and the standard(s) prevailing within the farmed duck industry of each country. The RDS criteria differ from some of these standards. Textile Exchange identified the benefit of updating the RDS with the most common practice.  This calibration aligns with the proposed language for Textile Exchange's upcoming unified standard.					
Interpretation:	RDS criterion AW2.7 may be implemented as follows where the criterion as written in the standard is not feasible:  Except for brooding under a heat lamp up to four weeks of age, ducks shall be provided a minimum period of six hours of continuous darkness - or near darkness - at night and this shall be preceded by thirty minutes of dusk and followed by thirty minutes of dawn. In addition, a minimum of eight hours of light during the day shall be provided.					
Date Issued:	2023.05.31	Conformity Date:	2023.05.31	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 228	Use of Poison Baiting for Predator Control	RWS-101a-V2.2 LM2.6.3
Situation:	Farmers in different parts of Australia are currently having feral pests such as foxes, wild dogs, and feral pigs. Their production and financial loss and the local economy is as such that national and state authorities have implement farmers.	r attacks cause ffected. The impact is



Calibration 228	Use of Poison Baiting for Predator Control	RWS-101a-V2.2 LM2.6.3			
	These predators maim or kill livestock such as lambs, adult sheep, poultry, goats, and native wildlife. They also pose a threat to humans and pets through the transmission of diseases such as Distemper, Parvo, Mange, Hydatids (Zoonotic disease that can affect humans), Sheep Measles, Neospora Caninum, and Ehrlichiosis. Some animals can even desiccate feed supplies of grazing animals, destroy pasture and habitat, and contaminate water.  The government has implemented programs such as Local Land Services to provide guidance, training, and risk assessments on the use of different pest management techniques such as shooting, trapping, and baiting. Usually, poison baits are restricted materials that cannot be purchased or used without licensing, training, and signage installation on the property.  The use of poison as a lethal control method is not currently allowed by the RWS.  This calibration aligns with the proposed language for Textile Exchange's upcoming unified standard.				
Interpretation:	A certified farm or farm group in Australia may use poison baiting as a predator control method provided all of the following conditions are met:  1. There shall be a verifiable predator threat to goats/sheep.  2. Predators shall be classified as invasive species by the relevant authority. Endemic predator species shall not be eligible for the application of this calibration.  3. The decision to use poison shall be taken on a landscape or regional level and involve expert input from an external body such as Landcare Australia.  4. Anti-coagulant poisons or cholecalciferol shall not be used.  5. Poison baiting shall take place over set, targeted periods only -it shall not occur continuously.  6. The farm shall have a written predator management plan with the following components at a minimum:				



Calibration 228	Use of Poison Ba	iting for Predator Cor	ntrol	RWS-101a-\ LM2.6.3	/2.2		
	a. Detailed expl	lanation of the predate	or issue,				
	b. Predator control proposal establishing the responsible person for every action, when it shall be executed, and where it will be implemented.						
	c. Alternative methods of control that conform to the RWS, e.g. shooting individual predators, or use of CO2 traps, including reasons why they are inadequate for the farm's situation.						
	<ul> <li>d. Integrated approach analysis considering other predator species that could increase in number if the target predator population is reduced.</li> <li>7. The farm shall have attempted at least two non-lethal control methods (e.g. predator-proof fencing, light or sound deterrents, livestock guardian dogs) before considering the poison bait option.</li> <li>8. Monitoring shall take place before and after poison bait is used to first determine where invasive predators are active and secondly to determine the success of the baiting program. Records shall be kept.</li> <li>9. The position of baits shall be marked and any undated baits removed at the end of the baiting period.</li> </ul>						
		all be distributed so a ry or secondary poisor		get wildlife be	eing		
	<ul> <li>11. Signs shall be placed all around the property, especially on their boundary to ensure all neighbors and visitors are aware poisoning is conducted on the property.</li> <li>12. All bait (including that which is unused or uneaten) shall be used and disposed of according to product label requirements.</li> </ul>						
	13. Fumigating dens with carbon monoxide is not an acceptable activity under this exemption.						
Date Issued:	2023.05.31	Conformity Date:	2023.05.31	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 229	Transaction Certificate Issuance after Transferring between Certification Bodies	ASR-104-V3.0 A8.4			
Situation:	presents challenges to obtain transaction certificates from certification body. A certification body could also have the suspended or withdrawn, affecting their ability to issue the contractions of the certification body.	An organization may choose to change certification bodies. In this case it presents challenges to obtain transaction certificates from the previous certification body. A certification body could also have their accreditation suspended or withdrawn, affecting their ability to issue transaction certificates. The existence of transaction certificates available in dTrackit now mitigates the risk of volume reconciliation errors or volume fraud.			
Interpretation:	When an organization transfers between certification bodies (from the "preceding certification body" to the "succeeding certification body", including when the transfer occurs at recertification), the succeeding certification body may issue transaction certificates for shipments from the time when the organization was certified with the preceding certification body, provided that all of the following conditions are met. This applies after the succeeding certification body's scope certificate is issued.				
	1. The organization held a valid scope certificate at the ti and the product is eligible to be included on a transactio	n certificate;			
	2. The inputs are not seed cotton (PC0088) or lint cotto 3. The following transaction certificates have been recei Exchange's dTrackit system and can be authenticated in Transaction Certificate Verification Portal at https://textileexchange.org/authenticate-transaction/	ved by Textile n the Textile Exchange			
	a. All applicable input transaction certificates issued t standards for the transaction certificate to be issued; an				
	b. All output transaction certificates issued to the orgathe same standard since the date of the earliest input tra				
	4. The two certification bodies shall agree that the succeeding certification body will be responsible for issuing transaction certificates for all shipme after a specified date;				
	5. The succeeding certification body has conducted a vo ensure that claimed material has not been over-sold by including receiving volume reconciliation data from the body;	the organization,			



Calibration 229	Transaction Cert between Certifica	ificate Issuance after ation Bodies	·Transferring	ASR-104-V	3.0 A8.4		
	scLegacyNo data certification body  b. Specify the creferencing the procertified by CB-All  c. Fully implem (see Calibration 17)  d. If any inputs certificates back to 7. All applicable proceeding certificates back to preceding certificates back to the proceeding certificates back to the preceding certificates	a. Specify the preceding certification body's scope certificate number in the LegacyNo data field on the dTrackit data submission for the succeeding rtification body's scope certificate;  b. Specify the certification body at the time of the shipment in Box 12 by ferencing the preceding certification body's licensing code (e.g. "Seller was rtified by CB-ABC on the applicable shipment date(s).");  c. Fully implement Textile Exchange's criteria for the scNo and scVersionNo ee Calibration 172); and  d. If any inputs are GOTS certified, submit full data for transaction rtificates back to the first processor into dTrackit.  All applicable products shall be included on the succeeding certification only's scope certificate. All applicable products shall match a product on the eceding certification body's scope certificate for all required information cept that different blend percentages may be specified. All applicable pocess categories shall appear on the scope certificates from both rtification bodies.  DTE: Textile Exchange will review these criteria for future updates to ASR-4 Policy for Transaction Certificates. All other criteria for transaction rtificates, including those in ASR-106 Accepted Equivalent Standards,					
	104 Policy for Tra						
	Updated: 2023.04.18  Originally issued: 2023.03.27						
Date Issued:	2023.04.19	Conformity Date:	2023.04.19	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 232	Transfer Audits i	n the case of CB Susp	pension	ASR-112-V2	2.0 C3.4	
Situation:	Transfer audits are abbreviated audits intended to simplify the transition between certification bodies when there may be an urgent need outside of the recertification cycle. ASR-112-V2.0 permits transfer audits in the case of CB withdrawal, but does not permit them for voluntary transfers between CBs. In the case of a CB being suspended, their clients may feel that a transfer of certification is necessary to control risk and ensure continuity of service.					
Interpretation:	suspended for the before the date th	A transfer audit may be conducted when the certification body has been suspended for the organization's scope and is still suspended 7 calendar days before the date the transfer audit is conducted. See ASR-112-V2.0 C3.5 for more information about transfer audits.				
	In this case, the succeeding certification body shall specify the preceding certification body's scope certificate number in the scLegacyNo data field on the dTrackit data submission for the succeeding certification body's scope certificate, and shall follow instructions from Textile Exchange for reporting on transfer audits to allow for fees to be calculated correctly.					
Date Issued:	2023.04.19	Conformity Date:	2023.04.19	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 154	Maps vs GIS Data	RAF-101a-V2.1/RAF- 101b-V1.1/RAF-101c- V1.0 F2.6.2
Situation:	RAF farm group criteria require the ICS to maintain maps farm showing where animals are located. Textile Excharthat GIS data be submitted by each farm. How does this relating to maps?	nge is moving to require
Interpretation:	An RAF farm group or communal farmer group ICS is not maps or sketches of each farm showing where animals a that the ICS maintains the following, which can be clearly	re located provided



Calibration 154	Maps vs GIS Data	i		RAF-101a-V 101b-V1.1/R V1.0 F2.6.2	-
		1. A list of farms which can be linked back to the scope certificate;  2. A copy of the Farm Questions for each farm, as required by Textile			
	Exchange's syste	m; and			
	3. Polygon data (i.e. GIS shapefiles) for each farm showing the location and amount of farmland.				
	Note: Textile Exchange's system will not automatically give the ICS access to the GIS data for each member farm collected by Textile Exchange, but will provide a mechanism for the ICS to access the information in the future. Additional fees may apply for this access.				
	UPDATED: 2023.02.28				
	ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2021.08.20				
Date Issued:	2023.02.28	Conformity Date:	2023.02.28	Status:	Issued

Calibration 189	Certificate Authentication	ASR-103-V3.0, ASR-104-V3.0 A4
Situation:	Scope certificates and Transaction certificates are fundation integrity system of Textile Exchange standards. Certificates to consistently authenticate input transaction certificates to continuous flow of goods in the supply chain (see CCS-16 Some CBs are not fulfilling in a timely manner their obligation evidence of scope and transaction certificate authentication portallows for the authentication of some transaction certificate.	ation bodies must to safeguard the 02-V3.0 E2.1.4). ation to provide ty. Textile Exchange al which presently



Calibration 189	Certificate Authentication	ASR-103-V3.0, ASR-104-V3.0 A4		
Interpretation:	In order to authenticate a transaction certificate, the ceruse one of the following options:	tification body shall		
	<ol> <li>Authentication via the portal at https://textileexchange.org/authenticat transaction/. This should be used as the preferred option where possible. method will become the only accepted method in the future.</li> <li>Authentication via the certification body's own database system, if the transaction certificate was issued by the same certification body. OR</li> <li>Authentication via the method specified by the issuing certification body https://textileexchange.org/certificates.</li> <li>If an input transaction certificate cannot be authenticated, the certification body shall not accept it. See CCS-102-V3.0 E2.1.4.</li> <li>Each certification body is required to update Textile Exchange with how the certificates can be authenticated (see ASR-103-V3.0 A4.2).</li> </ol>			
	4. If a different method of authentication is used for som body's scope certificates (e.g. for specific standards or cinformation shall be included.			
	5. If all of the certification body's transaction certificates Textile Exchange's transaction certificate portal, referer sufficient to meet ASR-103-V3.0 A4.2.			
	6. If transaction certificate authentication is conducted r of two business days is allowed for the verification to be certification body receives a request (see ASR-103-V3.0	completed when the		
	7. In the case of automated methods of transaction certificate auth such as a single webpage with verification capabilities, accessed b URL or QR code, the certification body shall provide instructions to case the system is not returning valid evidence of verification.			
	UPDATED: 2023.02.28			
	ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2022.08.01			



Calibration 189	Certificate Authentication			ASR-103-V3.0, ASR-104-V3.0 A4	
Date Issued:	2023.02.28	Conformity Date:	2023.02.28	Status:	Issued

Calibration 209	Clarifications for Processing Categories	ASR-213-V1.1 Table 3.2
Situation:	It is unclear how specific processing categories shall be	used.
Situation: Interpretation:	It is unclear how specific processing categories shall be  The following clarifications are provided for processing of the following clarifications are provided for processing of the following clarifications are provided for processing of the process category other than PROOOO (no processing), PROO35 (sales), PROO30 (trading), PROO31 (warehousing, distrik (Buying house) applies to the site. A processor site may these process codes except for PROOOO (see below).  No processing (PROOOO) - This processing category shaprocessing category is suitable. It is typically used for sit possession within the same scope certificate as process.  Brand (PROO35) - This process category is only to be use controls the design and development of final products. The any certified final product sold to a consumer includes exthat the correct use of this processing category is essential the correct use of this processing category is essential to subcontractors.  Example: A site produces a branded fabric (an intermed which is sold to garment factories to be made into jacket as a final product. The site shall not have PCOO35 listed.	categories: sor when any process brand), PR0025 (retail bution), and PR0041 also qualify for any of all apply when no other ses without physical ing sites. ed for a site that The supply chain for exactly one brand. Note tial to ensure that as code does not apply intellabeled product), is. The fabric is not sold
	Example: A spinner produces and sells yarn primarily to the spinner also sells some yarns direct to consumers in online for craft purposes. The spinner is the brand for the directly to consumers.	a retail shop and



Calibration 209	Clarifications for	Processing Categori	es	ASR-213-V1 3.2	.1 Table
	Packing (PR0020) - This process category is considered to be processing, and shall only be used when the packing carries a risk of product contamination or a change in labels relating to the Standard. It is not intended for facilities which are distributing discrete products and not applying labels.  Examples of packing: Bagging of bulk down; Applying hang tags with an OCS logo to garments; Packing individual socks into 10-packs for retail sale  Examples that are not considered packing: Picking finished garments (style, size, color) and boxing them together for shipment to an individual retail store; Adding or removing polybags on garments, unless the polybags carry the Standard's logo; Putting non-certified products into GRS-certified packaging  Trading (PR0030) - This process category shall only apply to organizations that are purchasing and selling claimed materials without processing them, i.e. inputs and outputs are identical for some products across all sites within the same scope certificate. The organization or site may also have other products which they process. An office-only site for a manufacturer shall not list trading as a process category unless the organization purchases and sells claimed materials that are not processed at any of their sites.				
	Warehousing, distribution (PRO031) - This process category shall only apply to facilities that are physically handling products without processing them, i.e. inputs and outputs from the facility are identical. The site may also have other products which they process.  Buying House (PR0041) - A buying house does not take physical possession of claimed materials, but takes legal ownership and has them processed by one or more subcontractors. This process code does not apply to subcontractors. If a scope certificate includes PR0041, a minimum of one processing subcontractor and corresponding processing category shall be included on the scope certificate.  NOTE: The process code PR0041 is new and will be included in the next update of ASR-213.				
Date Issued:	2023.02.28	Conformity Date:	2023.02.28	Status:	Issued



Calibration 220	Mixed Fibers and	Proportion of Fibers		CCS-101-V3	3.1 D4
Situation:	Pre- and post-consumer waste such as yarn or non-woven textiles are recycled into various textile materials. CCS D4.2 calls for a material composition test report of the output claimed material, but this requirement has proven to be unpractical due to:  - The high cost and duration of testing,				
	- The impossibilit material, and	y of finding the exact	proportion of eacl	n fiber in the c	output
	- The error factor along with their si	introduced by the big ze and weight.	amount of differe	nt input mate	erials,
	For example, 1000 garments will have 1000 varieties of blends or materials, and each garment's weight will be slightly different.				
Interpretation:	When mixed fibers are used in raw materials, there is no need to do a material composition test to know the percentage of each fiber present. The raw material codes for mixed fibers (RM0258, RM0259, RM0260, RM0261) shall only be used by the material recycler. No other operator in the supply chain, after the recycler, is allowed to change the mixed fiber codes in the output transaction certificate or when they are used in the material composition on the input transaction certificate.  A blend of virgin material shall not be defined as "mixed fibers" in any case.				
		odes are only allowed st-consumer waste.	I to be used by red	cyclers for pre	<b>)-</b>
	When an input transaction certificate specifies that a product contains mixed fibers, this same designation shall be used in the output transaction certificate. Even when the detailed results of a material composition test are available, the transaction certificate shall list "mixed fibers" with the corresponding raw material code rather than separating out individual fibers.				
Date Issued:	2023.02.28	Conformity Date:	2023.02.28	Status:	Issued



Calibration 148	Ineligible Reclain	ned Inputs		GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1	I.O/RCS-
Situation:	Textile Exchange has become aware of several situations where certification bodies have issued scope certificates to material recyclers for material which has been accepted by the certification body as pre-consumer, but which does not match Textile Exchange's definition of pre-consumer material. Textile Exchange acknowledges that this may have related to a lack of clarity in past guidance.				
Interpretation:	reclaimed) shall he withdrawn immed a new certification. If the certification certified that reclains for clarification properties and the scope resimmediately.  NOTE: A previous certificates issued.		ed to exclude ineligation (with the same these cases.  eclaimed input is entered by should reach or some scope of GRS or sin this area where exchange, the scopingible inputs or should be din this calibration, 2021. All such scope in the scope in this calibration, 2021.	ible inputs of certification aligible or has ut to Textile land RCS certificate the certificate all be withdrawn on for scope	s not Exchange ation. If tion body shall
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued



Calibration 178	Criteria for the pr	oduct category PC00 d inputs	029 Dyed Yarn	ASR-213-V1	I.1 Table		
Situation:	consumer or post	Under GRS/RCS, certain products are made from dyed reclaimed inputs (preconsumer or post-consumer), and additional dyeing is not done on such products. Can we call such yarn 'undyed' or 'greige' yarn instead of 'dyed yarn'?					
Interpretation:	only identified as they shall be iden	When product inputs used by a certified site have been previously dyed but only identified as dyed products as a result of a previous recycling process, they shall be identified with the 'Dyed yarn' (PC0029) category to avoid confusion with 'Undyed Yarn' (PC0031), which shall not be used on any dyed material.					
	"Product's color w certified supply ch dyed and the resu process.". This in	A supplier may request the certification body to include a statement such as "Product's color was maintained from its life cycle previous to entering the certified supply chain." or "Product is made from inputs which were previously dyed and the resulting product color is not a result of an additional dyeing process.". This information can be included in box 12 of the Transaction Certificate template (ASR-205-V3.0).					
	already been dyed color sorting). In s site, but washing fabric. In such a ca input is dyed recla reclaimed produc yarn' (PCOO29) ca	st-consumer or pre-consumer reclaimed products could have sen dyed in a previous life cycle and recycled (a process based on ng). In such a process, dyeing might not be performed by a certified tashing or finishing could be done on reclaimed product, yarn, or such a case, the output will also be 'Dyed yarn' (PC0029) since the red reclaimed product (pre-consumer / post-consumer). When products that have been previously dyed are certified, the 'Dyed 2029) category shall be used to avoid confusion with 'Undyed Yarn' which shall not be used on any dyed material.					
	UPDATED: 2022. ORIGINALLY ISSU						
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 216	GRS Modules for	Sites without Physic	al Possession	GRS-101-V4	I.O A3.2c	
Situation:		Do the GRS social, environmental, and chemical criteria apply to sites without physical possession of GRS materials?				
Interpretation:	without physical phouses. These criclaimed materials	nvironmental, and choossession of GRS ma teria still apply to faci s, including subcontra criteria from the CCS o	terials such as tra lities with physica ctors.	ders and buy I possession	ing of	
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 217	Listing Brand Names on Scope Certificate ASR-103-V3.0				
Situation:	When a certified brand sells certified products under a brand name which is not listed as a site on the scope certificate, should that brand name be somehow listed on the scope certificate?				
Interpretation:	listed as a site on of all applicable be above the site app certificate shall be just as a brand na	g this will be provided	the certification b cilities Page of the buyer on the incor e brand's scope ce	ody should a scope certifi ming transact ertificate (rath	dd a list cate, iion ner than
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued



Calibration 222	Water deprivatio lactating period.	n for ewes in late pre	gnancy or	RWS-101a-\ AW1.7.3	/2.2		
Situation:	The requirement in the RWS, for ewes in late pregnancy or lactating period, to not be deprived of water for more than 8 hours, doesn't conform to industry best practice recommendations for preparation time for shearing. These guidelines propose a longer withdrawal from water to allow animals to empty out to protect their health and comfort when they are sheared. These industry guidelines are also taking account of worker health and safety, as empty sheep are lighter and so cause less strain on the shearer. Australian and New Zealand guidelines suggest a minimum of 8 hours and maximum of 20 hours off water for ewes that are in late pregnancy or lactating.						
Interpretation:	more than 20 hou This requirement directly contradic	Ewes in late pregnancy or lactating period shall not be deprived of water for more than 20 hours.  This requirement supersedes the criterion AW1.7.3 in the standard since this directly contradicts it and is based on further information which is now available to Textile Exchange.					
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 224	Change of Accreditation Bodies	ASR-101-V2.1 D1.1.8.a
Situation:	What needs to be considered for a certification body to coolies?	hange accreditation
Interpretation:	An accredited certification body may change accreditation voluntary or involuntary reasons.  An involuntary change in accreditation body occurs whe body is no longer able and willing to offer accreditation for body's scope (e.g. is no longer a Textile Exchange accreditation for accepting certification bodies in a specific country other reason is a voluntary change in accreditation body	en the accreditation or the certification editation body, or is no y). A change for any



Calibration 224	Change of Accred	ditation Bodies		ASR-101-V2 D1.1.8.a	<u></u> 1		
	The following steps shall apply for a change in accreditation body:						
	change accredita	The certification body shall notify Textile Exchange of their intention to ange accreditation body and submit an updated copy of ASR-206 ertification Body Application Form naming the new accreditation body.					
	2. If the succeeding accreditation body is not an authorized Textile Exchange accreditation body, the certification body shall pay the new accreditation body fee (see ASR-107 Certification Fee Structure) and the accreditation body shall complete the authorization process before the change is approved.						
	3. In the case of a shall meet the foll	voluntary change in a owing criteria :	ccreditation body,	the certifica	tion body		
		nt which has been star ed and the accreditat			tion body		
	last assessment v	on body shall have a po vith the preceding acc hdrawal of part or all o	creditation body (i.	e. there is no			
	c. The certification body shall be up to date with all required submissions to Textile Exchange, including site fees and data submissions, and shall not be under sanction from Textile Exchange.						
	4. Once the above the change in acc	e criteria have been sa reditation body.	atisfied, Textile Exc	change will a	pprove		
	5. The succeeding accreditation body shall consider all assessment reports from the preceding accreditation body for at least the previous two years in conducting their initial assessment.						
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 15	Pre-Consumer G	lass (Moil)		GRS-101-V-101-V2.0 A1 definition of consumer	,
Situation:	May glass moil be	considered to be rec	laimed material?		
Interpretation:	considered recycle considered pre-constage and is within rejection.		from breakage ar undergoes an add he percentage of I	nd rejection r ditional repro oreakage and	may be ocessing d
Date Issued:	2023.01.19	Conformity Date:	2023.01.19	Status:	Issued

Calibration 184	Inputs from Tann Abbatoirs	eries, Slaughterhous	ses, and	GRS-101-V2 101-V2.0 A1	-	
Situation:	May animal hides/fibers and leather sourced from a tannery, slaughterhouse, or abattoir be accepted as reclaimed inputs?					
Interpretation:	tannery, slaughte reclaimed inputs NOTE: Calibration	Animal fibers (including wool), animal hides, and leather sourced from a tannery, slaughterhouse, abattoir, or similar operation shall not be accepted as reclaimed inputs for RCS or GRS.  NOTE: Calibration 148 addresses steps for certification bodies when there is ambiguity about whether or not a material may be accepted as reclaimed.				
Date Issued:	2022.12.31	Conformity Date:	2022.12.31	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 188		ertified Subcontracto hange Standards	or SC use for	CCS-102-V3	3.0 D3.4
Situation:		ly certified GRS subco an RCS scope certific		d to produce I	RCS
Interpretation:	certified RCS subcertification. Inde GRS subcontracte associated subco Other than with R does not allow for this certification respectively.	CS/GRS, certification a subcontractor to quay be considered in a .0 Appendix B2 o.). Cong GOTS) does not fa	ey do not hold sep CS subcontractors so GRS certified or to another Textile ualify as independ the subcontractor ertification to a no	e arate RCS s shall not be r are treated a e Exchange s ently certified 's risk assess on-Textile Exc	used as as tandard d, though ement
Date Issued:	2022.12.31	Conformity Date:	2022.12.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 215	Approval for VR2	Certifications		CCS-105-V3	3.0 B1.2-	
Situation:	It is unclear when approval from Textile Exchange is required to use VR2 material.					
Interpretation:	schedules an aud	Approval from Textile Exchange is required before the certification body schedules an audit for any site which is implementing alternative volume reconciliation directly to produce VR2 materials. No special approval is needed for sites that purchase and sell VR2 materials based on the criteria of the CCS.				
Date Issued:	2022.12.31	Conformity Date:	2022.12.31	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 215	Approval for VR2	Approval for VR2 Certifications CCS-105-V3.0					
Calibration 207	Sale of Claimed N Location	Sale of Claimed Material at a Shared Subcontractor ASR-104-V3.0 A Location					
Situation:	claimed material of while being stored	What should the shipping date be for transaction certificate purposes if claimed material changes ownership from one certified organization to another while being stored at a third-party facility which is a subcontractor for both the seller and the buyer?					
Interpretation:	associated with th subcontractor for ownership - typic	If claimed material is sold and there is no physical shipment of the material associated with the sale (e.g. the same facility is acting as a storage subcontractor for both the buyer and the seller), the date of the change in legal ownership - typically the invoice date - shall be used as the "shipping date" for transaction certificate purposes.					
Date Issued:	2022.12.13	Conformity Date:	2022.12.13	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 80	Accepting Organ	ic Inputs for OCS		OCS-101-V3	3.0 C1.1
Situation:	Are all national organic standards accepted for OCS inputs? May organic inputs which have been processed after the farm be accepted for OCS?				
Interpretation:	from farms which NOP, Regulation (Standards). A nat not be used to pro-		te of the three cate 2018/848, or IFOA d which is not including material inputs for certified to a star	gories listed AM Family of uded in this l for OCS. essor) may c ndard listed a	(USDA ist shall
Date Issued:	2022.12.12	Conformity Date:	2022.12.12	Status:	Issued



Calibration 192	Classification of a certificate?	a rented facility in the	scope	CCS-101-V3	3.1 C5.2
Situation:	A certified organization rents a facility for the washing process and pays all costs involved such as labor, utilities and materials. Is this facility a site or a subcontractor?				
Interpretation:	When the certificate holder rents a facility to conduct a process and pays for labor, overhead, and materials used, the facility will be considered a part of the organization and shall be listed in the Site Appendix on the scope certificate as a site, not a subcontractor.  Updated: 2022.12.12				
Date Issued:	2022.12.12	Conformity Date:	2022.12.12	Status:	Issued

Calibration 197	Certified Sites as	Associated Subcont	ractors	CCS-101-V3	3.1 C5.2
Situation:	May the scope certificate holder use a subcontractor who is independently certified to the same standard as an associated subcontractor?				
Interpretation:	A subcontractor facility that is independently certified to the same Textile Exchange standard shall not be listed as an associated subcontractor since they hold an independent scope certificate.				
Date Issued:	2022.12.01	Conformity Date:	2022.12.01	Status:	Issued



Calibration 193	Animal Fiber Sales Setups	ASR-104-V3.0 A8.3		
Situation:	Various transportation and storage arrangements exist for unprocessed animal fibers prior to the spinning stage. These agreements include:			
	<ol> <li>"Ex-Works" arrangements where animal fiber is sold (change in legal ownership) but stored for some time at the seller's storage facility. The buyer subsequently arranges transportation for the fiber.</li> <li>Arrangements where the farm or farm group stores unprocessed fibers at a storage or processing facility (e.g., a scour) before selling the fibers. The fiber may be sold to the processor or to another party in processed or unprocessed form.</li> <li>In both of these scenarios, the animal fiber is being sold but is not physically shipped at the time of sale.</li> </ol>			
Interpretation:	When animal fibers (before the spinning stage) are stored at a facility that does not belong to the owner of the fibers, that facility shall be considered to be a subcontractor for storage, even if that facility is also the seller on the incoming transaction certificate or buyer on the outgoing transaction certificate of the organization which owns the fibers. When animal fibers change ownership without physically moving between facilities, the date of the ownership change may be accepted as the "shipping date" for transaction certificate purposes. The change in legal ownership is based on the invoice date when there is no physical shipment of material.			
	Examples:			
	1. After selling unprocessed wool to a buyer, the seller stores the wool for 87 days. The buyer then arranges for the wool to be picked up and transported to the buyer's facility. In this case, the "shipment date" for the transaction certificate would be the same as the sale date, and the seller would then act as the buyer's subcontractor for the wool storage (87 days).			
	2. A farm group ships unprocessed mohair to a scouring facility, and the scouring facility stores the mohair for 56 days. At the end of the 56 days, the farm group sells the mohair to the scouring facility. The scouring facility acts as a subcontractor for the 56 days before the sale. The "shipping date" occurs at the end of the 56 days for transaction certificate purposes.			



Calibration 193	Animal Fiber Sales Setups		ASR-104-V3.0 A8.3		
	3. A broker ships unprocessed alpaca fiber to a processing facility and stores the fiber for 43 days. The broker then sells the fiber to a separate buyer (not the processing facility), pays for the processing facility to process the fiber then has the fiber shipped to the buyer. The processing facility acts as a storage and processing subcontractor, and the "shipping date" for transaction certificate purposes is the date when the fiber is shipped from the processing facility to the buyer.				
Date Issued:	2022.11.11	Conformity Date:	2022.11.11	Status:	Issued

Calibration 191	Transaction certificates without geographic origin information	ASR-104-V3.0 C3.5		
Situation:	The full implementation of ASR-104-v3.0 Policy for Transaction Certificates after July 1, 2022, mandates disclosure of the geographic origin of each raw material. The routine issuance of output transaction certificates has been interrupted because many input transaction certificates issued before July 1 are missing the origin information. Certification bodies request a guidance procedure to manage such transaction certificates whilst conforming to the transaction certificate policy.			
Interpretation:	<ol> <li>For transaction certificates issued after May 1, 2021, and before July 1, 2022, the certification body shall:         <ul> <li>a. Request the missing geographical origin information from either:</li> <li>i. The certification body who issued the input transaction certificate, or</li> <li>ii. The seller as listed on the input transaction certificate.</li> <li>b. Maintain records of collection efforts related to the inclusion of origin information in the output transaction certificate, whether these efforts are successful or not.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>			



Calibration 191	Transaction certi	ficates without geog	raphic origin	ASR-104-V	3.0 C3.5
	2. If the certification body cannot gather the geographical origin information as outlined in item 1:				
	<ul> <li>a. The output transaction certificate may be issued only if the input transaction certificate is correct and in conformity to the Policy for Transaction Certificates that was valid at the time of issuance.</li> <li>b. The certification body shall enter "Not available" in Box 11 of the output transaction certificate.</li> <li>3. The Textile Exchange Assurance team may perform its own sampling of transaction certificates to ensure conformity with this calibration. In case of identifying a non-conforming transaction certificate, they may notify the applicable accreditation body for further investigation.</li> <li>4. Transaction certificates missing geographic origin information and issued before May 1, 2021, are not subject to the due diligence in item 1, but the certification body shall comply with item 2.</li> <li>5. All transaction certificates issued by first processors after November 15, 2022, shall include the geographic origin information or otherwise, shall be considered invalid. All other transaction certificates in the supply chain shall include the geographic origin information in every transaction certificate after March 31, 2023. Any transaction certificate missing the geographic origin information after March 31, 2023, shall be considered invalid.</li> </ul>				ansaction
					utput
					ase of
					all be n shall ate after
Date Issued:	2022.10.13	Conformity Date:	2022.10.13	Status:	Issued



Calibration 190	Blending RDS/RA of the same type	AF material with recy	cled material	RDS-101-V3 101a-V2.2/F 101b-V1.2/F V1.0 B2.1.1.	RAF- RAF-101c-	
Situation:		RDS and RAF fibers may be blended with recycled RDS or recycled RAF fibers for a labeled claimed product.				
Interpretation:	the RWS if the pro the product is cer The same guidan	An RWS product that contains recycled wool may only qualify for labeling to the RWS if the product contains at least 5% RWS wool and 100% of the wool in the product is certified to either RWS or mixed with RCS or GRS certified wool. The same guidance may be applied for other RAF standards (e.g., blend of RMS and recycled mohair) and for RDS (blend of RDS and recycled down).				
Date Issued:	2022.09.23	Conformity Date:	2022.09.23	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 172	Scope Certificate Number and Scope Certificate Version Number	ASR-103-V3.0 B1.3	
Situation:	If a scope certificate number changes (e.g. as a result of the addition or removal of a product, site, and/or process, or due to a correction made on the scope certificate), how should the certification body report the change to the scope certificate number?		
Interpretation:	As per ASR-103-V3.0 Policy for Scope Certificates, sect certificate shall have a unique alphanumeric scope certificated by the certification body." Although it is not shappens to a scope certificate number if there is an update each issuance of a scope certificate will have a unique number is unique number and association between the original scope certificate number should be maintained (certificate number is 12345, and then later revised to 123 addition).	ficate number specifically stated what ate, the intention is that umber. d after its original tificate number and (e.g. original scope	



Calibration 172	Scope Certificate Version Number	Number and Scope	Certificate	ASR-103-V	3.0 B1.3	
	scope certificate r certificate is later original scope nur number shall be in	For a certification body reporting data via dTrackit, the original (first issuance) scope certificate number shall be included in the "SC No" field. If a scope certificate is later amended (e.g. due to site inclusion), in addition to the original scope number in the "SC No" field, the revised/new scope certificate number shall be included in the "SC Version No" field.  For a certification body reporting data manually via an excel data template (i.e.				
		he certification body is not yet onboarded to dTrackit), only the original scope certificate number shall be listed.				
		For a certification body reporting data manually via an excel data template for website listings, only the current (valid) scope certificate number shall be listed.				
	NOTE: Textile Exchange will be phasing out all excel data templates once all certification bodies are onboarded to dTrackit and therefore, the excel data templates will not be amended to include an additional field for "scope certificate version number" ("SC Version No") that exists within dTrackit.				el data e	
	Updated: 2022.09	Updated: 2022.09.22				
	Originally issued: 2022.01.31					
Date Issued:	2022.09.22	Conformity Date:	2022.09.22	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 185	Independently Certified Subcontractor facility number	ASR-103-V3.0 B3.4	
Situation:	There is confusion on the use of the Facility_number and Subcontractor_number in the Facilities page of the scope certificate template because it doesn't match the text in B3.4 of the Policy for Scope Certificates.		
Interpretation:	B3.2 Site Appendix B3.4 Independently Certified Subcontractor (ICS) Appen	ndix	



Calibration 185	Independently Conumber	ertified Subcontracto	or facility	ASR-103-V	3.0 B3.4	
	Independently Ce number and addre subcontractor (IC certification body independently cer original facility nu facility's audit and scope certificate. NOTE: For scope the Site Appendix	Each independently certified subcontractor shall be specified in the independently Certified Subcontractor Appendix along with its ICS facility number and address. The facility number of an independently certified subcontractor (ICS_Facility_Number) should not be obtained from the current certification body, but from the certification body that initially certified the independently certified subcontractor. The ICS facility number refers to the priginal facility number provided by the certification body that carried out the acility's audit and certification, as listed in the site appendix of its primary accope certificate.  NOTE: For scope certificates of independently certified subcontractors (ICS), the Site Appendix in the Facilities page will show the ICS_Facility_Number.  requirements B3.4.1 through B3.4.4 remain unchanged).				
Date Issued:	2022.07.26	Conformity Date:	2022.07.26	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 168	Ineligible Reclaimed inputs - Silk Spinning Waste	GRS-201-V4.2/RCS- 201-V2.2		
Situation:	Are "silk wastes" eligible for GRS/RCS certification as "pre-consumer material"?			
Interpretation:	Waste produced from a silk filament spinning process is regularly reused in the silk spun spinning process. Therefore, such waste does not qualify as reclaimed nor pre-consumer material, and the process does not qualify as recycling.			
	Therefore, only yarn hard waste as silk filament or silk sp considered as pre-consumer and only if the next process (e.g. mechanical shredding). Follow the calibration log 1	sing step is recycling		
	If a certification body has previously issued a scope certificate for ineligible silk waste:			
	a) the scope certificate may be maintained by the certification body until i expiry, and			



Calibration 168	Ineligible Reclain	ned inputs - Silk Spin	ning Waste	GRS-201-V4 201-V2.2	4.2/RCS-
	b) the certification body shall notify the client that their scope certificate cannot be renewed for this product.  No recertification is possible with material inputs which do not qualify as reclaimed, and any scope certificates with ineligible inputs issued after April 15, 2021 shall have the scope reduced to exclude ineligible inputs or shall be withdrawn immediately.  If the certification body is not sure if a reclaimed input is eligible or has not				
	certified that reclaimed input before, they shall reach out to Textile Exchange for clarification prior to including it in the scope of GRS or RCS certification. If Textile Exchange or the AB finds errors in this area where the certification body did not request guidance from Textile Exchange, the scope certificate shall have the scope reduced to exclude ineligible inputs or shall be withdrawn immediately.				
Date Issued:	2022.06.09	Conformity Date:	2022.06.09	Status:	Issued

Calibration 176	Clarity on CB rep	orting and invoicing	for RAF farms	ASR-107-V2 B2.7	2022.0	
Situation:	Audit times vary with RAF so some CBs are not reporting the farm names within an SC until the audit occurs. Per the SC policy, farms that are part of an active SC are to be reported as if they were already audited and are continuing certification to the RAF standard on the anniversary date.					
Interpretation:	the anniversary describing the anniversary describing the continue to be certification body	The certification body shall report all active sites on a RAF scope certificate on the anniversary date, regardless of whether the audit has occurred. Textile Exchange will assume the farm will continue to be certified and invoice the certification body accordingly. If the certification body knows the site will not continue to be certified, they shall not report that site in the annual report for that scope certificate.				
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 180	Assessment of To	extile Exchange logo	use by CBs	ASR-101-V2	.1C4.6
Situation:	It is not clear in the ACP what ABs shall check in their assessment of CBs regarding CB use of the Textile Exchange logo and CB adherence to the Claims Policy.				
Interpretation:	standard logo(s) of applicable standards Claims placeholder for the demonstrate configure to the certification C2.3, C2.4, and C	dy becomes authorized when the certification and has been signed were Policy, section C2.5) the Textile Exchange strong of logo use for cation body licensing a body shall evaluate of 2.5 of TE-301-V1.2 Strong certification body.	body licensing colith Textile Exchan. The certification andard logo (e.g. assessment by the contract being signertification body certification body contract.	ntract for the ge (see TE-3 body may us "logo goes he eir accredita ned.	e a ere") to tion body
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued

Calibration 182	Certified organization becomes ineligible	CCS-101-V3.1 B4		
Situation:	. ,	pany becomes either banned by Textile Exchange or the United States nment sanctions a company, product, or input which results in the any becoming ineligible for certification.		
Interpretation:	In the event that a previously certified component, product, shipment, or site is identified as restricted, and therefore ineligible for certification, the certification body:			
	a) Shall immediately notify Textile Exchange and withdr certificate(s) of such ineligible entity(ies);	aw the related scope		
	b) Shall cease all related certification activities within the provided by Textile Exchange;	e prescribed timeline		



Calibration 182	Certified organiza	ation becomes inelig	ible	CCS-101-V3	3.1 B4	
	c) Shall notify the certification body of any buyer of the identified ineligibility and any resulting withdrawal if any related transaction certificate(s) has been issued during the validity period of the scope certificate;					
	have become inel For products alrea body may conside	d) Shall not issue transaction certificates for products at the first processor that have become ineligible for certification immediately upon becoming ineligible. For products already certified prior to becoming ineligible, the certification body may consider them to be certified unless specifically identified by Textile Exchange to be considered ineligible immediately; And				
	e) Shall not issue transaction certificates for ineligible products after six months of becoming ineligible.					
	NOTE: This applies only to outputs of any process that is not the first processor (see item D).					
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 186	Merging of SC an	niversary dates		ASR-103-V	3.0 B1.10
Situation:	B1.10 of SC policy establishes the anniversary date but isn't clear/explicit on how to address the merging of anniversary dates for different standards.				
Interpretation:	scope certificates scope certificate to merged shall use body shall only mand shall not exte scope certificates certificates with the	nization wants to merg s, the certification bod that is closer to expira this reference (earlies erge dates by shorten and validity periods. T s with the previous and the same anniversary of s as per ASR-107 remains	y shall first identife tion. The other so it) anniversary da- ing the validity pe he certification bo niversary date and date using the ear	y (as reference ope certificate. The certificate in the cape of th	ce) the ces to be cation lendar draw the recope
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued



Calibration 187	Mulesing using th	ne ring method		RWS-101a-\ AW3.11	/2.2		
Situation:	castration) to rem same effect as sta applied to stop the chronic rather tha	Wool producers in Victoria, Australia, are using rubber rings (the kind used for castration) to remove excess skin from the breech area of sheep and give the same effect as standard mulesing. The skin will be pulled tight and the ring applied to stop the flow of blood and the skin dies and drops off. It will give chronic rather than acute pain to the sheep.  This technique falls into the definition of mulesing in the RWS, so it is prohibited.					
Interpretation:	AW3.11.1 Freeze mulesing (steining) and any other form of breech modification is prohibited.						
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 177	Minimum months	s of records for Initial	audit	CCS-201-V3 V2.0 C4 of 0 & B1.2 of CC	CCS V3.0	
Situation:	_	How many months of records (such as production, attendance, payroll, etc.) should the facility have before a GRS audit can be conducted if a facility was just established?				
Interpretation:	If a brand new facility is being certified, at least three months of operation records are needed to ensure a proper CCS and/or GRS audit.					
Date Issued:	2022.04.28	Conformity Date:	2022.04.28	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 149	Restricted Chem	icals in Fiber Product	ion	GRS-101-V4	I.O D2.2	
Situation:	Textile Exchange has identified that a number of chip, fiber, and filament producers have been certified to the GRS while using restricted chemicals. Textile Exchange has further identified that in many cases there might be no alternative chemical available, and that the requirements of GRS Section D might be so strict as to effectively exclude particular fibers from the GRS system unintentionally.					
Interpretation:	production proces	the site uses any of the listed restricted chemicals in a chip, fiber, or filament production process, the scope certificate shall be withdrawn or downgraded to RCS immediately. This includes but is not limited to the following chemicals and fibers:				
	1. DMAC (N N Dimand	1. DMAC (N N Dimethylacetamide/ Dimethylacetamide) in elastane/spandex; and				
	2. Antimony trioxi	ide and Cobalt (II) Ace	etate Tetrahydrate	e in polyester		
		v these chemicals may sidered in the unified s		-		
	NOTE: A previous exception was included in this calibration for scope certificates issued on or before April 15, 2021. All such scope certificates have now expired so that exception has been removed.					
	UPDATED: 2022.	01.31				
	ORIGINALLY ISSU	ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2021.04.15				
Date Issued:	2022.01.31	Conformity Date:	2022.01.31	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 161	Collectors and Co Geographic Scop	oncentrators Outside De	of CB's	GRS-201-V 201-V2.2 A Guidance	-		
Situation:	certification body	If a certification body has a limited geographic scope of operations, may the certification body's material recycler clients source from collectors or concentrators which are located outside of the geographic scope?					
Interpretation:	the material recyclapplies:  1. The collector or	All collectors and concentrators shall be located within the geographic scope of the material recycler's certification body, unless one of the following options applies:  1. The collector or concentrator is independently certified to the RCS or GRS; or					
	2. The certification body outsources all required evaluation of the collector or concentrator to a certification body who is accredited for the RCS and/or GRS with a geographic scope which includes the collector or concentrator's location.						
Date Issued:	2022.01.31	Conformity Date:	2022.01.31	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 165	Organization naming guidance for Scope Certificates and Transaction Certificates	ASR-103-V3.0, ASR-104-V3.0 B1.4 of SC, B2.2 of TC		
Situation:	Some of the Chinese customers have the same English names but different Chinese names. It would be a good practice to have both the Chinese and English names listed under the Name_of_Certified_Organization. It was noticed that some companies would have their English name the same as another organization, but their Chinese name is the unique identifier and cannot be mixed up with another organization. It will create an issue in deduplication.			
Interpretation:	Due to the complexity and in order to have an effective deduplication of sites in the Textile Exchange database, the certification body should mention English name and native language name in scope and transaction certificates as two separate field line items when the native language has non-English characters (e.g. Chinese). Only English charaters are permitted in the English name field.			



Calibration 165	Organization naming guidance for Scope Certificates and Transaction Certificates			ASR-103-V3.0, ASR-104-V3.0 B1.4 of SC, B2.2 of TC	
	Example:  Name_of_Certified_Organisation  Native_Name_of_Certified_Organisation				
Date Issued:	2022.01.31	Conformity Date:	2022.01.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 169	Raw Material Cor	nposition - Decimal F	Points	ASR-104-V	3.0 B2.10		
Situation:	composition is me	Can we accept or issue a transaction certificate where the raw material composition is mentioned with decimal points? e.g. 50.40% Recycled Pre-Consumer Cotton + 49.60% Polyester					
Interpretation:	and transaction conshall not include conumber).	For all Textile Exchange standards, raw material composition in each scope and transaction certificate shall be expressed using only whole number(s) and shall not include decimal points (i.e. shall be rounded to the closest whole number).  e.g. 50% Recycled Pre-Consumer Cotton + 49% Polyester					
	NOTE: Common testing methods can usually verify material composition within a tolerance limit of $\pm$ 0 variation only. Therefore, it may be technically impossible to verify a material composition in decimal points (e.g. 50.40%).						
Date Issued:	2022.01.31	Conformity Date:	2022.01.31	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 173	RAF GIS Farm Qu submission option	estions: Elimination n.	of Excel	RAF-102-V2 D1.2.1.b	2.1		
Situation:	RAF-102-v2.01, D1.2.1b requires data submission of Farm Questions using an online survey form or an Excel file. This requirement is mandatory as of January 1st, 2022. Textile Exchange made both options available as it was unknown whether the ArcGIS system would be ready by then.  As the system was made ready by January 1st, 2022, the Excel file option will no longer be an alternative because it cannot be linked to the automated features of dTrackit, thus requiring manual processing and delays. The system will no longer accommodate manual submission of this information via Excel after March 31st, 2022, so the focus will be on implementing only automated data collection methods.						
Interpretation:	farm (including ear Communal Farme questions are con The options availant later than April 1. The online surve body.  2. A mobile app w	The certification body shall report a set of Farm Questions for each certified farm (including each member in the case of Farm Group Certification and Communal Farmer Group Certification). The certification body shall ensure all questions are complete and accurate prior to submission.  The options available for submission and with mandatory implementation date no later than April 1st, 2022 are:  1. The online survey form, using the custom link provided to the certification					
Date Issued:	2022.01.26	Conformity Date:	2022.01.26	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 170	Removal of sheep from natural pasture	RWS-101a-V2.2 AW5.7, AW2.14, AW2.11, AW3.2, LM1.1			
Situation:	Australian dry weather conditions affect the pasture ground forcing the farmer to practice continuous confinement ferming practice.	·			
	Each year, sheep are held off pasture in a confinement yard for a period of time usually summer or autumn seasons. The animals cannot demonstrate natural behaviors and have welfare conditions (5 freedoms), and the farmer feeds them with supplementary hay, anipro, and barley.  Challenges can arise when the farm uses confinement yards as a management tool rather than as a contingency plan, as the RWS standard specifies.				
	The auditors are signaling confinement feeding as a major non-conformity, the farmers find it very difficult to stop this practice under the current weather conditions in Australia.				
Interpretation:	The RWS-101a -v2.2 Responsible Wool Standard recognizes in Section 6 Animal Welfare the need to provide the flock with access to natural graz integral part of their living environment (AW2.11) and establishes the requirement to have a pasture-based system for sheep. The only except when an emergency or severe weather conditions such as droughts, floc fires, heavy snowfall, etc., would otherwise negatively impact sheep well and affect the amount of pasture available for sheep or keeping a healthy base for it.				
	The CB shall use the following criteria to assess if the far RWS principles when removing sheep from natural pasts to meet ALL clauses to be considered compliant with AV	ure. The farmer needs			
	1. The farmer has a written document that explains the type of emergency or severe weather affecting the farm and forcing the removal of sheep from natural pasture, including a detailed management plan that guarantees sheep welfare, as per AW5.7. This clause also covers situations like weather conditions resulting from the change of seasons, which can affect soil and animal health. Thus, it requires the written plan to have actions on land management (LM1.1) and animal health (AW3.2).				



Calibration 170	Removal of sheep from natural pasture			RWS-101a- AW5.7, AW AW2.11, AW LM1.1	2.14,
	<ol> <li>The farm establishes a correct and effective stocking rate and follows it, as per AW2.14. The proper management of this rate can provide, for the most part, enough in-farm produced hay/forage to feed the flock during periods when removed from natural pasture.</li> <li>The farm keeps records of the duration and justification of each emergency or severe weather occurrence that led to removing sheep from natural pasture.</li> </ol>				
	Justification may involve information such as weather data, soil moisture deficits, pasture vegetation cover measurement, predator monitoring etc.				
Date Issued:	2022.01.11	Conformity Date:	2022.01.11	Status:	Issued

Calibration 164	Collectors and Concentrators - Additional verification & physical inspection	GRS-201-V4.2/RCS- 201-V2.2 A4 Guidance	
Situation:	The GRS Implementation Manual V4.2 A4 states that "Certification bodies shall keep a list of all collectors and concentrators that supply to recycling clients. 10% of this total shall be chosen for additional verification, with 2% chosen for physical inspection."  It is not clear that if the percentages selected for additional verification should be determined per certification body, per certified organization, or on another basis.		
Interpretation:	The sampling of collectors and concentrators (10% additional verification and 2% physical inspection) shall be determined per certification body and is always rounded up. The 2% sample for physical inspection may be counted towards the 10% sample for additional verification.  Example: A certification body has certified 50 GRS material recyclers (mechanical, chemical, and/or biological) in total. Each recycler has one collector and one concentrator. As a result, the certification body will have 50x1 + 50x1 = 100 collectors/concentrators. The certification body therefore		



Calibration 164	Collectors and Concentrators - Additional verification & physical inspection		GRS-201-V4.2/R 201-V2.2 A4 Guidance		
	needs to select 10% of 100 = 10 collectors/concentrators for additional verification and 2% of 100 = 2 collectors/concentrators for physical inspection.				
Date Issued:	2021.09.22	Conformity Date:	2021.09.22	Status:	Issued

Calibration 162	Sale of Mixed Do	wn and Feather from	a Slaughter	ASR-213-V1 3.1	.1 Table	
Situation:	Some RDS slaughter sites sell mixed down and feather to sites which are not included in the same scope certificate. The slaughter sites are not able to determine the exact percentage of down and of feather from the total quantity, since these two raw materials are separated at the down processing stage. How should this material be reflected on scope and transaction certificates?					
Interpretation:	The raw material codes RM0330 and RM0331 (PFC) may be used for mixed down and feather on transaction certificates only if the seller named on the transaction certificate is a slaughter site. These codes may also be used on the corresponding scope certificates. These codes shall not be used on scope or transaction certificates where the percentage of down and of feather in the product can be identified.					
Date Issued:	2021.09.16	Conformity Date:	2021.09.16	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 159	Physical Possession	CCS-101-V3.0 B1
Situation:	In the CCS we say that traders without physical possessinave to be certified. We occasionally get questions about possession' means. Can you draft a calibration for that a is so we can add that as a future update to the User Manier.	t what 'physical nd let us know what it



Calibration 159	Physical Possess	sion		CCS-101-V3	3.0 B1		
Interpretation:	Physical possession of goods is the physical custody or control of goods in material form. It is different from ownership of goods, where an entity acquires the proprietary rights over the goods.  For example, when a processor sends materials to a subcontractor, they merely transfer the physical possession and not the ownership of materials. Here, the processor is the owner of the materials but does not have physical possession of materials, and the subcontractor has physical possession of materials but is not the owner.						
	distributors, and opposite products. Others, intermediary fund on their commercial cover the different	ors in the supply chain such as processors, wholesalers, and retailers usually take physical possession of materials an hers, such as traders, do not take physical possession due to function. Brands sometimes take physical possession dependencial operations setup. Textile Exchange standards intend ferent arrangements among supply chain participants while g the integrity of the verified materials and products.					
Date Issued:	2021.09.03	Conformity Date:	2021.09.03	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 155	CNCA Registration	on and Freelancers		ASR-101-V2 & D3.2.6.f	2.1 D1.1.3
Situation:	May a certification body contract with auditors who work for an entity registered with CNCA but which is not accredited to ISO 17065 as a way to meet the Chinese legal requirement for CNCA registration?				
Interpretation:	Any independently owned entity which holds registration with CNCA for the purpose of a certification body operating legally in China is considered to be a subcontractor of the certification body and is therefore required to hold ISO 17065 accreditation. Freelancers in China may be hired by a certification body or certification body subcontractor which holds CNCA registration.				
Date Issued:	2021.07.26	Conformity Date:	2021.07.26	Status:	Issued



Calibration 151	Organizations Be	tween Farm and Firs	t Processor for	RAF-101a-V 101b-V1.1/R V1.0 B1.3	-	
Situation:	In some countries, "brokers" take possession of animal fiber in between the farm and the first processor, often to facilitate a sale at auction. It is unclear if these brokers require certification.					
Interpretation:	and the first proce where the applica If an organization but not legal owne whoever owns the ICS).	Organizations which take legal ownership of animal fiber in between the farm and the first processor are required to be certified to the standard, except where the applicable version of the CCS allows for an exception to certification. If an organization takes physical possession of the animal fiber (i.e. for storage) but not legal ownership, the organization shall be treated as a subcontractor by whoever owns the animal fiber while it is being stored (typically the farm or ICS).				
	If the organization arranges for the sale of wool (e.g. at auction) and charge service fee to the farm, they are not considered to take legal ownership even they receive payment for the animal fiber and remit it to the farm. In this can the organization would be considered to be a broker. If the organization purchases the animal fiber from the farm for a set price, they are considered take legal ownership.					
Date Issued:	2021.06.04	Conformity Date:	2021.06.04	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 153	Extended Timeline For Invoicing	ASR-104-V3.0 A8.3		
Situation:	In some cases, shipping of animal fiber is carried out from the farm to the buyer's warehouse in an extended time prior to invoicing for the fiber (3 months or more, and potentially more than a year). This creates a conflict with the requirement that transaction certificates be issued within 180 calendar days of the shipment date.			
Interpretation:	If a farm ships animal fiber to the buyer's warehouse but does not invoice or transfer legal ownership to the buyer for an extended time, the farm may treat the buyer's warehouse as a subcontracted warehouse, and subsequently apply for a transaction certificate when legal ownership of the animal fiber is transferred to the buyer.			



Calibration 153	Extended Timeline For Invoicing			ASR-104-V3.0 A8.3	
Date Issued:	2021.06.04	Conformity Date:	2021.06.04	Status:	Issued

Calibration 145	CB Translations of	of Documents		ASR-101-V2	.1 D1.8.1			
Situation:	May certification bodies prepare translations of Textile Exchange standards or other Textile Exchange documents to share with their non-English speaking clients?							
Interpretation:	1. Textile Ex document in the t discontinued if Textile Ex document in the t discontinued if Textile Exchange by emails. For document for approval from 4. Document Exchange document Exchange document (CB NAME(S)) and (CB NAME(S)). Target language of the couraged to continue to the courage to the couraged to continue to the couraged to continue to the courage to the couraged to continue to the contin	Certification bodies may publish their own translations of Textile Exchange Standards and other documents, provided the following conditions are met:  1. Textile Exchange has not published an official translation of the document in the target language. Any certification body translations shall be discontinued if Textile Exchange publishes an official translation.  2. A copy of the translated document shall be provided to Textile Exchange by email to Assurance@TextileExchange.org.  3. For documents in Chinese or Spanish, the certification body shall wait for approval from Textile Exchange before publishing the document.  4. Documents shall not copy any photos used in the original Textile Exchange document. Explanatory graphics may be copied.  5. Documents shall include the text "Unofficial translation prepared by <cb name(s)="">". This text shall appear in English on the first page and in the target language on every page.  6. Certification bodies working in the same region or language are encouraged to collaborate on translations to improve consistency.</cb>						
Date Issued:	2021.03.22	Conformity Date:	2021.03.22	Status:	Issued			



Calibration 131	Non-NPOP Seed	Cotton in India		OCS-101-V3	3.0 C1.1
Situation:	Can non-NPOP seed cotton from India be accepted in the OCS supply chain at the ginning stage, if it is certified to another standard that is approved under IFOAM Family of Standards?				
Interpretation:	Since organic fibres are covered under NPOP and to meet national regulations, any organic fibers originating from India must be certified to NPOP as a basic requirement, to be accepted as OCS Material. Other certifications such as NOP may also be in place for the fibers.				
Date Issued:	2021.01.18	Conformity Date:	2021.01.18	Status:	Issued

Calibration 134	USDA NOP Eligib	oility		OCS-205-V 15	2.1 Box	
Situation:	How should the certification body of a supply chain organization (not a first processor) determine the answer to the question "Certification of the organic material used for the products listed complies with USDA NOP rules"?					
Interpretation:	considered to con complies with USI certificate. If any of with USDA NOP re shall be selected. Checking 'Yes' on	For supply chain companies after the first processor, OCS Material is considered to comply with USDA NOP rules if all of the incoming OCS Material complies with USDA NOP rules, as indicated on the incoming transaction certificate. If any of the incoming OCS Material cannot be confirmed to comply with USDA NOP rules (i.e. 'No' is checked for this box on the incoming TC), 'No' shall be selected.  Checking 'Yes' on this box indicates that all listed products comply with USDA				
	NOP rules. Products from the same shipment may be divided into separate TCs if necessary to allow for this.					
Date Issued:	2021.01.18	Conformity Date:	2021.01.18	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 136	Definition of "Lot	п		RAF-101a-V 101b-V1.1 G1	-
Situation:	What is referred to by the word "lot" for the purposes of G1.7.3 in the RAF standards? Is it acceptable for a farm group to outsource the storage of bales of wool which may be combined into lots for sale?				
Interpretation:	The word "lot" in G1.7.3 of the RAF standards refers to any discrete, identifiable unit of fiber which cannot be accidentally mixed with other lots.				
Date Issued:	2021.01.15	Conformity Date:	2021.01.15	Status:	Issued

Calibration 74	Scope of Process	ses for GRS Chemical	Requirements	GRS-101-V	4.0 D2		
Situation:	Are processes that do not "add" to the product (e.g. spin finishes for yarn) included in the scope of evaluation for chemicals according to the GRS?						
Interpretation:	of the GRS. The G "added to the pro chemicals that ma the following main certified material,	Spin finishers (and processing aids) are still considered to be part of the scope of the GRS. The GRS does not make a distinction between products that are "added to the product," but uses the phrase: "GRS criteria for the use of chemicals that may be used in the production of GRS products are based on the following main requirements" GRS chemical rules are applicable to all certified material, as well as any non-certified materials once they are blended into a certified product and to any other inputs used during production.					
Date Issued:	2021.01.14	Conformity Date:	2021.01.14	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 112	Sufficient Personnel	ASR-101-V2.1 D3.1.1	
Situation:	What is a sufficient number of personnel based on the number of scope certificates?		
Interpretation:	The number of personnel needed for a certificiation body scope certificates will vary depending on the circumstan number of personnel needed, the certification body show	ces. In determining the	



Calibration 112	Sufficient Person	nel		ASR-101-V2	2.1 D3.1.1	
		1. The time required to conduct each audit (including audit time, travel, planning, and reporting), as well as the review/certification decision;				
	2. The time required for administrative functions (e.g. issuing SCs, TCs, and claims approvals; closing NCs) per scope certificate;					
		3. The percentage of each person's time which is dedicated to Textile Exchange standards;				
	4. Time spent on training and general administrative tasks; and					
	5. Full time working hours after regular time off.					
	The number of personnel should not be less than 1 full time equivalent person per 100 scope certificates, and this will typically not be sufficient.					
Date Issued:	2021.01.14	Conformity Date:	2021.01.14	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 128	RAF ICS in a Diffe	erent Country		RAF-101a- V2.0/RAF-1 F1.3	01b-V1.0
Situation:	May an RAF farm group ICS be located in a different country than the farms, provided that necessary management oversight is maintained?				
Interpretation:	The ICS location for a farm group shall be in the same country as the farms. If the certified organization's main operation is in another country, it may be included as a subsequent site in the scope certificate and may be involved with the work of the ICS.				
Date Issued:	2020.12.15	Conformity Date:	2020.12.15	Status:	Issued



Calibration 129	Reclaimed Inputs	s for Buttons		GRS-301- V4.0/RCS- A1	301-V2.0
Situation:	from the sheet is r	button maker punches buttons out of a sheet of resin. The remaining material om the sheet is reground to be used as an input for buttons. May this material e considered reclaimed/recycled? Is the answer different if the regrinding rocess occurs at a different site?			
Interpretation:	similar material) s as a raw material i regrinding is outs The previous vers affected scope ce	aterial after buttons as shall not be considere input for button making ourced or is done at a sion of this guidance (artificates active at the 2022.12.12	d to be reclaimed ong. This includes sometimes different site.	or recycled if ituations who	it is used ere the any
Date Issued:	2020.12.14	Conformity Date:	2020.02.01	Status:	Issued

Calibration 116	Reclaimed Fur			GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A3	
Situation:	May reclaimed fur be accepted as an input for GRS or RCS?				
Interpretation:	Post-consumer reclaimed fur may be accepted as an input for GRS or RCS.  Pre-consumer reclaimed fur is outside the scope of the standards.				
Date Issued:	2020.11.09	Conformity Date:	2020.11.09	Status:	Issued



Calibration 103	Withdrawing SCs that were issued only as Electronic certificates			ASR-101-V2 D1.1.14a	2.1
Situation:	A certification body uses only electronic certificates, which causes the certification body to lack provisions to comply with requirement D1.1.14a to have clients return all copies of certificates (as no physical certificates are issued).				
Interpretation:	In the case of suspension or withdrawal of a scope certificate, the organization's obligations under D1.1.14a are considered to be met if the original scope certificate (if issued as a physical document) is returned to the certification body and all electronic or printed copies of the scope certificate are destroyed.				
Date Issued:	2020.10.29	Conformity Date:	2020.10.29	Status:	Issued

Calibration 110	Timing of Recert	ification Audits and S	6C Issuance	ASR-101-V2	2.1 D4.7.3	
Situation:	Recertification audits are sometimes conducted 2-3 months prior to the expiry of the existing scope certificate, meaning the deadline for the certification decision (60 days after the audit) may fall before the expiry of the previous scope certificate. How should scope certificate issuance and validity dates be handled in this case?					
Interpretation:	Textile Exchange's intention is that the anniversary date remain consistent for each scope certificate. In the case that the recertification audit is conducted more than 60 days prior to the expiry of a scope certificate, the certification decision shall still be made within 60 days, but the certification body may wait until the expiry of the previous scope certificate to issue the new scope certificate.					
Date Issued:	2020.10.29	Conformity Date:	2020.10.29	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 65	Recycled Down a	nd Feathers		GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1	I.O/RCS-
Situation:	A down recycler purchases reclaimed down which has been through a light "pre-wash" process. The recycler's washing process is considered to be the recycling process. Does the supplier require RCS/GRS certification?				
Interpretation:	If the reclaimed down supplier is purchasing down from a collector, the supplier needs to be RCS/GRS certified. If the supplier is acting as a collector, the certification body may agree for the down recycler to accept a Reclaimed Material Declaration Form from the supplier.				
Date Issued:	2020.08.27	Conformity Date:	2020.08.27	Status:	Issued

Calibration 89	Use of Expired O	rganic Food		OCS-101-V3	3.0 C1.1		
Situation:		May organic expired/non-saleable food products (e.g. collected from grocery stores) be accepted as inputs for OCS?					
Interpretation:	1. The product wa the supply chain of the supply chain of the supply chain of the supply chain of the packaged prostandard, and whom the packaging on the packaging.	atus of the product shatransaction certificate oduct which carries are ere the scope certificate	put if the following food/feed and is a all verified through e from an accepted norganic label from the final prome food waste shall	apply: waste product on one of the following and accepte ocessor (as identified to be considered)	ct from  ollowing  ndard; or d organic entified		
Date Issued:	2020.08.27	Conformity Date:	2020.08.27	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 67	Freedom of assoc	ciation and collective	bargaining	GRS-101-V4	0 B2.3
Situation:	Is the client required to have some form of elected worker representation? E.g. union, collective bargaining agreement, or worker committee.				
Interpretation:	The intent of this requirement is to ensure that unions and other forms of worker organization are not blocked/actively avoided. There is no requirement that one be in place if the workers have not chosen to do so.				
Date Issued:	2020.07.16	Conformity Date:	2020.07.16	Status:	Issued

Calibration 70	Clarification on S	hadow Audit Require	ements	ASR-101-V2 D3.1.5e, f; [	
Situation:	May certification bodies perform one shadow audit to the more complex standard (e.g. GRS) and consider this to cover as a shadow audit for all other standards (e.g. CCS, RCS, OCS)?				
Interpretation:	Auditor qualification scopes are intended to mirror accreditation scopes. Any audit which includes the CCS (all except farms) may meet the shadow audit requirement for CCS auditor qualification. A GRS audit at a material recycler may meet the shadow audit requirement for RCS auditor qualification. GRS/RCS audits may not be used to complete OCS qualification, or vice versa.				
Date Issued:	2020.07.16	Conformity Date:	2020.07.16	Status:	Issued

Calibration 73	Auditors conducting audits of the same organization in consecutive years  ASR-101-V2.1 D1.2.13c		
Situation:	If there are multiple auditors on an audit team, does the limit of three consecutive years apply to all auditors or only to the lead auditor?		
Interpretation:	The requirement for an auditor to not audit the same organization in more than three consecutive years applies to all auditors.		



Calibration 73		The second secon			ASR-101-V2.1 D1.2.13c	
Date Issued:	2020.07.16	Conformity Date:	2020.07.16	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 94	Combined Audit	Checklists		ASR-101-V2 D4.4.19	2.1	
Situation:	May a certification body produce a combined checklist or report intended to address both a Textile Exchange standard and another standard? What approval for this is needed?					
Interpretation:	intended to addre provided that all r included. No spec	A certification body may produce a combined checklist or report template intended to address both a Textile Exchange standard and another standard, provided that all required elements for the Textile Exchange standard are included. No special approval is needed, though the checklist or template shall be evaluated by the accreditation body during the next office assessment.				
Date Issued:	2020.07.16	Conformity Date:	2020.07.16	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 88				GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1	I.O/RCS-	
Situation:	· ·	Nay expired/non-saleable food products (e.g. collected from grocery stores) e accepted as recycled inputs?				
Interpretation:	be accepted as ar	Food waste which is used as feedstock for a (non-food) recycling process may be accepted as an input for GRS or RCS. If the food waste is collected in the supply chain (e.g. from grocery stores) it shall be considered to be preconsumer.				
Date Issued:	2020.07.07	Conformity Date:	2020.07.07	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 62	Oligomer Recycling			GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1	0/RCS-	
Situation:		here is a lack of clarity regarding oligomer recycling. Previous guidance from extile Exchange did not allow oligomers to be considered reclaimed/recycled.				
Interpretation:	under GRS and RO	Oligomers produced during processing may be accepted as a reclaimed input under GRS and RCS. If the oligomers are purchased by a recycler they may be considered to be pre-consumer.				
Date Issued:	2020.07.02	Conformity Date:	2020.07.02	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 78	Certification of Ocean Waste	GRS-101-V4.0/RCS- 101-V2.0 A1			
Situation:	Some organizations wish to claim reclaimed ocean waste (waste collected from in or near oceans) specifically on GRS and RCS transaction certificates.  Standard requirements do not validate that the plastic is in fact ocean plastic.				
Interpretation:	Reclaimed ocean waste is defined as material which has oceans and/or from shorelines (within 0.2 km of the wat the water level at high tide, whichever is higher). Materia recycling systems may not be included as reclaimed ocean. No claims relating to reclaimed ocean waste may be included to certificates unless the following conditions are met:  1. The collector, concentrator, and all handlers are either 2. In this case, the material recycler may accept an incord certificate to produce a GRS product.  3. All material is confirmed to be reclaimed ocean waste collector and concentrator.  4. "Reclaimed ocean waste" may be mentioned on Box 1 certificate. It may not be mentioned on the scope certificate. For sites after the material recycler, reclaimed ocean waste the incoming transaction certificate. And	er level at low tide or als from municipal can waste.  uded on transaction  RCS or GRS certified.  ning RCS transaction  during audits of the  5 of the transaction  cate.			



Calibration 78	Certification of O	cean Waste		GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1	I.O/RCS-
	with the RCS or G	aims relating to reclai RS standard names o has been identified for uring the next RCS an 8.07)	r logos. reconsideration b	y the Interna	
Date Issued:	2020.07.02	Conformity Date:	2020.07.02	Status:	Issued

Calibration 64	Shadow Assessment Definition	ASR-101-V2.0 C4.6.3b, C4.6.4b, C4.6.8
Situation:	Clarity needed regarding definition of a Shadow Assessr strictly witness audits or also include review audits?	ment - do these mean
Interpretation:	References to accreditation body shadow assessments as defined in the situation. Review audits are a valuable to Exchange also believes that it is important to observe he body auditor conducts the audit.	tool, but Textile
	The minimum frequency for shadow assessments is one standard, and we know that in many circumstances accreanducting more shadows than this. After the minimum assessments is met, review audits as described may be	reditation bodies are number of shadow
	IOAS definitions used in this calibration:	
	Witness Audits - accreditation body assessor observes auditor(s) at work; assessor and auditor(s) have an intro to the audit, and an exit meeting for feed-back and clarif questions after the audit, neither meeting involving the audit.	ductory meeting prior ication of any



Calibration 64	Shadow Assessn	nent Definition		ASR-101-V2 C4.6.3b,	2.0	
				C4.6.4b,		
				C4.6.8		
		assessor will not speak during the audit but may take notes. Witness audits should be full audits.				
	information from decision; it includ for the last inspec Duration may var	view Audits - accreditation body assessor visits the organization and verifies ormation from the certification body's latest audit report and certification cision; it includes interviewing the operator or the person who was present the last inspection, reviewing records and a physical visit to the premises. ration may vary according to operation size and complexity but is not pected to be a full repeat audit.				
	certification body representative ac	The auditor that conducted the reviewed audit is not required to be present; certification body is strongly encouraged to have a certification body representative accompanying the assessor during the Review Audit to witness t but shall not interfere with the assessor's work in any sense.)				
Date Issued:	2020.02.20	Conformity Date:	2020.02.20	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 10	Generating Pre-C	Consumer Waste		GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1	-	
Situation:	again in the same	Pre-consumer waste that is collected from a production stage and then used again in the same production stage may undergo processing steps that meet the definition of "recycled material".				
Interpretation:	material if they are	Production wastes may only be considered to be pre-consumer reclaimed material if they are reprocessed through a recycling process at a site with 'recycling' included as a process in its scope. (Updated 2020.06.30)				
Date Issued:	2019.05.01	Conformity Date:	2019.05.01	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 23	Transition Betwe	een Standard Version	s	ASR-101-V2	2.1 D4.4.2	
Situation:	Transition from o	Transition from old standard version to new version.				
Interpretation:	requirements as a after publication is conformity to the scheduled audit.  Certification bodi date for applicable Organizations audoperate in conformations.	etions shall be in confort the mandatory implies the case of a standard or a standard or a shall inform their class shall inform their class enew standards or redited on or after the mance with and be substant and unannounced autonic stant in the mance with and be substant in the subst	ementation date (rd). The certificate requirements as continuous of the mand quirements. (Upo andatory implemoject to the criteri	typically one ion body shat fithe next regarders implemented 2020.00 entation date a of both doc	year II check gularly entation 6.30) shall	
Date Issued:	2018.08.01	Conformity Date:	2018.08.01	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 45	Re-Recycling of I	Post-Consumer Mate	rials	GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1 definition of consumer	,	
Situation:	Can waste from post-consumer recycling activities still be considered post-consumer waste?				post-	
Interpretation:	consumer) recycl provided that the determined. If the final recycling pro	Post-consumer recycled material which is put through an additional (preconsumer) recycling process may continue to be considered post-consumer, provided that the percentage of post-consumer content can be accurately determined. If the percentage of material which is post-consumer prior to the final recycling process cannot be accurately determined, the material shall be considered to be pre-consumer. (Updated 2020.06.30)				
Date Issued:	2017.03.01	Conformity Date:	2017.03.01	Status:	Issued	