

Calibration Log

The following is a list of calibration items issued by Textile Exchange. This list is provided publicly on the <u>Textile Exchange website</u>.

Calibration items shown apply to current normative documents, or to documents which are currently being phased out (Status: "Retirement Pending"). These represent supplemental guidance which Textile Exchange will integrate into guidance documents such as User Manuals were possible. Calibration only applies to the applicable version of a document, where it is specified.

Each calibration item has been assigned a unique number for easy reference. This can be found at the top left corner, before the calibration title (e.g. "Calibration 150"). Please note that not all numbers will be listed nor listed sequentially due to some calibration items having been rejected, retired, or are still pending review/approval.

All calibration items will be retired with the release of the next major revision of the applicable Textile Exchange standard and/or policy. Any calibration items that still apply will then be reissued as applicable.

An automatic 14-day consultation period applies for each calibration item after the first time it is published for certification bodies, during which Textile Exchange will accept feedback to Assurance@TextileExchange.org. Certification bodies shall apply the calibration as applicable during this time.

Calibration 242	License and Faci	ility Number Data S	ubmissions		-V3.1, ASR-104- 33.1.1, B3.4, TC 2.3.6
Situation:	There are some data fields which should be specified in dTrackit submissions for the calendar year 2024 but which are not reflected in the updated SC Policy 3.1 and TC Policy 3.1.				
Interpretation:	The following fields should be specified in dTrackit submissions for scope and transaction certificates in 2024 to support the reconciliation of identifiers as the TE-ID is being implemented: a. On scope certificates: facilityNo, subcontractorLicenseNo b. On transaction certificates: sellerLicenseNo, buyerLicenseNo (if the buyer is certified)				
Date Issued:	12/18/2023	Conformity Date:	12/18/2023	Status:	Issued



Calibration 246	New Codes for A	SR-213		ASR-213 Appendix	-V1.2 Section 3, x B
Situation:	There are various new codes required that are currently absent in the existing version or cannot be integrated with the existing codes. This calibration is will be updated periodically as the need for new codes is identified.				
Interpretation:	PC0045 - Unpro Where another p wool or other gre product category 31, 2024. All tran	de may be used in ad cessed non-reclaime roduct category has asy animal hair), the as PC0045 the next saction certificates is	ed fibers/materia been used in plac scope certificate t time it is update ssued after the sc	ils ce of PC00 e shall be u ed and no la	45 (e.g. for greasy pdated to show the ater than December
Date Issued:	12/18/2023	Conformity Date:	12/18/2023	Status:	Issued

Calibration 247	Implementation of Certified Organization Registration and TE-ID	ASR-103-V3.1 C4		
Situation:	The implementation of the Textile Exchange-ID (TE-ID) in early 2024 requires some elarification. Textile Exchange is providing certification bodies with lists of pressigned TE-IDs in three batches, as follows:			
	1. Facilities listed in dTrackit on an SC by September 13, 2023 (provided to certification bodies December 1, 2023);			
	2. Facilities listed in dTrackit on an SC by November 15, 2023 (to be provided in December 2023); and			
	3. Facilities listed in dTrackit on an SC by December 31, 2023 (to be provided in January 2023).			
	there may be a delay of up to seven calendar days before	n organization registers to create or claim their TE-ID with Textile Exchange, ay be a delay of up to seven calendar days before the TE-ID is provided. Exchange may ask for certification body assistance in the deduplication of sich may occasionally result in longer delays.		



Calibration 247	Implementation of Registration and	of Certified Organiza TE-ID	ation	ASR-103	-V3.1C4		
Interpretation:	The following iten 2024:	The following items apply for the implementation of the TE-ID in the first quarter of 2024:					
	by Textile Exchan provided to dTrac mandatory before	1. If a certified organization or facility does not have a TE-ID which was pre-assigned by Textile Exchange (i.e. is newly becoming certified in 2024 or data was not provided to dTrackit in 2023), the registration process with Textile Exchange is mandatory before that certified organization or facility may be listed on a scope certificate issued in 2024.					
	certification body that organization	2. If a certified organization or facility does have a pre-assigned TE-ID, the certification body may issue a scope certificate during the month of January 2024 for that organization or facility without the registration process being completed. In this case, the registration process shall be completed before March 1, 2024.					
	3. All data submissions to dTrackit shall include TE-IDs for the following, effective January 1, 2024:						
	a. The certified organization and all facilities listed on each scope certificate (certifiedOrganizationTeld and facilityTeld); and						
	b. The seller on each transaction certificate (sellerTeld).						
	4. dTrackit will accept data submissions which do not meet item 3. above until February 29, 2024, and will identify them as out of conformity. Effective March 1, 2024, any data submissions which do not meet item 3. above will be rejected by dTrackit restriction logic.						
	5. For new certifications, the certification body should assign a CB client code and provide it to the organization prior to asking the organization to register for a TE-ID, but should encourage or require the organization to register for the TE-ID well in advance of the certification decision being made.				egister for a TE-ID,		
	6. The certification body may specify contact email addresses for the following by emailing assurance@textileexchange.org. If no separate email address is provided, the certification body's primary contact with Textile Exchange will be used.						
	a. Receiving confirmation of each registration with Textile Exchange and each new TE-ID where the organization has named that certification body; and						
	b. Requests for support with deduplication of data relating to the certification body's data submissions and specific registration applications.						
Date Issued:	12/18/2023	Conformity Date:	12/18/2023	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 245	Amendment of T Typographical E	ransaction Certifica	te	CCS-102	-V3.1 E2.2.1.b	
Situation:	Many cases of typographical amendments to transaction certificates are requested or identified after fourteen days of issuance, but there is documented evidence received by the certification body by the date of issuance proving it was a typographical error during the creation process. Flexibility can be introduced for typographical corrections when they are supported by documents.					
Interpretation:	E2.2.1.b may be read as follows: To correct typographical errors either within fourteen calendar days of issuance (including increasing the quantity of claimed materials) or beyond fourteen calendar days of issuance if the correction is supported by documentation that the certification body had on file on the date the transaction certificate was issued (excluding increasing the quantity of claimed materials).					
Date Issued:	12/15/2023	Conformity Date:	12/15/2023	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 244	Risk designation for brand headquarters and distribution facilities	CCS-102-V3.1 Appendix B1.h		
Situation:	The current version of CCS-102 assigns a high-risk de gets major non-conformities during an audit. This crea distribution facilities which causes unnecessary auditi certified organization because low-risk distribution faciliting. The consideration given to headquarters and distribut the risk designation needs to be different.	ates the need to audit many ng and a higher cost for the cilities do not require regular		
Interpretation:	Appendix B1.h may be read as follows: Has the site had one or more major non-conformities issued for the scope certificate in the past 12 months, including during the previous audit?			



Calibration 244	Risk designation for brand headquarters and distribution facilities			CCS-102 B1.h	-V3.1 Appendix
	A major non-conformity at any of the sites which do not take physical possession of product shall not automatically assign a high-risk level to distribution facilities where only major non-conformities related to material handling need to be considered.				
Date Issued:	12/14/2023	Conformity Date:	12/14/2023	Status:	Issued

Calibration 235	Guideline regard party testing	ing the second-par	ty and third-	CCS-102	-V3.1 E2.1.3	
Situation:		There is confusion regarding product quality testing criteria, including who may conduct testing and which test reports are required for transaction certificates.				
		ther review of the or for more consultatio				
Interpretation:	CCS-102-V3.1 E2	.1.3.b may be read as	s follows:			
		"Third- or second-party product quality test reports for inputs and outputs (recommended for non-recycled fibers, all yarns, and all fabrics)."				
	Quality tests refe	r to the following tes	ts:			
	For fibers (PC0033, PC0034), the fiber length in mm and fiber fineness in applicable unit;					
	For yarns (PC0029, PC0030, PC0031), the yarn count;					
	For fabrics (PC0025, PC0026, PC0027, PC0028, PC0039), the fabric gsm (weight in g/m2) and fabric construction (e.g. ends/picks per inch, yarn count).					
	Textile Exchange will consider reintroducing mandatory quality testing criteria via future standard revision processes. This updated calibration also replaces Calibration 241.					
	UPDATE D 2023.12.01					
	ORIGINALLY ISSUED 2023.08.09					
Date Issued:	12/1/2023	Conformity Date:	12/1/2023	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 240	"PR0034 Other"	' no approval neede	d	CCS-102 NOTE1	-V3.1 Appendix B1
Situation:	The CCS certification procedure require approval from Textile Exchange for the 'other' process category (PR0034) to be used. Textile Exchange has initiated a new internal process for managing 'Other' codes.				
Interpretation:	Certification bodies may use all 'other' codes from ASR-213-V1.2 (RM0262-7, PR0034, PC0038, PD0100) without separate approval from Textile Exchange. A user specific term is required for use of RM0262-7 (see ASR-213-V1.2 3.1.9). A user specific term should be included with all uses of PR0034, PC0038, and PD0100.				
Date Issued:	11/30/2023	Conformity Date:	11/30/2023	Status:	Issued

Calibration 239	Omitting Materia Recycled or Orga	al Types for 100% Ce anic Claims	ertified	TE-301-\	/1.3 B3.13.5
Situation:	When a product contains 100% certified recycled or organic content, could a more generic claim about the materials be made and not list each material name out?				
Interpretation:	For RCS, GRS, and OCS, where multiple material types are certified but the product also contains non-certified material, each material shall be separately listed with the percentage content (e.g. "Made with 48% OCS certified organically grown cotton and 32% OCS certified organically grown wool"). For a product or component made of 100% RCS, GRS, or OCS certified materials, the material type(s) may be omitted (e.g. "Made with 100% GRS certified recycled materials").				
Date Issued:	11/30/2023	Conformity Date:	11/30/2023	Status:	Issued



Calibration 184	Inputs from Tana Abbatoirs	neries, Slaughterho	uses, and	GRS-101- V2.0 A1	-V4.0/RCS-101-		
Situation:	May animal hides/fibers and leather sourced from a tannery, slaughterhouse, or abattoir be accepted as reclaimed inputs? Update: Upon review of additional information, this calibration has been updated to provide additional flexibility.						
Interpretation:	not be accepted a leather tanning, s reclaimed inputs NOTE: Calibratio ambiguity about UPDATED:	Animal fibers (including wool), and animal hides, sourced following slaughter shall not be accepted as reclaimed inputs for RCS or GRS. Shavings/trimmings from leather tanning, splitting, post-tanning and finishing operations may be accepted as reclaimed inputs for GRS/RCS. NOTE: Calibration 148 addresses steps for certification bodies when there is ambiguity about whether or not a material may be accepted as reclaimed. UPDATED: 2023.11.14 ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2022.12.31					
Date Issued:	11/14/2023	Conformity Date:	11/14/2023	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 238	Adding RCS for GRS certified organizations	ASR-101-V2.1 B3.1.1				
Situation:	Sometimes an organization is GRS certified but not RCS certified and needs to sell products as RCS certified. This includes cases where the organization is selling to an RCS certified brand and wants the organization to apply labels, as well as cases where products are identified as ineligible for GRS but eligible for RCS. Since the criteria of the RCS are all evaluated during a GRS audit, this is low risk.					
Interpretation:	RCS certification is separate from GRS certification. To issue RCS transaction certificates to organizations (see Products which carry RCS on-product claims shall not transaction certificate. When an organization is GRS certified and wishes to be certification body may issue an RCS scope certificate in process categories, etc.) without conducting any additing RCS scope certificate shall be issued with the same ex	ellers) who are RCS certified. be included on a GRS ecome RCS certified, the for the same scope (facilities, ional auditing. In this case, the				



Calibration 238	Adding RCS for 0	GRS certified organi	zations	ASR-101-	-V2.1 B3.1.1
	corresponding GRS scope certificate. RCS may be added as a standard to a multi-standard scope certificate which includes GRS (see ASR-104-V3.1 A5.6.3).				
	The certification body may issue RCS transaction certificates for shipments prior to the issue date of the RCS scope certificate, provided that:				
	a. The RCS scope certificate is issued before the RCS transaction certificate is issued; and				
	b. The organization was GRS certified at the time of the shipment.				
Date Issued:	10/31/2023	Conformity Date:	10/31/2023	Status:	Issued

Calibration 140	What is the earliest a recertification audit may be conducted?	ASR-101-V2.1 D4.4.20, D4.6.8			
Situation:	The ACP D4.4.20 recommends ('should' language) th conducted 60 days before the expiry of the previous so requires that the certification decision be made within	cope certificate, and D4.6.8			
	_	ow far in advance may a recertification audit be conducted? Is it allowable to alize a certification decision within 60 days of the audit and issue a scope rtificate upon expiry of the previous scope certificate?			
		ee: The current calibration uses the word "may" which allows the user to ret that they are allowed to exceed the 90-day limit. There's being cases of terpretation.			
	Adjusting the wording can aid in clarifying the intent of CBs not to conduct audits further than 90 days before certificate.				
Interpretation:	Recertification audits may be conducted, as a maximum, up to 90 days before the expiry of the previous scope certificate, i.e. recertification audits are not to be conducted prior to 90 days before the expiration date of the scope certificate.				
	a certification decision is made prior to the expiry of the previous scope certificate, suing the new scope certificate may then be delayed up to 90 days after the audit ate (typically to the anniversary date).				



Calibration 140	What is the earliest a recertification audit may be conducted?			ASR-101- D4.6.8	-V2.1 D4.4.20,
	UPDATE D: 2023.08.31 ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2021.01.28				
Date Issued:	8/31/2023	Conformity Date:	8/31/2023	Status:	Issued

Calibration 208	Certification Boo	Certification Body Moving their Accredited Office ASR-101-V2.1 D1.1.8					
Situation:	A certification body wishes to transfer their accreditation to a different office, which may or may not be in a different country or party of a different legal entity (e.g. subsidiary or sister company) to the office which held the original accreditation. What is the procedure for this? Is this considered a new accreditation?						
Interpretation:	If a certification body wishes to move their accreditation to a different office, the accreditation body shall determine if this may be accepted as a simple update of contact information or if a new accreditation is required, and shall communicate this decision to Textile Exchange. If the accreditation body determines that this may be accepted as a simple update of contact information, the certification body shall provide an updated application form to assurance@textileexchange.org. Textile Exchange shall update applicable						
	If a new accreditation is needed, the certification body shall submit a new application form to Textile Exchange with applicable supporting documentation. Textile Exchange will fully evaluate the application but may rely on past experience and/or evidence from the accreditation body to fast-track the application. Provided that this is a transfer of accreditation (i.e. the original office is not maintaining accreditation), Textile Exchange will not charge an additional application fee and will review an application even if certification body applications are otherwise closed.				tion. Textile experience and/or on. Provided that this ning accreditation), d will review an		
Date Issued:	8/31/2023	Conformity Date:	8/31/2023	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 237	Audit Methods D	Pocument Not Publis	shed	CCS-102	-V3.1 D4
Situation:	CCS-102-V3.1 CCS Certification Procedures D4 references a new document, ASR-114 Audit and Assessment Methods Policy, which is not yet published.				
Interpretation:	Until ASR-114 Audit and Assessment Methods Policy is published, certification bodies shall continue to apply CCS-102-V3.0 D4 in its place. CCS-102-V3.0 can be accessed at https://textileexchange.org/app/uploads/2022/03/CCS-102-V3.0-CCS-Certification-Procedures.pdf				
Date Issued:	8/25/2023	Conformity Date:	8/25/2023	Status:	Issued

Calibration 130	Certification of Non-Textile Reclaimed Materials	GRS v4.2/RCS v2.2 A3.1b			
Situation:	What action should certification bodies take before acor recycled inputs for RCS or GRS?	certification bodies take before accepting non-textile reclaimed or RCS or GRS?			
		5: Textile Exchange has received significant feedback regarding original Calibration 130, including lack of clarity and the additional s.			
Interpretation:	to differing definitions of these terms in different count certification body shall contact Textile Exchange for a	ll contact Textile Exchange for approval prior to accepting an ganization who wishes to certify non-textile pre-consumer materials which are not already RCS or GRS certified.			
	Advance approval is no longer required for particles. Advance approval is required prior to recertification of non-certified, non-textile pre-consumer reclaimed or unless the certification body has documentation of particles. Exchange for that organization.	an organization who accepts recycled materials as input			
	The calibration applies to all certification bodies that had accreditation. Each certification body is required to reach Assurance@TextileExchange.org in order to evaluate application consists of a set of questions that need to be	ach out to the application. The			



Calibration 130	Certification of N	Ion-Textile Reclaim	ed Materials	GRS v4.2	2/RCS v2.2 A3.1b	
	application, the c	appropriate details. If any ineligible material is identified during the renewal application, the certification body is obligated to withdraw the certification. Textile Exchange will be building additional guidance to reduce the scenarios in				
	which advance ap	which advance approval is needed, which may include exempting individual submitters or certification bodies from the approval process based on demonstrated				
	In all cases where advance approval is not needed, Textile Exchange may reach out to the certification body to request an application as described above for a certified organization who is acting as a recycler. In this case, the certification body shall provide the application to Textile Exchange for evaluation.					
	UPDATED:	2023.08.25				
	UPDATED (2): 2022.07.19					
	Originally Issued: 2020.12.14					
Date Issued:	8/25/2023	Conformity Date:	8/25/2023	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 233	Packaging, hangtag, or label manufacturers CCS-201-V3.1 E considered brands for making claims about their products					
Situation:		When packaging, hangtags, or labels are certified to a Textile Exchange Standard, who is considered to be the brand?				
Interpretation:	brands. The following list of examples in this company was products which a another product channels. The lacompany, as is the labels. In the case	f packaging, hangtagowing example should in the guidance note, ould be considered a are packaging, hangt (e.g. garment) and sheling and branding the printing and physice of packaging, whice physically handled by timer.	the below should brand: A compa ags, or labels use ells them throug of the product ar cal attaching, in his not physicall	alongside t d be added ny designs ed for holdi h multiple e e generally the case of y attached	the examples in the : and develops ing or attaching to distribution done by the f hangtags and , the final packaging	
Date Issued:	7/31/2023	Conformity Date:	7/31/2023	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 236	Activities Performed by Certification Body Subcontractors ASR-101-V2.1 Appendix A					
Situation:	Which activities may only be performed by a certification body directly, or by a subcontractor?					
	Relevant definitions from ASR-101-V2.1:					
	Subcontractor: []An independent legal entity hired by a certification body to provide services related to certification activities, excluding freelancers.					
	Freelancer: An individual who is hired by an accreditation body or a certification body to act as an assessor or an auditor on a contract/non-employee basis, but subject to the accreditation/certification body's procedures. A freelancer may not also conduct client recruitment or management activities (see: subcontractor). An individual may be considered to be a freelancer if payment is made to a company (e.g. an incorporated consulting business), provided that the work is stipulated to be done by a named individual and that the business does not engage in client recruitment or management activities.					
Interpretation:	The following activities are considered to be core functions of certification body operations and shall only be conducted by certification bodies or their subcontractors, not freelancers or other parties:					
	 Management of auditors, including hiring and selecting auditors for specific audits; 					
	Planning of audit activities;					
	 Review of audit reports (separate from final certification decisions); 					
	Review and granting of claims approvals;					
	 Processing of transaction certificate applications; 					
	 Maintaining legally required registrations on behalf of the certification body (including CNCA registration for operations in China); 					
	Client management activities including client communications;					
	Direct client recruitment;					
	Client invoicing; and					
	Contracting with clients including certification agreements.					
	Note: Certification decisions and the issuance of scope and transaction certificates are required to be conducted by the certification body directly and not by a subcontractor or freelancer (see ASR-101-V2.1 D3.2.6.a).					
Date Issued:	7/12/2023					



Calibration 221	Ginning Facilities	s in Purchasing See	d Cotton	OCS-105 v2.3	-V0.1, ASR-106-
Situation:	cotton but is not i	that in some cases in amed as the buyer out (lint cotton) transe OTS has created an 2022.	on the input (farr action certificate	n) transact e, and is ins	ion certificate or stead listed as a
Interpretation:	definition and the certificates, then GOTS has permit Turkey issued be required to review Public Exemption the seller on the f Exchange alongs 1. The name and a 2. The name, job 3. The number of certification body 4. A declaration t a. The organiza cotton and theref b. The organiza Cottober 1, 2022 w c. That the info correct. If the first GOTS t	y is purchasing seed brefore shall be idented as the seller of lint conted an exception to fore October 1, 2022 with the transaction certification of the transaction of the transaction of the transaction of the first GOTS transaction understands the fore was required to eation will ensure that will meet this require formation provided above transaction certificated definition of subcontents.	ified as the buyer of the criteria for a line such cases, we tificate data (see the certificate shall reaction making the certificates which a certificate shall reaction certificate and buyer's name at the gin took less the seller on the certificate of the seller on the certificate and buyer's name at the gin took less the seller on the certificate of the seller on the certificate and buyer's name at the gin took less the seller on the certificate of the seller on the certificate and buyer's name at the gin took less the seller on the certificate of the seller on the certificate and seller on the certificate and seller on the certificate and seller on the certificate of the seller o	r on input (put transaction whenever T e OCS-105 (Standards) Il be provid a states the ne declarat signatory; e along with ne; egal owners he first tran ertificates ion certificates	farm) transaction tion certificates. In certificates in Textile Exchange is OCS In Conversion and declaration from led to Textile following: In the issuing In the issuing Issued on or after It is true and
Date Issued:	7/7/2023	Conformity Date:	7/7/2023	Status:	Issued



Calibration 223	Requirements fo Treatment Syste	r Wastewater/Efflue ems	ent/Sludge	GRS-101-	-V4.0 C2.3e, C2.3f		
Situation:	wastewater off-si	The GRS allows treating wastewater either on-site or off-site. Many operators treat wastewater off-site in a common effluent treatment plant (CETP) which may be private or government owned.					
	The Waste/Effluent section in the GRS establishes that CETPs need to conform with GRS v4.0 Appendix D which is based on ZDHC's Wastewater Guidelines. However, CETPs abide by local or national governmental standards which usually are more lenient than ZDHC's standards. Additionally, the certification bodies cannot audit the quality of the water leaving the CETP. These facts create a gap in conformity with the GRS.						
	Moreover, the GRS is vague on proper treatment and disposal of sludge because it doesn't refer to any guideline parameters to assess its attributes, which is needed for on-site treatment systems that certification bodies will audit.				•		
Interpretation:	Each GRS site shall have a system to ensure that wastewater receives proper treatment, whether the site has an on-site process or uses an off-site service provider. Depending on the wastewater treatment location, the following applies:						
	a. On-site treatm	ent systems shall co	nform to the crite	eria of GRS	-101-V4.0 C2.3.		
		nanagement, the site contract between the					
	b. If using an off-site treatment plant, known as a common effluent treatment plant (CETP), the site shall provide the certification body with evidence that the treated wastewater/effluent leaving the CETP facility meets local or national legal parameters.						
	i. The certification body should confirm that the CETP is legally operating by reviewing the existence of a permit, agreement, or contract with the certified site or with any other system participants such as the local pollution control board.						
	ii. The site should provide evidence that sludge generated at the CETP facility meets local or national legal parameters.						
Date Issued:	5/31/2023	Conformity Date:	5/31/2023	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 228	Use of Poison Baiting for Predator Control	RWS-101a-V2.2 LM2.6.3				
Situation:	pests such as foxes, wild dogs, and feral pigs. Their at financial loss and the local economy is affected. The in state authorities have implemented plans to support to these predators maim or kill livestock such as lambs,	in different parts of Australia are currently having a major threat from feral ch as foxes, wild dogs, and feral pigs. Their attacks cause production and loss and the local economy is affected. The impact is such that national and horities have implemented plans to support the farmers. edators maim or kill livestock such as lambs, adult sheep, poultry, goats, re wildlife. They also pose a threat to humans and pets through the				
	disease that can affect humans), Sheep Measles, Neos Ehrlichiosis. Some animals can even desiccate feed su destroy pasture and habitat, and contaminate water.					
	The government has implemented programs such as guidance, training, and risk assessments on the use of techniques such as shooting, trapping, and baiting. Us restricted materials that cannot be purchased or used and signage installation on the property.	f different pest management sually, poison baits are				
	The use of poison as a lethal control method is not cur This calibration aligns with the proposed language for unified standard.					
Interpretation:	A certified farm or farm group in Australia may use poi control method provided all of the following conditions	s are met:				
	 There shall be a verifiable predator threat to goats/s Predators shall be classified as invasive species by the Endemic predator species shall not be eligible for the analysis. 	the relevant authority.				
	3. The decision to use poison shall be taken on a lands involve expert input from an external body such as Lands					
	4. Anti-coagulant poisons or cholecalciferol shall not be	pe used.				
	5. Poison baiting shall take place over set, targeted percontinuously.	eriods only -it shall not occur				



Calibration 228	Use of Poison Ba	iting for Predator C	ontrol	RWS-101	a-V2.2 LM2.6.3		
		6. The farm shall have a written predator management plan with the following components at a minimum:					
	a. Detailed exp	lanation of the preda	ator issue,				
		ntrol proposal establ xecuted, and where	-		on for every action,		
		nethods of control th of CO2 traps, includ			. shooting individual adequate for the		
	_	pproach analysis co er if the target preda			ecies that could		
	7. The farm shall have attempted at least two non-lethal control methods (e.g. predator-proof fencing, light or sound deterrents, livestock guardian dogs) before considering the poison bait option.						
	where invasive p	all take place before a redators are active a Records shall be kep	nd secondly to de				
	9. The position of the baiting period	f baits shall be marke I.	ed and any undat	ed baits re	moved at the end of		
	10. Poison bait sh		as to avoid non-	target wild	life being harmed by		
	11. Signs shall be placed all around the property, especially on their boundary to ensure all neighbors and visitors are aware poisoning is conducted on the property.						
	12. All bait (including that which is unused or uneaten) shall be used and disposed of according to product label requirements.						
	13. Fumigating dens with carbon monoxide is not an acceptable activity under this exemption.						
Date Issued:	5/31/2023	Conformity Date:	5/31/2023	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 227	Darkness Period	for Ducks		RDS-101-	-V3.0 AW2.7
Situation:	Ducks are primarily raised for meat with down a secondary consideration. Companies worldwide are working with different criteria when providing a mandatory darkness period to ducks, depending on the country of operation and the standard(s) prevailing within the farmed duck industry of each country. The RDS criteria differ from some of these standards. Textile Exchange identified the benefit of updating the RDS with the most common practice. This calibration aligns with the proposed language for Textile Exchange's upcoming unified standard.				
Interpretation:	RDS criterion AW2.7 may be implemented as follows where the criterion as written in the standard is not feasible: Except for brooding under a heat lamp up to four weeks of age, ducks shall be provided a minimum period of six hours of continuous darkness - or near darkness - at night and this shall be preceded by thirty minutes of dusk and followed by thirty minutes of dawn. In addition, a minimum of eight hours of light during the day shall be provided.				
Date Issued:	5/31/2023	Conformity Date:	5/31/2023	Status:	Issued

Calibration 232	Transfer Audits i	in the case of CB Su	spension	ASR-112-	V2.0 C3.4	
Situation:	Transfer audits are abbreviated audits intended to simplify the transition between certification bodies when there may be an urgent need outside of the recertification cycle. ASR-112-V2.0 permits transfer audits in the case of CB withdrawal, but does not permit them for voluntary transfers between CBs. In the case of a CB being suspended, their clients may feel that a transfer of certification is necessary to control risk and ensure continuity of service.					
Interpretation:	the organization's	A transfer audit may be conducted when the certification body has been suspended for the organization's scope and is still suspended 7 calendar days before the date the transfer audit is conducted. See ASR-112-V2.0 C3.5 for more information about transfer audits.				
	In this case, the succeeding certification body shall specify the preceding certification body's scope certificate number in the scLegacyNo data field on the dTrackit data submission for the succeeding certification body's scope certificate, and shall follow instructions from Textile Exchange for reporting on transfer audits to allow for fees to be calculated correctly.					
Date Issued:	4/19/2023	Conformity Date:	4/19/2023	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 220	Mixed Fibers and	l Proportion of Fiber	rs	CCS-101-	·V3.1 D4	
Situation:	various textile ma output claimed m - The high cost an - The impossibilit material, and - The error factor with their size and For example, 100	00 garments will have 1000 varieties of blends or materials, and				
Interpretation:	when mixed fibers are used in raw materials, there is no need to do a material composition test to know the percentage of each fiber present. The raw material codes for mixed fibers (RM0258, RM0259, RM0260, RM0261) shall only be used by the material recycler. No other operator in the supply chain, after the recycler, is allowed to change the mixed fiber codes in the output transaction certificate or when they are used in the material composition on the input transaction certificate. A blend of virgin material shall not be defined as "mixed fibers" in any case. The mixed-fiber codes are only allowed to be used by recyclers for pre-consumer and post-consumer waste. When an input transaction certificate specifies that a product contains mixed fibers, this same designation shall be used in the output transaction certificate. Even when the detailed results of a material composition test are available, the transaction certificate shall list "mixed fibers" with the corresponding raw material code rather than separating out individual fibers.					
Date Issued:	2/28/2023	Conformity Date:	2/28/2023	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 154	Maps vs GIS Date	a			a-V2.1/RAF-101b- 101c-V1.0 F2.6.2	
Situation:	showing where a	RAF farm group criteria require the ICS to maintain maps or sketches of each farm showing where animals are located. Textile Exchange is moving to require that GIS data be submitted by each farm. How does this affect the criterion relating to maps?				
Interpretation:	or sketches of ear	An RAF farm group or communal farmer group ICS is not required to maintain maps or sketches of each farm showing where animals are located provided that the ICS maintains the following, which can be clearly linked per farm:				
	2. A copy of the F	 A list of farms which can be linked back to the scope certificate; A copy of the Farm Questions for each farm, as required by Textile Exchange's 				
		system; and 3. Polygon data (i.e. GIS shapefiles) for each farm showing the location and amount				
	of farmland.					
	Note: Textile Exchange's system will not automatically give the ICS access to the GIS data for each member farm collected by Textile Exchange, but will provide a mechanism for the ICS to access the information in the future. Additional fees may apply for this access.					
	UPDATE D: 2023.02.28					
	ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2021.08.20					
Date Issued:	2/28/2023	Conformity Date:	2/28/2023	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 178	Criteria for the product category PC0029 Dyed Yarn for reclaimed dyed inputs	ASR-213-V1.1 Table 3.3			
Situation:	Under GRS/RCS, certain products are made from dyed reclaimed inputs (preconsumer or post-consumer), and additional dyeing is not done on such products. Can we call such yarn 'undyed' or 'greige' yarn instead of 'dyed yarn'?				
Interpretation:	identified as dyed products as a result of a previous reidentified with the 'Dyed yarn' (PC0029) category to a	/hen product inputs used by a certified site have been previously dyed but only lentified as dyed products as a result of a previous recycling process, they shall be lentified with the 'Dyed yarn' (PC0029) category to avoid confusion with 'Undyed arn' (PC0031), which shall not be used on any dyed material.			



Calibration 178	Criteria for the pr	roduct category PC0 ed dyed inputs	0029 Dyed	ASR-213	-V1.1 Table 3.3
	A supplier may request the certification body to include a statement such as "Product's color was maintained from its life cycle previous to entering the certified supply chain." or "Product is made from inputs which were previously dyed and the resulting product color is not a result of an additional dyeing process.". This information can be included in box 12 of the Transaction Certificate template (ASR-205-V3.0).				
	been dyed in a pr such a process, d finishing could be output will also be (pre-consumer / previously dyed a	sumer or pre-consunevious life cycle and yeing might not be pedone on reclaimed by 'Dyed yarn' (PCOO' post-consumer). Where certified, the 'Dyed with 'Undyed Yarn' (FOO').	recycled (a proc performed by a co product, yarn, or 29) since the inpo nen reclaimed pro ed yarn' (PCOO29	ess based ertified site fabric. In sut is dyed roducts than of category	on color sorting). In e, but washing or such a case, the reclaimed product t have been shall be used to
	ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2022.06.08				
Date Issued:	1/31/2023	Conformity Date:	1/31/2023	Status:	Issued

Calibration 148	Ineligible Reclaimed Inputs	GRS-101-V4.0/RCS-101- V2.0 A1		
Situation:	Textile Exchange has become aware of several situations where certification bodies have issued scope certificates to material recyclers for material which has been accepted by the certification body as pre-consumer, but which does not match Textile Exchange's definition of pre-consumer material. Textile Exchange acknowledges that this may have related to a lack of clarity in past guidance.			
Interpretation:	ion: Any scope certificates with ineligible inputs (i.e. which do not qualify as reclaimed) shall have the scope reduced to exclude ineligible inputs or shall be withdrawn immediately. No recertification (with the same certification body or a new certification body) is possible in these cases. If the certification body is not sure if a reclaimed input is eligible or has not certified that reclaimed input before, they should reach out to Textile Exchange for			
	clarification prior to including it in the scope of GRS or Exchange or the AB finds errors in this area where the	RCS certification. If Textile		



Calibration 148	Ineligible Reclair	med Inputs		GRS-101- V2.0 A1	-V4.0/RCS-101-
	request guidance from Textile Exchange, the scope certificate shall have the scope reduced to exclude ineligible inputs or shall be withdrawn immediately. NOTE: A previous exception was included in this calibration for scope certificates issued on or before April 15, 2021. All such scope certificates have now expired so that exception has been removed. UPDATED: 2023.01.31 ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2021.04.15				
Date Issued:	1/31/2023	Conformity Date:	1/31/2023	Status:	Issued

Calibration 216	GRS Modules for Possession	Sites without Phys	ical	GRS-101-	-V4.0 A3.2c	
Situation:		Do the GRS social, environmental, and chemical criteria apply to sites without physical possession of GRS materials?				
Interpretation:	The GRS social, environmental, and chemical criteria do not apply to sites without physical possession of GRS materials such as traders and buying houses. These criteria still apply to facilities with physical possession of claimed materials, including subcontractors. Chain of custody criteria from the CCS do apply to these sites when they are certified.					
Date Issued:	1/31/2023	Conformity Date:	1/31/2023	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 222	Water deprivation	on for ewes in late pr	egnancy or	RWS-101	la-V2.2 AW1.7.3
Situation:	The requirement in the RWS, for ewes in late pregnancy or lactating period, to not be deprived of water for more than 8 hours, doesn't conform to industry best practice recommendations for preparation time for shearing. These guidelines propose a longer withdrawal from water to allow animals to empty out to protect their health and comfort when they are sheared. These industry guidelines are also taking account of worker health and safety, as empty sheep are lighter and so cause less strain on the shearer. Australian and New Zealand guidelines suggest a minimum of 8 hours and maximum of 20 hours off water for ewes that are in late pregnancy or lactating.				
Interpretation:	Ewes in late pregnancy or lactating period shall not be deprived of water for more than 20 hours. This requirement supersedes the criterion AW1.7.3 in the standard since this directly contradicts it and is based on further information which is now available to Textile Exchange.				
Date Issued:	1/31/2023	Conformity Date:	1/31/2023	Status:	Issued

Calibration 224	Change of Accreditation Bodies	ASR-101-V2.1 D1.1.8.a			
Situation:	What needs to be considered for a certification body to change accreditation bodies?				
Interpretation:	An accredited certification body may change accreditation body for either voluntary or involuntary reasons.				
	no longer able and willing to offer accreditation for the (e.g. is no longer a Textile Exchange accreditation boo	tary change in accreditation body occurs when the accreditation body is able and willing to offer accreditation for the certification body's scope onger a Textile Exchange accreditation body, or is no longer accepting n bodies in a specific country). A change for any other reason is a change in accreditation body.			
	The following steps shall apply for a change in accredi				



Calibration 224	Change of Accre	ditation Bodies		ASR-101-	-V2.1 D1.1.8.a	
	The certification body shall notify Textile Exchange of their intention to change accreditation body and submit an updated copy of ASR-206 Certification Body Application Form naming the new accreditation body. If the succeeding accreditation body is not an authorized Textile Exchange accreditation body, the certification body shall pay the new accreditation body fee					
		rtification Fee Struct process before the c			oody shall complete	
		3. In the case of a voluntary change in accreditation body, the certification body shall meet the following criteria:				
	a. Any assessment which has been started by the preceding accreditation body has been completed and the accreditation decision has been made.					
	b. The certification body shall have a positive accreditation decision from the last assessment with the preceding accreditation body (i.e. there is no suspension or withdrawal of part or all of the scope of accreditation).					
	c. The certification body shall be up to date with all required submissions to Textile Exchange, including site fees and data submissions, and shall not be under sanction from Textile Exchange.					
	4. Once the above criteria have been satisfied, Textile Exchange will approve the change in accreditation body.					
	5. The succeeding accreditation body shall consider all assessment reports from the preceding accreditation body for at least the previous two years in conducting their initial assessment.					
Date Issued:	1/31/2023	Conformity Date:	1/31/2023	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 15	Pre-Consumer G	ilass (Moil)			-V4.0/RCS-101- definition of pre- er
Situation:	May glass moil be	e considered to be re	claimed materia	l?	
Interpretation:	considered recyc considered pre-c and is within indu		te from breakage it undergoes an a ercentage of brea	e and reject additional r akage and i	cion may be reprocessing stage rejection.
Date Issued:	1/19/2023	Conformity Date:	1/19/2023	Status:	Issued

Calibration 215	Approval for VR2	2 Certifications	CCS-105	-V3.0 B1.2-3		
Situation:	It is unclear when approval from Textile Exchange is required to use VR2 material.					
Interpretation:	Approval from Textile Exchange is required before the certification body schedules an audit for any site which is implementing alternative volume reconciliation directly to produce VR2 materials. No special approval is needed for sites that purchase and sell VR2 materials based on the criteria of the CCS.					
Date Issued:	12/31/2022	Conformity Date:	12/31/2022	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 192	Classification of certificate?	a rented facility in tl	ne scope	CCS-101-	V3.1 C5.2		
Situation:	A certified organization rents a facility for the washing process and pays all costs involved such as labor, utilities and materials. Is this facility a site or a subcontractor?						
Interpretation:	overhead, and morganization and not a subcontractupDATED: 2022	When the certificate holder rents a facility to conduct a process and pays for labor, overhead, and materials used, the facility will be considered a part of the organization and shall be listed in the Site Appendix on the scope certificate as a site, not a subcontractor. UPDATED: 2022.12.12 Originally issued: 2022.09.22					
Date Issued:	12/12/2022	Conformity Date:	12/12/2022	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 80	Accepting Organic Inputs for OCS OCS-101-V3.0 C1.1						
Situation:		Are all national organic standards accepted for OCS inputs? May organic inputs which have been processed after the farm be accepted for OCS?					
Interpretation:	farms which are of Regulation (EC) & national organics provide organica Sites further alon inputs which are		the three categors, see the three categors, so the second three categors, so the second three three categors, see the second three categors, see three categors, see the second three cate	ories listed Family of S list shall no rocessor) r	(USDA NOP, Standards). A ot be used to nay only accept		
Date Issued:	12/12/2022	Conformity Date:	12/12/2022	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 197	Certified Sites as	S Associated Subco	ntractors	CCS-101-	V3.1C5.2		
Situation:	May the scope certificate holder use a subcontractor who is independently certified to the same standard as an associated subcontractor?						
Interpretation:	standard shall no	A subcontractor facility that is independently certified to the same Textile Exchange standard shall not be listed as an associated subcontractor since they hold an independent scope certificate.					
Date Issued:	12/1/2022	Conformity Date:	12/1/2022	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 190	Blending RDS/RAF material with recycled material of the same type			RDS-101-V3.0/RAF-101a- V2.2/RAF-101b-V1.2/RAF- 101c-V1.0 B2.1.1.b			
Situation:	RDS and RAF fibers may be blended with recycled RDS or recycled RAF fibers for a labeled claimed product.						
Interpretation:	if the product cor certified to either may be applied fo	An RWS product that contains recycled wool may only qualify for labeling to the RWS if the product contains at least 5% RWS wool and 100% of the wool in the product is certified to either RWS or mixed with RCS or GRS certified wool. The same guidance may be applied for other RAF standards (e.g., blend of RMS and recycled mohair) and for RDS (blend of RDS and recycled down).					
Date Issued:	9/23/2022	Conformity Date:	9/23/2022	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 168	Ineligible Reclaimed inputs - Silk Spinning Waste	GRS-201-V4.2/RCS-201- V2.2			
Situation:	Are "silk wastes" eligible for GRS/RCS certification as	re "silk wastes" eligible for GRS/RCS certification as "pre-consumer material"?			
Interpretation:	Waste produced from a silk filament spinning process spun spinning process. Therefore, such waste does not consumer material, and the process does not qualify a	ot qualify as reclaimed nor pre-			



Calibration 168	Ineligible Reclair	ned inputs - Silk Sp	inning Waste	GRS-201 V2.2	-V4.2/RCS-201-		
	Therefore, only yarn hard waste as silk filament or silk spun yarns shall be considered as pre-consumer and only if the next processing step is recycling (e.g. mechanical shredding). Follow the calibration log 148.						
	If a certification body has previously issued a scope certificate for ineligible silk waste:						
	a) the scope certificate may be maintained by the certification body until its expiry, and						
	b) the certification body shall notify the client that their scope certificate cannot be renewed for this product.						
	No recertification is possible with material inputs which do not qualify as reclaimed, and any scope certificates with ineligible inputs issued after April 15, 2021 shall have the scope reduced to exclude ineligible inputs or shall be withdrawn immediately.						
	If the certification body is not sure if a reclaimed input is eligible or has not certified that reclaimed input before, they shall reach out to Textile Exchange for clarification prior to including it in the scope of GRS or RCS certification. If Textile Exchange or the AB finds errors in this area where the certification body did not request guidance from Textile Exchange, the scope certificate shall have the scope reduced to exclude ineligible inputs or shall be withdrawn immediately.						
Date Issued:	6/9/2022	Conformity Date:	6/9/2022	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 187	Mulesing using the ring method	RWS-101a-V2.2 AW3.11
Situation:	Wool producers in Victoria, Australia, are using rubber castration) to remove excess skin from the breech are effect as standard mulesing. The skin will be pulled tig the flow of blood and the skin dies and drops off. It will pain to the sheep.	a of sheep and give the same ht and the ring applied to stop
	This technique falls into the definition of mulesing in the	ne RWS, so it is prohibited.



Calibration 187	Mulesing using the ring method			RWS-101a-V2.2 AW3.11		
Interpretation:	AW3.11.1 Freeze mulesing (steining) and any other form of breech modification is prohibited.					
Date Issued:	6/8/2022	Conformity Date:	6/8/2022	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 182	Certified organiz	ation becomes ineli	gible	CCS-101-	-V3.1B4	
Situation:	A company becomes either banned by Textile Exchange or the United States government sanctions a company, product, or input which results in the company becoming ineligible for certification.					
Interpretation:	identified as restribody: a) Shall immediate certificate(s) of some solution of solution	e certification body or wal if any related trand d of the scope certifical transaction certifical e for certification immodertified prior to bed be certified unless s ible immediately; An	change and with ies); activities within the saction certificate; ates for products nediately upon becoming ineligible pecifically identified	tification, to draw the restrict the prescribe identified ate(s) has be at the first ecoming in the certificated by Texproducts a	elated scope bed timeline ineligibility and any peen issued during processor that have neligible. For cation body may stile Exchange to be	
Date Issued:	6/8/2022	Conformity Date:	6/8/2022	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 180	Assessment of T	extile Exchange log	o use by CBs	ASR-101-	·V2.1C4.6
Situation:		ne ACP what ABs sha ktile Exchange logo a			• •
Interpretation:	A certification body becomes authorized to use of the Textile Exchange standard logo(s) when the certification body licensing contract for the applicable standard has been signed with Textile Exchange (see TE-301-V1.2 Standards Claims Policy, section C2.5). The certification body may use a placeholder for the Textile Exchange standard logo (e.g. "logo goes here") to demonstrate conformity of logo use for assessment by their accreditation body prior to the certification body licensing contract being signed. The accreditation body shall evaluate certification body conformance with C2.3, C2.4, and C2.5 of TE-301-V1.2 Standards Claims Policy during their assessments of the certification body.				
Date Issued:	6/8/2022	Conformity Date:	6/8/2022	Status:	Issued

Calibration 177	Minimum month	s of records for Initia	CCS-201-V3.0 & V2.0 C4 of CCS V3.0 & B1.2 of CCS V20		
Situation:	How many months of records (such as production, attendance, payroll, etc.) should the facility have before a GRS audit can be conducted if a facility was just established?				
Interpretation:	If a brand new facility is being certified, at least three months of operation records are needed to ensure a proper CCS and/or GRS audit.				
Date Issued:	4/28/2022	Conformity Date:	4/28/2022	Status:	Issued



Calibration 149	Restricted Chem	icals in Fiber Produ	ction	GRS-101-	-V4.0 D2.2
Situation:	Textile Exchange has identified that a number of chip, fiber, and filament producers have been certified to the GRS while using restricted chemicals. Textile Exchange has further identified that in many cases there might be no alternative chemical available, and that the requirements of GRS Section D might be so strict as to effectively exclude particular fibers from the GRS system unintentionally.				
Interpretation:	production proce immediately. This immediately. This immediately. This immediately. The details of how will be considered NOTE: A previous	.01.31	cate shall be with limited to the foll methylacetamid cetate Tetrahydr ay be used in cerlard developmen uded in this calib	drawn or dolowing che e) in elastate in poly rtified prodet process.	lowngraded to RCS micals and fibers: ne/spandex; and ester. ucts in the future
Date Issued:	1/31/2022	Conformity Date:	1/31/2022	Status:	Issued

Calibration 161	Collectors and Concentrators Outside of CB's Geographic Scope	GRS-201-V4.2/RCS-201- V2.2 A4 Guidance			
Situation:		a certification body has a limited geographic scope of operations, may the ertification body's material recycler clients source from collectors or concentrators hich are located outside of the geographic scope?			
Interpretation:		and concentrators shall be located within the geographic scope of the cler's certification body, unless one of the following options applies:			



Calibration 161	Collectors and Concentrators Outside of CB's Geographic Scope			GRS-201 V2.2 A4 (-V4.2/RCS-201- Guidance
	1. The collector or concentrator is independently certified to the RCS or GRS; or 2. The certification body outsources all required evaluation of the collector or concentrator to a certification body who is accredited for the RCS and/or GRS with geographic scope which includes the collector or concentrator's location.				collector or and/or GRS with a
Date Issued:	1/31/2022	Conformity Date:	1/31/2022	Status:	Issued

Calibration 173	RAF GIS Farm Que submission option	uestions: Eliminatio on.	RAF-102	-V2.1 D1.2.1.b		
Situation:	RAF-102-v2.01, D1.2.1b requires data submission of Farm Questions using an online survey form or an Excel file. This requirement is mandatory as of January 1st, 2022. Textile Exchange made both options available as it was unknown whether the ArcGIS system would be ready by then. As the system was made ready by January 1st, 2022, the Excel file option will no longer be an alternative because it cannot be linked to the automated features of dTrackit, thus requiring manual processing and delays. The system will no longer accommodate manual submission of this information via Excel after March 31st, 2022, so the focus will be on implementing only automated data collection methods.					
Interpretation:	The certification body shall report a set of Farm Questions for each certified farm (including each member in the case of Farm Group Certification and Communal Farmer Group Certification). The certification body shall ensure all questions are complete and accurate prior to submission. The options available for submission and with mandatory implementation date no later than April 1st, 2022 are: 1. The online survey form, using the custom link provided to the certification body. 2. A mobile app with a convenient offline feature which allows entering data and submitting it later if there are internet connectivity issues.					
Date Issued:	1/26/2022	Conformity Date:	1/26/2022	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 170	Removal of sheep from natural pasture	RWS-101a-V2.2 AW5.7, AW2.14, AW2.11, AW3.2, LM1.1				
Situation:	Australian dry weather conditions affect the pasture ground cover in farms, forcing the farmer to practice continuous confinement feeding as a regular farming practice.					
	Each year, sheep are held off pasture in a confinement usually summer or autumn seasons. The animals cannot behaviors and have welfare conditions (5 freedoms), a supplementary hay, anipro, and barley.	not demonstrate natural				
	Challenges can arise when the farm uses confinement rather than as a contingency plan, as the RWS standar	-				
		are signaling confinement feeding as a major non-conformity, but the it very difficult to stop this practice under the current weather conditions				
Interpretation:	The RWS-101a -v2.2 Responsible Wool Standard recognizes in Section C Animal Welfare the need to provide the flock with access to natural graze as an integral pa of their living environment (AW2.11) and establishes the requirement to have a pasture-based system for sheep. The only exception is when an emergency or severe weather conditions such as droughts, floods, fires, heavy snowfall, etc., would otherwise negatively impact sheep welfare and affect the amount of pasture available for sheep or keeping a healthy soil base for it.					
	The CB shall use the following criteria to assess if the f principles when removing sheep from natural pasture. ALL clauses to be considered compliant with AW2.11:	-				
	weather affecting the farm and forcing the removal of sincluding a detailed management plan that guarantee. This clause also covers situations like weather condition of seasons, which can affect soil and animal health. The	ther affecting the farm and forcing the removal of sheep from natural pasture, ading a detailed management plan that guarantees sheep welfare, as per AW5.7. It clause also covers situations like weather conditions resulting from the change easons, which can affect soil and animal health. Thus, it requires the written plan ave actions on land management (LM1.1) and animal health (AW3.2).				
	2. The farm establishes a correct and effective stockin AW2.14. The proper management of this rate can prove					



Calibration 170	Removal of sheep from natural pasture				a-V2.2 AW5.7, AW2.11, AW3.2,
	in-farm produced hay/forage to feed the flock during periods when removed from natural pasture.				
	3. The farm keeps records of the duration and justification of each emergency or severe weather occurrence that led to removing sheep from natural pasture. Justification may involve information such as weather data, soil moisture deficits, pasture vegetation cover measurement, predator monitoring etc.				
Date Issued:	1/11/2022	Conformity Date:	1/11/2022	Status:	Issued

Calibration 167	Mulesed Restocking	RWS-101a-V2.2/RAF-105a- V2.0 AW4.15			
Situation:	In Australia, the majority of wool still comes from mule under RWS.	sed sheep, which is prohibited			
	needs to be developed to have animal welfare regulati	includes the RWS in the recovery phase of the farmer industry in Australia e developed to have animal welfare regulations such non-mulesing embeded in the operational procedures of the farms, facilitating the e shift of the flock while ramping up operations.			
Interpretation:	The majority of the sheep flock in Australia is mulesed challenges to producing non-mulesed wool in Australia restocking for reasons of maintaining flock genetics of climate events like droughts and fires. Other reasons for significant expansion of the flock, changing the breed recovering from a disease problem causing high mortal	a particularly related to flock recovering from extreme or restocking may be: or strain of sheep, and			
	Textile Exchange is addressing this situation using a debelow will facilitate a certification body's assessment to exemption is eligible. This process will be carried out to a ASR-101-V2.1 Acreditation and Certification Process Standards, section D4.15.3. Exemptions for mulesed so replacements and extreme climate events like drough on a case-by-case basis - as well as reviewed annually exemption request and approval process with Textile	that determines if an individual by the certification body, based lures for Textile Exchange stock other than for ram ts and fires must be dealt with for renewal - per the usual			



Calibration 167	Mulesed Restocking	RWS-101a-V2.2/RAF-105a- V2.0 AW4.15				
	1. The certification body may process a single exemption request from a certified group on behalf of several farms for the purchase of mulesed rams.					
	1.1 The farms need to be members of that group and					
	1.2 The request needs to include a list of the farms, each needed for the period of one year.	ch showing the number of rams				
	2. Wool from mulesed sheep included in an exemption RWS certified.	request shall never be sold as				
	2.1The farmer will implement handling and transportation wool is kept separate from non-mulesed wool.	tion systems to guarantee this				
	3. The certification body shall keep a record of the qua approved to be purchased in each granted exemption					
	502 Quarterly NC Report Template, in the Exemption	ertification body will report these numbers to Textile Exchange using ASR-terly NC Report Template, in the Exemption tab, using column H "Notes" to e number of animals being purchased under that exemption.				
		of expanding the flock, the farmer must provide their plan in terms of and timelines and why this cannot be met by retention of their own homelambs.				
	5. In case of changing the breed or strain of sheep, the detailing genetics change and reasoning. For example that are better suited to non-mulesing and the farmer sheep that have a high wrinkle score, leading to a lack	e, the farm is moving to sheep is therefore not retaining				
	6. In case of disease, the exemption request will be proclimate event if it has arisen from circumstances outsi evidence of mismanagement or neglect).					
	7. The certification body may grant an exemption to a sheep if ALL of the following criteria is met:	farmer for restocking mulesed				
	7.1. The farmer demonstrates they have attempted to before requesting the exemption.	source non-mulesed stock				
	7.2. The stock requested are specifically rams for bree	eding.				



Calibration 167	Mulesed Restock	king		RWS-101 V2.0 AW	a-V2.2/RAF-105a- 4.15	
	farm) or the farm	7.3. An extreme climate event occurs (and is demonstrated to have affected the farm) or the farmer has a specific breeding goal (the exemption request mentions genetic traits/breed type that is being selected).				
	7.4. The number of the total flock s	of mulesed breeding size.	males brought i	n each yea	r is less than 0.5%	
	7.5. The farmer has implemented handling and transportation systems to guarantee that wool from these mulesed animals will be kept separate from non-mulesed wool and will not be sold or marketed as RWS certified. And					
	7.6. The farmer shall demonstrate that they are not artificially creating a need for additional stock – i.e., by selling their own non-mulesed ewes and/or ewe lambs and then requesting an allowance to purchase mulesed animals.					
	UPDATED 2022.03.03					
Date Issued:	11/9/2021	Conformity Date:	11/9/2021	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 164	Collectors and Concentrators - Additional verification & physical inspection	GRS-201-V4.2/RCS-201- V2.2 A4 Guidance		
Situation:	The GRS Implementation Manual V4.2 A4 states that keep a list of all collectors and concentrators that support this total shall be chosen for additional verification, wit inspection." It is not clear that if the percentages selected for additional per certification body, per certified organization.	oly to recycling clients. 10% of the 2% chosen for physical conal verification should be		
Interpretation:	physical inspection) shall be determined per certificat	apling of collectors and concentrators (10% additional verification and 2% inspection) shall be determined per certification body and is always up. The 2% sample for physical inspection may be counted towards the 10% for additional verification.		



Calibration 164	Collectors and Concentrators - Additional verification & physical inspection			GRS-201-V4.2/RCS-201- V2.2 A4 Guidance		
	Example: A certification body has certified 50 GRS material recyclers (mechanical, chemical, and/or biological) in total. Each recycler has one collector and one concentrator. As a result, the certification body will have $50x1 + 50x1 = 100$ collectors/concentrators. The certification body therefore needs to select 10% of 100 = 10 collectors/concentrators for additional verification and 2% of 100 = 2 collectors/concentrators for physical inspection.					
Date Issued:	9/22/2021	Conformity Date:	9/22/2021	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 162	Sale of Mixed Do Site	wn and Feather from	m a Slaughter	ASR-213	-V1.1 Table 3.1	
Situation:	Some RDS slaughter sites sell mixed down and feather to sites which are not included in the same scope certificate. The slaughter sites are not able to determine the exat percentage of down and of feather from the total quantity, since these two raw materials are separated at the down processing stage. How should this material be reflected on scope and transaction certificates?					
Interpretation:	The raw material codes RM0330 and RM0331 (PFC) may be used for mixed down and feather on transaction certificates only if the seller named on the transaction certificate is a slaughter site. These codes may also be used on the corresponding scope certificates. These codes shall not be used on scope or transaction certificates where the percentage of down and of feather in the product can be identified.					
Date Issued:	9/16/2021	Conformity Date:	9/16/2021	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 159	Physical Possession	CCS-101-V3.0 B1
Situation:	In the CCS we say that traders without physical possession of product do not have to be certified. We occasionally get questions about what 'physical possession' means. Can you draft a calibration for that and let us know what it is so we can add that as a future updated to the User Manual as well?	



Calibration 159	Physical Possess	sion		CCS-101-	-V3.0 B1	
Interpretation:	Physical possession of goods is the physical custody or control of goods in material form. It is different from ownership of goods, where an entity acquires the proprietary rights over the goods. For example, when a processor sends materials to a subcontractor, they merely transfer the physical possession and not the ownership of materials. Here, the processor is the owner of the materials but does not have physical possession of materials, and the subcontractor has physical possession of materials but is not the owner.					
	and retailers usual such as traders, of Brands sometime operations setup arrangements an	erent actors in the supply chain such as processors, wholesalers, distributors, retailers usually take physical possession of materials and products. Others, h as traders, do not take physical possession due to their intermediary functionds sometimes take physical possession depending on their commercial rations setup. Textile Exchange standards intend to cover the different angements among supply chain participants while guaranteeing the integrity overified materials and products.				
Date Issued:	9/3/2021	Conformity Date:	9/3/2021	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 155	CNCA Registration and Freelancers ASR-101-V2.1 D1.1.3 8 D3.2.6.f				
Situation:	May a certification body contract with auditors who work for an entity registered with CNCA but which is not accredited to ISO 17065 as a way to meet the Chinese legal requirement for CNCA registration?				
Interpretation:	Any independently owned entity which holds registration with CNCA for the purpose of a certification body operating legally in China is considered to be a subcontractor of the certification body and is therefore required to hold ISO 17065 accreditation. Freelancers in China may be hired by a certification body or certification body subcontractor which holds CNCA registration.				
Date Issued:	7/26/2021	Conformity Date:	7/26/2021	Status:	Issued



Calibration 151	Organizations Bo	etween Farm and Fi	rst Processor		a-V2.1/RAF-101b- F-101c-V1.0 B1.3
Situation:	In some countries, "brokers" take possession of animal fiber in between the farm and the first processor, often to facilitate a sale at auction. It is unclear if these brokers require certification.				
Interpretation:	Organizations which take legal ownership of animal fiber in between the farm and the first processor are required to be certified to the standard, except where the applicable version of the CCS allows for an exception to certification. If an organization takes physical possession of the animal fiber (i.e. for storage) but not legal ownership, the organization shall be treated as a subcontractor by whoever owns the animal fiber while it is being stored (typically the farm or ICS). If the organization arranges for the sale of wool (e.g. at auction) and charges a service fee to the farm, they are not considered to take legal ownership even if they receive payment for the animal fiber and remit it to the farm. In this case the organization would be considered to be a broker. If the organization purchases the animal fiber from the farm for a set price, they are considered to take legal ownership.				
Date Issued:	6/4/2021	Conformity Date:	6/4/2021	Status:	Issued

Calibration 145	CB Translations of Documents	ASR-101-V2.1 D1.8.1			
Situation:	May certification bodies prepare translations of Textile Exchange standards or other Textile Exchange documents to share with their non-English speaking clients?				
Interpretation:	Certification bodies may publish their own translations Standards and other documents, provided the following 1. Textile Exchange has not published an official translations target language. Any certification body translations she Exchange publishes an official translation. 2. A copy of the translated document shall be provided to Assurance@TextileExchange.org.	ng conditions are met: ation of the document in the hall be discontinued if Textile			



Calibration 145	CB Translations	of Documents		ASR-101-	-V2.1 D1.8.1	
		3. For documents in Chinese or Spanish, the certification body shall wait for approval from Textile Exchange before publishing the document.				
		4. Documents shall not copy any photos used in the original Textile Exchange document. Explanatory graphics may be copied.				
	5. Documents shall include the text "Unofficial translation prepared by <cb name(s)="">". This text shall appear in English on the first page and in the target language on every page.</cb>					
	6. Certification bodies working in the same region or language are encouraged to collaborate on translations to improve consistency.7. Where Textile Exchange provides a glossary of translated terms in the target language, the provided terms shall be used.				re encouraged to	
Date Issued:	3/22/2021	Conformity Date:	3/22/2021	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 134	USDA NOP Eligil	bility		OCS-205	5-V2.1 Box 15	
Situation:	How should the certification body of a supply chain organization (not a first processor) determine the answer to the question "Certification of the organic material used for the products listed complies with USDA NOP rules"?					
Interpretation:	comply with USD NOP rules, as ind incoming OCS Ma 'No' is checked for Checking 'Yes' or rules. Products fr	For supply chain companies after the first processor, OCS Material is considered to comply with USDA NOP rules if all of the incoming OCS Material complies with USDA NOP rules, as indicated on the incoming transaction certificate. If any of the incoming OCS Material cannot be confirmed to comply with USDA NOP rules (i.e. 'No' is checked for this box on the incoming TC), 'No' shall be selected. Checking 'Yes' on this box indicates that all listed products comply with USDA NOP rules. Products from the same shipment may be divided into separate TCs if necessary to allow for this.				
Date Issued:	1/18/2021	Conformity Date:	1/18/2021	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 131	Non-NPOP Seed Cotton in India OCS-101-V3.0 C1.1						
Situation:	Can non-NPOP seed cotton from India be accepted in the OCS supply chain at the ginning stage, if it is certified to another standard that is approved under IFOAM Family of Standards?						
Interpretation:	organic fibers ori	Since organic fibres are covered under NPOP and to meet national regulations, any organic fibers originating from India must be certified to NPOP as a basic requirement, to be accepted as OCS Material. Other certifications such as NOP may also be in place for the fibers.					
Date Issued:	1/18/2021	Conformity Date:	1/18/2021	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 136	Definition of "Lo	t"		RAF-101a V1.1 G1.7	a-V2.1/RAF-101b- .3	
Situation:	What is referred to by the word "lot" for the purposes of G1.7.3 in the RAF standards? Is it acceptable for a farm group to outsource the storage of bales of wool which may be combined into lots for sale?					
Interpretation:		The word "lot" in G1.7.3 of the RAF standards refers to any discrete, identifiable unit of fiber which cannot be accidentally mixed with other lots.				
Date Issued:	1/15/2021	Conformity Date:	1/15/2021	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 112	Sufficient Personnel	ASR-101-V2.1 D3.1.1		
Situation:	What is a sufficient number of personnel based on the number of scope certificates?			
Interpretation:	The number of personnel needed for a certificiation be certificates will vary depending on the circumstances. personnel needed, the certification body should consider	In determining the number of		
	1. The time required to conduct each audit (including a and reporting), as well as the review/certification deci	, ,,		



Calibration 112	Sufficient Persor	nnel		ASR-101-	-V2.1 D3.1.1	
	·	2. The time required for administrative functions (e.g. issuing SCs, TCs, and claims approvals; closing NCs) per scope certificate;				
	3. The percentage of each person's time which is dedicated to Textile Exchange standards;					
	4. Time spent on training and general administrative tasks; and					
	5. Full time working hours after regular time off. The number of personnel should not be less than 1 full time equivalent person per 100 scope certificates, and this will typically not be sufficient.					
Date Issued:	1/14/2021	Conformity Date:	1/14/2021	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 74	Scope of Process Requirements	ses for GRS Chemic	al	GRS-101-	-V4.0 D2	
Situation:	Are processes that do not "add" to the product (e.g. spin finishes for yarn) included in the scope of evaluation for chemicals according to the GRS?					
Interpretation:	GRS. The GRS do product," but use used in the product requirements"	Spin finishers (and processing aids) are still considered to be part of the scope of the GRS. The GRS does not make a distinction between products that are "added to the product," but uses the phrase: "GRS criteria for the use of chemicals that may be used in the production of GRS products are based on the following main requirements" GRS chemical rules are applicable to all certified material, as well as any non-certified materials once they are blended into a certified product and to any other inputs used during production.				
Date Issued:	1/14/2021	Conformity Date:	1/14/2021	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 128	RAF ICS in a Diffe	erent Country		RAF-101a V1.0 F1.3	a-V2.0/RAF-101b-	
Situation:	May an RAF farm group ICS be located in a different country than the farms, provided that necessary management oversight is maintained?					
Interpretation:	certified organiza	The ICS location for a farm group shall be in the same country as the farms. If the certified organization's main operation is in another country, it may be included as a subsequent site in the scope certificate and may be involved with the work of the ICS.				
Date Issued:	12/15/2020	Conformity Date:	12/15/2020	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 129	Reclaimed Input	s for Buttons		GRS-301 V2.0 A1	-V4.0/RCS-301-	
Situation:	A button maker punches buttons out of a sheet of resin. The remaining material from the sheet is reground to be used as an input for buttons. May this material be considered reclaimed/recycled? Is the answer different if the regrinding process occurs at a different site?					
Interpretation:	material) shall no material input for outsourced or is of The previous vers	aterial after buttons of be considered to be button making. This done at a different sit sion of this guidance active at that time to 2022.12.12	e reclaimed or re s includes situati e. (published 2020	cycled if it ons where 0.12.14) allo	is used as a raw the regrinding is	
Date Issued:	12/14/2020	Conformity Date:	2/1/2020	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 116	Reclaimed Fur		GRS-101-V4.0/RCS-101- V2.0 A3.1b					
Situation:	May reclaimed fu	May reclaimed fur be accepted as an input for GRS or RCS?						
Interpretation:		Post-consumer reclaimed fur may be accepted as an input for GRS or RCS. Preconsumer reclaimed fur is outside the scope of the standards.						
Date Issued:	11/9/2020	Conformity Date:	11/9/2020	Status:	Issued			

Calibration 110	Timing of Recert	ification Audits and	SC Issuance	ASR-101-	·V2.1 D4.7.3		
Situation:	Recertification audits are sometimes conducted 2-3 months prior to the expiry of the existing scope certificate, meaning the deadline for the certification decision (60 days after the audit) may fall before the expiry of the previous scope certificate. How should scope certificate issuance and validity dates be handled in this case?						
Interpretation:	Textile Exchange's intention is that the anniversary date remain consistent for each scope certificate. In the case that the recertification audit is conducted more than 60 days prior to the expiry of a scope certificate, the certification decision shall still be made within 60 days, but the certification body may wait until the expiry of the previous scope certificate to issue the new scope certificate.						
Date Issued:	10/29/2020	Conformity Date:	10/29/2020	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 103	Withdrawing SC Electronic certifi	s that were issued o cates	ASR-101-	-V2.1 D1.1.14a				
Situation:	A certification body uses only electronic certificates, which causes the certification body to lack provisions to comply with requirement D1.1.14a to have clients return all copies of certificates (as no physical certificates are issued).							
Interpretation:	obligations under	In the case of suspension or withdrawal of a scope certificate, the organization's obligations under D1.1.14a are considered to be met if the original scope certificate (if issued as a physical document) is returned to the certification body and all electronic or printed copies of the scope certificate are destroyed.						
Date Issued:	10/29/2020	Conformity Date:	10/29/2020	Status:	Issued			



Calibration 89	Use of Expired O	rganic Food		OCS-101-	-V3.0 C1.1			
Situation:		ic expired/non-saleable food products (e.g. collected from grocery accepted as inputs for OCS?						
Interpretation:	may be accepted 1. The product was supply chain or the 2. The organic standards: a. A supply chain b. A packaged prestandard, and whe packaging) is core In this case, the packaged pressured and the packaging of the packagi	atus of the product s transaction certifica oduct which carries a tere the scope certific	s food/feed and in the food feed and in the food waste sl	s a waste pugh one of oted organic from an according processor (the following c standard; or cepted organic (as identified on the			
Date Issued:	8/27/2020	Conformity Date:	8/27/2020	Status:	Issued			

Calibration 65	Recycled Down	-V4.0/RCS-101-						
Situation:	A down recycler purchases reclaimed down which has been through a light "prewash" process. The recycler's washing process is considered to be the recycling process. Does the supplier require RCS/GRS certification?							
Interpretation:	needs to be RCS, certification body	If the reclaimed down supplier is purchasing down from a collector, the supplier needs to be RCS/GRS certified. If the supplier is acting as a collector, the certification body may agree for the down recycler to accept a Reclaimed Material Declaration Form from the supplier.						
Date Issued:	8/27/2020	Conformity Date:	8/27/2020	Status:	Issued			



Calibration 67	Freedom of association and collective bargaining GRS-101-V4.0 B2.3						
Situation:	Is the client required to have some form of elected worker representation? E.g. union, collective bargaining agreement, or worker committee.						
Interpretation:	The intent of this requirement is to ensure that unions and other forms of worker organization are not blocked/actively avoided. There is no requirement that one be in place if the workers have not chosen to do so.						
Date Issued:	7/16/2020	Conformity Date:	7/16/2020	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 70	Clarification on Shadow Audit Requirements ASR-101-V2.1 D3.1.56 D3.1.6b, c						
Situation:	May certification bodies perform one shadow audit to the more complex standard (e.g. GRS) and consider this to cover as a shadow audit for all other standards (e.g. CCS, RCS, OCS)?						
Interpretation:	Auditor qualification scopes are intended to mirror accreditation scopes. Any audit which includes the CCS (all except farms) may meet the shadow audit requirement for CCS auditor qualification. A GRS audit at a material recycler may meet the shadow audit requirement for RCS auditor qualification. GRS/RCS audits may not be used to complete OCS qualification, or vice versa.						
Date Issued:	7/16/2020	Conformity Date:	7/16/2020	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 73		ting audits of the sa onsecutive years	ASR-101-	V2.1 D1.2.13c			
Situation:	If there are multiple auditors on an audit team, does the limit of three consecutive years apply to all auditors or only to the lead auditor?						
Interpretation:	The requirement for an auditor to not audit the same organization in more than three consecutive years applies to all auditors.						
Date Issued:	7/16/2020	Conformity Date:	7/16/2020	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 94	Combined Audit	Checklists	ASR-101-V2.1 D4.4.19					
Situation:	May a certification body produce a combined checklist or report intended to address both a Textile Exchange standard and another standard? What approval for this is needed?							
Interpretation:	to address both a required element approval is neede	A certification body may produce a combined checklist or report template intended to address both a Textile Exchange standard and another standard, provided that all required elements for the Textile Exchange standard are included. No special approval is needed, though the checklist or template shall be evaluated by the accreditation body during the next office assessment.						
Date Issued:	7/16/2020	Conformity Date:	7/16/2020	Status:	Issued			

Calibration 88	Use of Expired F	ood as Recycled	GRS-101-V4.0/RCS-101- V2.0 A1				
Situation:	May expired/non-saleable food products (e.g. collected from grocery stores) be accepted as recycled inputs?						
Interpretation:	Food waste which is used as feedstock for a (non-food) recycling process may be accepted as an input for GRS or RCS. If the food waste is collected in the supply chain (e.g. from grocery stores) it shall be considered to be pre-consumer.						
Date Issued:	7/7/2020	Conformity Date:	7/7/2020	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 62	Oligomer Recycl	ing	GRS-101- V2.0 A1	-V4.0/RCS-101-				
Situation:	There is a lack of clarity regarding oligomer recycling. Previous guidance from Textile Exchange did not allow oligomers to be considered reclaimed/recycled.							
Interpretation:	GRS and RCS. If t	Oligomers produced during processing may be accepted as a reclaimed input under GRS and RCS. If the oligomers are purchased by a recycler they may be considered to be pre-consumer.						
Date Issued:	7/2/2020	Conformity Date:	7/2/2020	Status:	Issued			



Calibration 78	Certification of C	Ocean Waste		GRS-101- V2.0 A1	-V4.0/RCS-101-			
Situation:	Some organizations wish to claim reclaimed ocean waste (waste collected from in or near oceans) specifically on GRS and RCS transaction certificates. Standard requirements do not validate that the plastic is in fact ocean plastic.							
Interpretation:	and/or from shor at high tide, which not be included at the not certificates unless the notation of	Reclaimed ocean waste is defined as material which has been reclaimed from oceans and/or from shorelines (within 0.2 km of the water level at low tide or the water level at high tide, whichever is higher). Materials from municipal recycling systems may not be included as reclaimed ocean waste. No claims relating to reclaimed ocean waste may be included on transaction certificates unless the following conditions are met: 1. The collector, concentrator, and all handlers are either RCS or GRS certified. 2. In this case, the material recycler may accept an incoming RCS transaction certificate to produce a GRS product. 3. All material is confirmed to be reclaimed ocean waste during audits of the collector and concentrator. 4. "Reclaimed ocean waste" may be mentioned on Box 15 of the transaction certificate. It may not be mentioned on the scope certificate. 5. For sites after the material recycler, reclaimed ocean waste is indicated on the incoming transaction certificate. And 6. Public facing claims relating to reclaimed ocean waste are not associated with the RCS or GRS standard names or logos. Note: This issue has been identified for reconsideration by the International Working Group during the next RCS and GRS revision process.						
Date Issued:	7/2/2020	Conformity Date:	7/2/2020	Status:	Issued			



Calibration 64	Shadow Assessr	nent Definition		ASR-101- C4.6.4b, C4.6.8	-V2.0 C4.6.3b,		
Situation:	Clarity needed regarding definition of a Shadow Assessment - do these mean strictly witness audits or also include review audits?						
Interpretation:	defined in the situ believes that it is the audit. The minimum free and we know that shadows than this review audits as of IOAS definitions of Witness Audits audit, and an exit audit, and an exit audit, neither meduring the audit of Review Audits audit of the audit of the audit inspection, revary according to repeat audit. The auditor that of the audit of the auditor that of the au	The minimum frequency for shadow assessments is one per two years per standard, and we know that in many circumstances accreditation bodies are conducting more shadows than this. After the minimum number of shadow assessments is met, review audits as described may be used. IOAS definitions used in this calibration: Witness Audits - accreditation body assessor observes certification body's auditor(s) at work; assessor and auditor(s) have an introductory meeting prior to the audit, and an exit meeting for feed-back and clarification of any questions after the audit, neither meeting involving the audited organization; assessor will not speak during the audit but may take notes. Witness audits should be full audits. Review Audits - accreditation body assessor visits the organization and verifies information from the certification body's latest audit report and certification decision; it includes interviewing the operator or the person who was present for the last inspection, reviewing records and a physical visit to the premises. Duration may vary according to operation size and complexity but is not expected to be a full					
Date Issued:	2/20/2020	Conformity Date:	2/20/2020	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 10	Generating Pre-Consumer Waste			GRS-101-V4.0/RCS-101- V2.0 A1		
Situation:	Pre-consumer waste that is collected from a production stage and then used again in the same production stage may undergo processing steps that meet the definition of "recycled material".					
Interpretation:	Production wastes may only be considered to be pre-consumer reclaimed material if they are reprocessed through a recycling process at a site with 'recycling' included as a process in its scope. (Updated 2020.06.30)					
Date Issued:	5/1/2019	Conformity Date:	5/1/2019	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 23	Transition Betwe	een Standard Versio	ons	ASR-101-	-V2.1 D4.4.2		
Situation:	Transition from old standard version to new version.						
Interpretation:	requirements as a publication in the to the updated st Certification bodi for applicable new Organizations au in conformance w	Certified organizations shall be in conformity with updated standards or requirements as of the mandatory implementation date (typically one year after publication in the case of a standard). The certification body shall check conformity to the updated standard or requirements as of the next regularly scheduled audit. Certification bodies shall inform their clients of the mandatory implementation date for applicable new standards or requirements. (Updated 2020.06.30) Organizations audited on or after the mandatory implementation date shall operate in conformance with and be subject to the criteria of both documents which will include any unannounced audits. (Updated 2022.03.14)					
Date Issued:	8/1/2018	Conformity Date:	8/1/2018	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 45	Re-Recycling of Post-Consumer Materials			GRS-101-V4.0/RCS-101- V2.0 A1, definition of post- consumer		
Situation:	Can waste from post-consumer recycling activities still be considered post-consumer waste?					
Interpretation:	Post-consumer recycled material which is put through an additional (pre-consumer) recycling process may continue to be considered post-consumer, provided that the percentage of post-consumer content can be accurately determined. If the percentage of material which is post-consumer prior to the final recycling process cannot be accurately determined, the material shall be considered to be preconsumer. (Updated 2020.06.30)					
Date Issued:	3/1/2017	Conformity Date:	3/1/2017	Status:	Issued	